

ANIMAL SERVICE CENTER OF THE MESILLA VALLEY BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

The following agenda will be considered at a regular Meeting of the Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Board of Directors to be Held on <u>Thursday, April 27th, 2023 at 9:00 am</u> at the City Council Chambers, City Hall, 700 N. Main St., Las Cruces, New Mexico.

AGENDA

- I. Call to Order & Pledge of Allegiance
- II. Determination of a Quorum
- III. Changes to Agenda and Approval of Agenda
- IV. Minutes
 - a. Approval of Minutes from the ASCMV 03-03-2023 Work Session
 - b. Approval of the Minutes from the regular ASCMV Board Meeting held March 23rd, 2023.
 - c. Approval of Minutes from the ASCMV 03-30-2023 Work Session
 - d. Approval of Minutes from the ASCMV 04-07-2023 Special Meeting
- V. Reports/Presentations
 - a. CfPP Presentation: Cruces Cool Cats Selena Cardoza
 - b. City and County ACO Reports City Animal Control and County Animal Control
 - c. Shelter Statistics/Activities; 2022 Annual Report Clint Thacker
 - d. Committee Reports
 - 1. Finance Barbara De Leon, Committee Chair
 - 2. Executive Manuel Sanchez, Committee Chair
 - 3. Facilities Kasandra Gandara, Committee Chair
 - i. New ASCMV Facility Artwork Progress Report Ceci Vasconcellos
- VI. Discussion Items
 - a. Plaque for Old ASCMV Building Mural
- VII. Action Items
 - a. Resolution 2023-03: Accept the FY22 Financial Audit Report
 - b. Resolution 2023-04: Amend the ASCMV FY24 Budget
- VIII. Public Input
- IX. Chair and Board Comments
- X. Adjournment

Next Meeting: May 25th, 2023

If You Need an Accommodation for a Disability to Enable You to Fully Participate in this Event Please Contact Us 48 Hours Before the Event at 382-0018/v or 541-2128/tty. Posted Dated: April 21st, 2023.

1 2 2		ANIMAL SERVICE CENTER OF MESILLA VALLEY WORK SESSION	
4	3 4 March 3, 2023 at 9:00 a.m.		
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Manuel Sanchez - Chairman (arrived 9:45) Kasandra Gandara - Vice-Chair Becky Corran - Board Member Fernando Macias - Board Member (arrived 9:14) Christopher Schaljo-Hernandez – Board Member Barbara DeLeon - Ex-Officio Member Mary Lou Ward - Ex-Officio Member		
16 17 18		MBERS ABSENT: ne Flores - Board Member	
19 20 21	I. Dete	rmination of quorum and Call to order:	
22 23 24	Gandara:	Good morning everybody. Thank you for being here and I apologize for being late. We will go ahead and start the meeting. Can I get a motion to - do I need a motion and that whole thing? No.	
25 26 27 28	Schaljo-Her	nandez: Do you want to stand in for Fernando, Mr. Macias? Because I don't see him coming. And you can be Manny, and then we'll be good.	
29 30	Baum:	And can you give me her name please for the record.	
31 32	Schaljo-Hernandez: Deb Weir. She's our ACM, Assistant County Manager.		
33 34	Baum:	W-E-I-R.	
35 36 37	Gandara:	W-E-I-R. Yes. Of course. So we'll give her a chance to get settled in and then Becky will do the roll call.	
38 39	Baum:	Board Member Deleon.	
40 41	Delon:	Present.	
42 43	Baum:	Board Member Ward.	
44 45	Ward:	Present.	

Baum: Board Member Flores is absent. Board Member Macias. We have Ms.

Weir today. Thank you. Board Member Corran.

2 3

4 Corran: Present.

6 Baum: Board Member Schaljo-Hernandez.

8 Schaljo-Hernandez: Present.

10 Baum: Chair Gandara.

12 Gandara. Here.

14 Baum: And Mr. Sanchez is absent.

Gandara: Okay. I think we have Clint up. The Pledge, I'm sorry. I'm so lost. I'm

sorry. Yes. Please stand for the Pledge.

ALL STAND FOR THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

Gandara: Thank you for bearing with me

II. Review of the proposed ASCMV FY2024 Budget:

Gandara: And now Clint you are up.

 Thacker:

Thank you Madam Vice-Chair, ASCMV Board. For the record, my name is Clint Thacker. I'm the Executive Director at the Animal Service Center of Mesilla Valley. Here to talk to you today about the budget in the special work session. This budget is a proposed budget for Fiscal Year 2024. It starts in July 2023 and goes until the end of June 2024.

Some brief history for you for funding. In 2021 the City did give some additional funding of \$520,000, brought their contribution up to \$1.75 million. In 2022 the County also increased their contribution by \$300,000 and brought them up to \$1.75 million. So this year, both parties equal amount of \$1.75 million dollars funds the ASCMV. Throughout the year, the ASCMV does a lot of planning. It's not just a quick one month push right before the budget; you know saying we have to get something done. We always look at funds and we look at positions, just things that would make us better and do better and work more efficiently. So as we look through this budget, I hope that you can recognize those things and see and please ask questions to clarify those things as well.

So the additional funding that we're requesting is going to fund these items, and they're more or less in the order of importance for me as the Executive

45

46

Director. The first one is the funding of a brand new position. This is called a Human Resource Specialist. In this position, the individual will be doing all that City, since the City does do our hiring, the City does as HR specialist. The other good thing about this is, is that the position will pretty much mirror a City HR specialist, so we won't have to create a new position, go through vetting, all the information like similar we had to do for the Community Cat Program Manager; create that position from scratch. This would be a quicker turnover to get somebody in. This position will be doing all of our onboarding, and also start a new onboarding program that I would like to do where the individual has one person they could contact and be the main contact until that time of hire. Imagine if you're getting an e-mail from, you just were offered a position and you just got an e-mail welcoming you to the ASCMV family or the ASCMV team, and what to expect the first day of work. when to report to work on the first day. And not only is that information going to be relayed to us, or to that individual, but also to us as the ASCMV. When that person is starting. Right now that is sometimes not done. Sometimes these people show up, sometimes we get one day notice. They're not told what to wear, they're not told what to expect. So having an HR specialist we'll be able to not only move the process along more effectively and a lot more quicker, we would be able to prepare that individual for what they're coming on board for.

The other duty that this HR specialist will be doing, and it's probably one of the most important ones at the ASCMV, is payroll. Currently, right now our payroll is being done by a supervisor. This is not ideal as if there are any disciplinary issues with one of their employees, and heaven forbid there'd be a mistake on a payroll, then that person who's involved in the discipline would be able to say, they're only messed up because they don't like me, or if something went wrong they could say, I think that that person is biased towards me. So the HR specialist has nobody to be under them as far as the supervisor, so it's a perfect position for them to be doing payroll. The next thing that's very important is working with the City and with the budget department, they said to expect a 4% increase in our benefits. So we rolled that into our budget as well. So you'll see that. And then this 3% salary wage increase. In late 2020 or early 2021, we were able to do a substantial wage increase for the ASCMV. This was hard fought to get this. And we were able to increase wages to an amount where individuals could be home with their families, not have to work two jobs. And we were also able to be competitive. You know we were no longer fighting with across the street fast food places, and we were attracting positions. I will tell you that since that increase, we have seen probably two or three fold amount of people applying for jobs. Before it was three and four, now we're at 10 and 15. So that served its purpose there. However, we failed to do a small cost of living increase per year. So just a small 1%, 1.5%. And so now we find ourselves on the downhill portion of salary increases or salaries. So there's 3%, we'll do a 1% for the year that we missed, 1% for this year, fiscal year, and then

1% for next year is what we're looking at. Just updating us. And then in the future, we expect to do at least a 1% cost of living increase, 0.5% to 1%. And then also some wage increases based on merit is what we're looking at.

The next one is hardware and software. What this is, is from the new center, there was a little bit of miscommunication. Don't forget we are not closing the existing center. It's going to be run as a medical department or a medical facility. So we still need computers in every kennel, so kennel workers can input that information. We still need computers up front in the lobby where individuals can log in who's coming, who's going, spay neuter, all that information that's needed. So in other words, what I'm saying is, is we are not taking all the computers from the existing center and putting it in the new center. This is all new that we're going to be needing. So we did not replace any computers in the old center that are expired under warranty. All that money had to go to purchase new computers for the new center. Now, luckily, we kept on top of this, if you remember all of our budgets have been very good about keeping up to date on our computers. There's none that our way expired out of warranty by three years, and so I feel confident that we're going to be able to purchase new ones, we're going to place the order as soon as we can in July, and then get them a few months later, and we'll be just fine. So that money that I'm asking is for computers for that are out of warranty for the old center.

The next one is, is we really have no control over, just like the benefits, is the liability, unemployment, and workers comp. So these are expected to go up. It's a small amount that you'll see. But we are anticipating an increase.

And then the last item is for purchasing equipment for the new center as well as for the things to help in aid us in the new center. So ETV stands for Electronic Terrain Vehicle, we need some type of vehicle like a golf cart that's electrical, something where our, his position just left. Maintenance Supervisor, thank you voice from behind. The maintenance supervisor is able to carry all of his tools for projects, go back and forth from campus to campus. If you're there it doesn't look like a lot, but when you're carrying all that stuff, it's definitely a long ways. This also will be used to transport animals that come in that are ground bound we call it, or can't walk, that we can transport them for x-rays at the other facility. And then the extra are existing animal housing, that's the play areas that need equipment, that's any stainless steel that we're going to be needing is very expensive for litter pans and for dishwashers, and for the water dog bowls, all those things that we'll be seeing need to be purchased as well.

So this is how much we're asking for \$308,275. This comes out roughly to be \$109,000 per organization, for the City and for the county. As you can

see, the new staff that would be the HR specialist, including the benefits is at is \$89,000. Our benefits, to increase our benefits, so increase that 4% is \$64,000. The wage increase is \$67,000. Computer purchases and printers is \$6,000. The increased insurance obligations that we have, such as the workers comp, liability, that's about \$8,500. The new equipment for that housing \$45,000. We did put a substantial amount for us in travel, and this is for training. We use training as an incentive tool and also as a reward tool. Also all of those coupled with education, we always sent individuals to very well-known conferences, such as the National Animal Care and Control Association to the Humane Society of the United States, to the American Humane, all very well-known, very professional conferences. And generally those individuals come back and they talk to us about what they learned. So at a staff meeting they present, able to talk to and educate the rest of us as well. So it's shared knowledge.

Now, the other category, isn't a category, is not a line item on our budget that says other. It is for the sake of this presentation, it is basically all the other stuff that kind of nickels and dimes, and that's for our ask, and that's \$18,000. And you'll see there it includes the fuel, transportation supplies, utilities for the new center, etc.

So this slide shows the differences between our current budget and our proposed budget. We added an extra column there in the very beginning that says approved budget, and you'll notice all the amounts are the same until you get down to Other and to Grant Funding. So let me first address the Grant Funding. What occurred is in 2022, if you remember we rolled over the budget from 2021. However, we rolled over the budget with the Grant Funding not being spent down. So we had spent some money of the grants but that was not reflected when the budget was rolled over. So then the question comes in why? Why wasn't spent down? In the grant funding there is, whenever you get a grant you attach a code to it. And when you use that code, when you purchase things, it automatically spends down the grant. Well, those codes were not being utilized or were not received. The grant world was brand new to us with our grant writer, and she did a fantastic, incredible job of getting those grants. Now, if you remember in January, Bernice and Josh Saffell identified this issue to you and told you that in the coming months, we're going to be cleaning it up and closing things out so we get down to the amount that is proper for us. So we identified that there's \$150,000 in grants that's going to be rolled over into the Fiscal Year 2024. So you see that we took out \$130,852 is what we removed out of grant funding to make it our revised budget.

The next one is, is an other. Again other is not a line item, it's just everything else for compaction sake that we're able to put in here. And the other included \$136,475 balance transfer that we took from our funds, if you remember, and we paid, that purchased kennels and equipment for the new

center. Now, rightfully it was supposed to, it went into our budget. But it also inflated our budget to look like that we had more money than we actually did or we asked for. And so we took that money out of the other. And so that's why we get the revised budget of \$1,082,990. And we have a proposed budget of \$1,190.000 leaves us with \$107,599 in asking money.

So look at this in the change column, you'll see the salary and wages, that is the 3% that I'm asking and also for the wage for the individual, the HR Specialist. And then the 4% increase in benefits is the next one. And maintenance and repairs, as we just fine tuned that and it went down about \$400. Insurance again, the item that we can't control with liability, workers comp, about \$8,500. Minor equipment, this includes a purchase of the autoclave for the existing center. And it also includes some of the computers that need to be purchased. And so other, the \$107,000 is the vast variety of things instead of doing several slides to show you what those are for, I can tell you really quick medical department's requesting a higher increase in distilled water. That's what they use to clean instruments and also the machines that they have. We need distilled water so there's no sediment or no deposits that form. And also that other includes the travel that we increased. And administrative support, there is an increase in general operating supplies because we have two centers now and two offices that are running, so it's just general supplies like paper, ink, supplies, cleaning supplies like gloves. Then we have software, we do need Adobe Pro and also Zoom that we use very often. So those are costs associated. Advertising went up about \$3,600 was all, but that wasn't increased for us. We talked about the computers. And then utilities, the electricity, gas, water, all those items. We don't know exactly how much it's going to be because the new center uses solar power and also high energy saving items. So, but we did bring \$10,000 over to cover the costs in looking at that, so we'll have that. Again under admin, they also add a travel.

And we did do a little bit of food and beverage, \$1,000 for food and beverage. And the operations or the kennel portion, we need to buy \$15,000 worth of storage facility items. So food for our dog food, we have a whole storage room in the new facility but it needs shelving, we need a pallet jack, we need all those items to be able to move the items around. And we've also increased our fuel. We are being gifted a brand new transfer van this year. And so that's from a private donor. They're excited to do it. We're very excited to get it. But right now it's in Indiana getting all outfitted for the kennels on the inside. So that's going to be extra fuel, that's also going to be potential upkeep, oil changes, things like that. So we increased that by about \$8,000. So that's what constitutes that other.

This is an explanation of the expense categories and then what makes up those categories. Again, salary and wages, when I say that that's all of our costs including standby and overtime pay. Benefits, it's not only am I talking

1 the health but also dental and life and disability. The supplies are not just 2 supplies like paper, but it's also medical supplies and safety supplies that 3 we provide. Services are professional tech services, which could be like 4 monitoring and doing preventive maintenance on the medical anesthesia 5 machines. Or purchase services could be having our pest person come in. 6 So the difference between those two. Temp agency, we actually decreased 7 our money in temp agencies because I'm forcing us to have a goal of 8 keeping positions filled and not relying on these temp agency services that 9 are so expensive. Also includes in services, your electricity, your general 10 utilities, telephone, etc. Maintenance and repairs include both vehicle and building repairs. We know insurance includes minor equipment, is not only 11 12 medical equipment but also the hardware/software for the computers. And 13 then other for the sake of this presentation, not in our budget but for the 14 sake of presentation, other is a vast array of the other items that aren't mentioned including the membership dues, things for travel, registration, 15 16 conference fees, etc. So with that information, I am able to answer any 17 questions. 18 19 Thank you Clint. Any questions? Board Member Corran, and Mary Lou. Gandara: 20 Didn't see anybody else? Yes. Okay. Judge Macias. 21 22 Macias: Forgive me if I ... 23 24 Hold on. Councilor Corran. I'm just listing who's coming up. Gandara: 25 26 Corran: Is that the order? 27 28 Gandara: Yes that's the order. 29 30 Corran: Okay. Thank you. Thanks Clint, for this presentation. I appreciate it. I have a couple questions specific to probably what was I think about the third 31 slide. Oh, wait, no, that one. Yes. This staff salary wage increase, how 32 33 many staff would this include? 34 35 That would include all of us. The 67 is currently employed of approximately Thacker: 36 54 employees. 37 38 Okay. So I thought a prior slide had said support staff so I wasn't sure if Corran: 39 that was everyone or not. So 50, how many did you say? 40 41 Thacker: Approximately 54 right now. 42 Okay. Of currently employed folks. Thank you, that helps clarify that. Then 43 Corran: 44 the second question that I have is related to the vacancy rate. You had mentioned that this was something that you are working on specifically, I 45

think we heard most recently that the City is having about a 12% I think

vacancy rate at this time, that's kind of the ongoing rate. I've heard other folks in the community express that they have higher vacancy rates. I'm curious if yours has gone down from the last time we've talked, and if any of those vacancies are eligible for reclass or if all of that reclassing has already happened, especially related to salary or potentially new staff.

Thacker:

Yes, excellent question. So the reclassification has taken place. We've looked again, that that occurred in the - it wasn't an official reclassification where we you know looked at other centers throughout the country and said, let's pay this much, but it was more or less based on living wage that we tried to come up with. It also matched the City's increase of that, I believe it was 3% or 4% increase that we looked at, if I remember right. So all the positions that are being hired are at that higher amount. And the first part of your question was ...

Corran: Vacancy.

18 Thacker: Our vacancy rate. So we're sitting right around about 11%.

20 Corran:

Thacker:

Thacker:

Okay.

And I would say, yes, that is lower than what it was before we were doing - because we were relying heavily on temp agencies. And we don't have any temp workers right now at the center.

Corran: Okay, thank you. And then the last question is sort of related to something that we've talked about here before regarding restoring the reserves to one-twelfth. And I'm not sure if that would be a part of the budget conversation, if that's something that would happen through found efficiencies, but I'm

curious if that's also part of this conversation or should be.

So the reserves, I don't know if the Board ever decided on what actions they'd like to do. I know Ms. Demouche from the budget department, she did talk about that and recommended it. And then there was quite a discussion afterwards about how to go about doing it. There was one saying that well you technically have it when we put the money in at the beginning of the year. But what our view on it is, when I talk to our budget analyst that we're going to hit it this year through our savings. So right now, he told me yesterday, we're looking at about a \$200,000 surplus or return that we're going to be looking at if we continue our spending like we are. And so that would put us around \$400,000 right now in our reserve, if it all comes down that way. But within the next two years, we'll have that for sure.

Corran:

Okay, so perhaps in next year's budget, we can expect to see that.

1	Thacker:	Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Corran:	Okay, thank you. Those are all the questions that I have. Thank you Chair Gandara.
	Gandara:	Thank you Board Member Corran. Board Member Mary Lou.
	Ward:	Thank you Chairman. Clint, just a real quick question. I know in the past, animal control would be responsible for their cleaning supplies and the storage unit for their cleaning supplies at the animal shelter for when the trucks come in, and they clean and sanitize their units. With this budget increase, is that something that is still going to be in play?
13 14 15	Thacker:	Yes, it is.
16 17	Ward:	So it does not include that.
17 18 19	Thacker:	Correct.
20 21	Ward:	Okay.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Gandara:	Yes Board Member Ward. That's it for you. Okay. Judge Macias.
	Macias:	Thank you Madam Chair. Forgive me if I may have missed it earlier. What is the activity related to identifying grant potentials?
	Thacker:	Well, again that's a good question and it's a little bit hard to answer because the grants are really, there's about four main players out there that provide large scale grants. So to identify those, they're all about in the same, it's a season basically of grants. And so with those we know that the time of the year comes and we apply for those. The smaller grants come in whenever we can find them. Does that answer your question?
	Macias:	Well, no. I'm trying to determine where in your presentation is the revenue that comes in for grants, what is it applied to? Does it duplicate any of the requested increase? And then what is the approach that's being used in terms of trying to enhance the grant revenue opportunities?
38 39 40 41	Thacker:	Okay, so grant funding, you'll see there we're bringing over \$150,000. That is actual a grant from Petco charity.
42	Macias:	Right. But that was the original.
43 44 45	Thacker:	That was one we just
46	Macias:	Substantial grant.

1 2 Thacker: Yes, we just received it about three, four months ago. 3 4 Macias: I see. 5 6 And so all of these grants that we get from these large players, there for Thacker: 7 specific reasons. Very rarely do we get a grant, maybe from a local charity 8 here in New Mexico, called the Carroll Petrie Foundation. Other than that, 9 they are all very specific. We apply for it for a specific reason, transport 10 vehicle, or vaccines. So we have to use it for those specific reasons. Very 11 rarely is it a general support, you can use it for whatever you want. 12 13 Macias: So is there a strategy in terms of enhancing for this next budget year, any 14 additional grant opportunities that would supplement the budget? 15 16 Thacker: Well, we're always looking for grant opportunities. We recently just lost our 17 grant writer. So the first plan of strategy is to hire another one. And from 18 there be able to look at new opportunities. I think our previous grant 19 manager did an excellent job of being creative. I know we got a small grant 20 for low income housing, and she got it by saying that there's animals in low income. So just being creative on how we write the grants. But basically, 21 22 that's the strategy is finding them, applying for them for specific reasons, 23 and then applying those funds and moving forward. 24 25 Macias: And again you don't see any opportunity. I think that's the question that I'm 26 asking, in terms of impacting because this budget begins the first July. So 27 I'm trying to see if there's any other opportunities that are being worked on 28 that might offset any of the potential requested increase from both the City 29 and the county. 30 Thacker: 31 There's a potential one from PetSmart Charities for animal transportation 32 that would offset the cost about \$25,000 for fuel, and transportation needs 33 but that's about it. We've gotten that one several times throughout the year. 34 These types of grants there's no such thing as a sure thing. And I think a 35 lot of times the cities and the counties they know what grants they're going 36 to be getting. And we just don't in this industry. 37 38 All right. Thank you. Madam Chair, if I might just, if you'd permit me a Macias: 39 comment in response to Councilor Corran's question related to the reserve. 40 41 Gandara: Of course. 42 43 Macias: After we had the discussion at the earlier meeting, I went back and I talked 44 to our budget people, because our funds from the county come from the 45 general fund that support the Animal Services Center. And so our threetwelves reserve already covers the Animal Services Center, at least from 46

the county's contribution. Because we set aside enough money that three-twelves is related to the entirety of the general fund budget. And since this organization is funded through the general fund and not some other you know supplemental or governmental or federal funding, I can assure you that if there was a shortfall that's covered within our three-twelves already. So when we have this discussion about well we need to have like a line item with the Animal Services Center that shows a reserve, honestly, I don't think that that's necessary because from the county's perspective it's already in place. So if there was that shortfall, half of it would be covered by the county and would be covered under the reserves that the county already has committed.

I can't speak for the City. But certainly from my perspective we don't have to set aside a specific amount and make sure that it's always there and can't be touched, because that's the reserve. You already have that reserve built in. And since our reserves are relatively strong, there's not a jeopardy that if there had to be some diminishment in revenue that our reserves wouldn't already be there.

Gandara: Thank you, Judge Macias. I'm going to turn it over to Board Member Deleon and she could answer that question, as well as any other questions you might have for Clint. Thank you Barbara.

 Deleon:

Thacker:

Thank you Madam Chair. And I apologize, I didn't get to my button in time to get on the list to ask questions. But I appreciate you including me. And with respect to the City's perspective on that, on the reserve, I think it just gets back to a management philosophy for the Animal Service Center as an entity. How the center would like to manage their budget and reserves on their own versus assuming that they're in the two entities that fund the Animal Service Center. But not to dispute the characterization that we also are funding the Animal Service Center out of our general fund as well and we have a reserve requirement, also. So I would just say it's a philosophical discussion with respect to how the Board would like the center to manage their funds and expenditures. And I'm assuming that's for a future discussion.

I had a couple of questions Clint related to your vacant positions. So for FY24, how many vacant positions were budgeted? That's my first question.

We have a vacancy rate of about, I want to say about 15%, he said, for the vacancy rate. And that is just all combined, if that makes sense. So it's not we're going to have one person empty for this amount of time, it's we're going to have a constant rotation of people that are going to be gone saving that much money.

1 Deleon: I understand that. At the City you know we actually identify, at a point in 2 time the positions that are vacant that are going to be included in next year's 3 budget. So that's why I was asking how many vacant positions you have. 4 We also have to take into consideration at how we will budget those vacant 5 positions. In other words, at the entry point of this paygrade, at the midpoint, 6 how we're going to budget the benefits for those vacant positions. So I just 7 wondered if you could speak to the assumptions that you use for budgeting 8 the vacant positions for the Center for FY24, for those types of 9 considerations? 10 Yes, the assumptions is that we are going to be fully staffed. So we do fund 11 Thacker: 12 those positions 100%. For instance, in our kennel staff, and the thing is 13 there's always turnover, so we're hiring two full time and two part time, but 14 we also just lost a person, just I think two weeks ago. And so there's always going to be that constant rollover. And that's the reason why we are always 15 16 fund them for 100%. And we fund them, there's the lowest rate, which is \$14 an hour. And they're all funded for that amount 17 18 19 Deleon: Your vacancy rate has been, I'm not sure at what percent it's been, if it's 20 been between the 10% and 14% on a regular basis. I know we at the City also have a vacancy rate on an ongoing basis, because we are constantly 21 22 having turnover as well as, it takes time to hire positions. So we know at any given time we're not going to expend 100% of the amount that we 23 budget for salaries. So I wondered if that was taken into consideration for 24 25 the Center, because if you budget them at 100%, essentially, you're assuming zero turnover and I don't know how realistic that is based on the 26 27 history of the Center's financials and something to consider for how to 28 budget for FY24. 29 Okay. Thank you very much. 30 Thacker: 31 32 Deleon: Thanks. 33 34 Board Member Chris Schaljo-Hernandez. Gandara: 35 36 Schaljo-Hernandez: Thank you Chair. Clint, I have a question for you on the salaries and wages of the 3% that is being asked for. And I was talking to Chair Gandara. 37 38 When did we do that increase study? And when did those wages go into 39 effect? 40 41 Thacker: It was in 2021, and the increase was, well when you're talking years or fiscal

Schaljo-Hernandez: Okay. And do you see because you're saying 3% is for a percent from last year, a percent for this year and a present for next year, correct?

And then we were working on it well before in 2020.

42

43 44 45

46

years, it gets confusing. So I believe we actually did the increase in 2021.

1 2 Thacker: Yes. 3 4 Schaljo-Hernandez: What do you suspect that you'll be doing a wage analysis again for 5 increases? Because 1% isn't that much year over year. It's not even an average of normal spending or normal allocations. So do you foresee that 6 7 increasing soon? And if so, when do you think we're going to look at that 8 again? 9 10 Thacker: I would like to look at it every five years. So we're coming up on that next year for sure. So it would be in the budget, so just the cost of doing the 11 12 analysis will be in next year's budget. And then we'll be able to work on the 13 budget the year after that. Because I know those analysis take time for 14 sure. And that can be something that HR specialists does as well, work 15 closely with them and get that information. We are a very unique industry, 16 you could say, and it all depends on how the director fights for salaries. 17 Because I know in Bernalillo County, a good friend of mine is the director, they're still really low like where we were around \$11, \$12 an hour. But you 18 19 just come south to the state where we are, we start at \$14 an hour, that's 20 because we fought really hard for salaries. And then you look at other places throughout the country that are comparable to us with animal intake 21 22 and it varies greatly as well. 23 24 Schaljo-Hernandez: Okay, no, thank you for that, a little history. Thank you. I appreciate 25 that. 26 27 Thank you Board Member Schaljo-Hernandez:. Yes, Judge Macias. Gandara: 28 29 Macias: Thank you Madam Chair. Just one follow up question. Is there anybody at 30 the Animal Services Center that's making less than \$15 an hour? 31 32 Thacker: Yes, people who start, kennel workers who start are at \$14 an hour. And 33 after they work there a year it goes up to \$15 an hour. 34 35 So how much more money would be necessary to have the starting salary Macias: 36 at the \$15 an hour? 37 38 Thacker: I do not have that on top of my head. 39 40 Macias: Madam Chair. Could I request that information for the Board? 41 42 Gandara: Yes, of course. 43 44 Macias: Thank you. Because I know the county and I believe the City as well, had 45 already made a commitment to the bottom line wages being \$15 an hour. And part of my perspective, and maybe it has something to do also with the 46

reserve that needs to be kept, is that the Animal Services Center, we may be overseeing it as a Joint Powers Agreement, but to me they're us. They're the county and the City. And for their employees to be starting at a lower wage than what we've established, I think I certainly could advocate with the Commission that that would be worth some additional money to make sure that we're being consistent along with the Animal Services Center. So I'd like to kind of suggest that we, because I'm not finding actually, I'm real excited in terms of the request that's being made and the level that it's at, which I believe is a very, very acceptable level in terms of everything that we're dealing with across the board in all of our budgets. So I see this as being a very reasonable request, especially divided between the two entities. So I certainly would be very willing to advocate for some additional more money to make sure that we're starting all of our employees at the \$15 an hour, at least that.

1516 Gandara:

Thank you Judge Matthias for that. I appreciate that. And I would agree. I think it's pretty reasonable. I have some questions that I'd like to ask, but I will turn it over to Board Member Corran first and then I will take the rest of the time.

Corran:

Okay. I was just going to follow up on that note as well. I think the 3%, that's partly why I asked if it was for support staff or all staff, I would ask that that be revisited, making sure that the \$15 an hour sort of across the board minimum is met. But I would kind of like to see a more pyramided type of approach for the salaries of folks who are working there, that is 3% across the board versus 5% at the bottom, 3% at the top, something like that would be something that I would think would help with this disparity at the lowest end of the pay scale for folks who work there. And I think to Manager Macias's point, I think that would also be very well received among - I would receive that well as a City Councilor. And so hearing that valuing of folks who are doing really hard, all of the work is really hard but if we're dramatically undervaluing folks who are doing work that is extremely difficult, I would like us to rectify that if we can, if we have an opportunity to do so especially with such a conservative ask in the budget.

36 Thacker:

This is great news. I'm more than happy to take this back to the managers and our budget analyst and be able to figure out the best way to do it. There are some challenges because we have such specialty individuals like our veterinarian technicians and our surgical staff. And I mean everybody's such a hard incredible worker so we did run into the same issues and problems when we did our first salary increase, but we'll see if we can't get something better. This is great. This is good news.

44 Macias:

Madam Chair. Just one more comment on that point. If that causes some compression issues, because you're raising at the lower level, and therefore it compresses and there's some issues there, I think that you should look at

1 that issue as well to see if there would have to be any further modification. 2 Because I know that it's very simplistic to say, well, let's set \$15 as the 3 bottom line, but in fact if you do that you basically bring a compression with 4 some of the people that are just above that compensation level. 5 6 Thacker: Yes. 7 8 Macias: So I certainly hope that we'll factor that in when you come back and suggest 9 what additional revenue would be necessary or additional funds that would 10 be necessary. 11 12 Thank you sir. Thacker: 13 14 Any other questions with my board? Yes. Gandara: 15 16 Schaljo-Hernandez: And just to point out too, that there's currently a few bills up in the legislature that's looking at minimum wage. And a possible discussion of 17 going off of yearly increases off of CPI, which might mess up your budget. 18 19 So you might want to look into that and also offer maybe what that looks 20 like, just out of curiosity for Animal Services Center if you do go off of CPI, which was an insane percentage, I think it was like eight point something 21 22 this year. So it might be something that we want to look at as well, if that 23 does get more traction than it already has. 24 25 Thacker: Did you know what number that is so I can refer it? 26 27 Schaljo-Hernandez: The bill number? 28 29 Thacker: Yes. 30 31 Schaljo-Hernandez: I can look it up in a minute. 32 33 Thacker: All right. Thank you. 34 35 Well, we have Chair Sanchez back. And so I'm going to turn it over to him. Gandara: 36 But before I do I'm going to ask some questions. We're at that point. Clint, 37 you've talked about the HR specialist for some time, and I understand where 38 this is coming from. And this person would be a liaison, obviously to the City as the City does a lot of these, the advertising and you know whatnot. 39 40 And so what sort of conversations have you had with the City related to this and sort of how you outline you know the job duties of this person versus 41 what the City is already offering you at this point? 42 43 44 Thacker: So I have never sat down with HR director and said, "This is what we'd like 45 to do." But what I have done is when I talk to our HR analyst I would always say, "Well, if we had our own person, would this make it easier for you? Or 46

would it streamline this?" And the answer was always yes. Yes, it would. So those discussions have occurred there of if it would benefit the City and the ASCMV. And what was the other part of your question?

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22 Gandara:

1

2

Just that. I guess I would like for you to have with the analyst and more conversation about this and other positions. I think the analyst is there to help, will obviously analyze those positions and how they're working, how they're not, whether I think there was some question or some common alluded to sort of the vacancies. And what are you looking at in terms of, or at least my perception is, can some of these positions be changed to other positions? Like are they necessary for the support of the center. And so I like to have, I like for you, directing you to have this very direct conversation with the City. I know that the City when we're doing budget, at budget time, they're asking all the directors to come up with a sort of report about there's the services right, what their needs are, why they need this, sort of analysis, right of the operations and its entirety. And I don't know all the specifics, but I know there's a gap in that and I want to make sure publicly that we are working together, because if we are one then all other directors are being asked certain things and I know, I believe there's a gap on what kind of communication is being had, specifically during this point in time and it behooves us to do it because I feel like it allows for our finance team to understand and crunch numbers alongside you, to have you know what you need to operate at the optimal. So I'm asking that. What is the salary range for this HR person?

242526

23

Thacker: We put it at, so salary and benefits is \$88,831.

2728

Gandara:

Macias:

Gandara:

I know but there's benefits and all. I want what are you looking at? What is the average salary for this individual?

29 30 31

Madam Chair. Normally benefits are about a third of the amount, so it's probably in the 50 plus 1,000 salary.

323334

Gandara: Okay. \$57,000-ish.

35 36

Thacker: Yes ma'am.

37 38 39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

Okay. Okay. I think it important. And you're a small outfit so I'm thinking about my experience with HR and sort of how you sort of promoted why you would like to see this happen. And so I think about the HR specialist that I had, and she was also the person that helped with budget, and whatnot you know in my past employment. So I think those will be helpful. I don't think you'll have an issue recruiting someone of that caliber if the price is right. So I just want to make sure that we're looking at that. And that you're doing like sort of looking at what other HR specialists are looking at. And I guess is looking at the City and county, they have HR specialists. I'm not sure

Barbara if that's sort of the going rate for our HR specialist. But if we're going to make this a go and work at it, again having the conversation with the City and the county of course, but I know that the City is looking at those positions and asking each of the directors. And I know it's difficult because you have both City and county asking you, requesting different things. So I completely understand that. But Barbara, do you have an answer to that?

78 Deleon:

Yes, I do. Thank you. I was going to say Madam Chair, but we now have our Chair Sanchez. Vice-Chair Gandara. Yes, I pulled up our own HR specialist position which Clint I believe you said you would be following that job description since we had that. And that does correspond with an entry level salary and benefits for that position. So it does align on our side with the City. And it sounds like with the county as well, from the dollars that Judge Macias mentioned earlier.

Gandara: Perfect. Thank you. Thank you. And then Clint, I have some questions around the medical facility, the old facility and what the operations are going to look like given you know the sort of break in moving things over to the new center. Those numbers would be very helpful to me. What the operations and totals would look like, you know projected for the new center and the medical center. And in the medical center is that funding include the veterinarian that we've been advocating for, for so long to be able to really have this robust service array for, right the public related to spay and

have those numbers?

Thacker:

I don't have them with me now today, but I can get them to you. A lot of the old existing center in terms of the Medical Center is not going to be changing. We have staff that's going to have to be in every kennel. That's going to be cleaning. We have supervisors that are there. We have, I mean all the same consumption of cleaning supplies. I can do a break down budget for you. And to tell you. I can tell you that we're waiting to hear back on any news from legislative monies. So if we get that, then we'll be starting a major overhaul of the medical facility. Major overhaul. So that's exciting, and hopefully within the next year to be able to get that started. So that will impact as well what we're doing with the facility and how much we're spending on it.

neuter, and vaccines, and all the different things. So looking at that, do you

Gandara: What did you ask for Clint, remind me again?

41 Thacker:

It was three stages we did, we prioritized one stage was a little over, it was \$1.3 million, and then we did the whole entire project, which was about \$4 million.

Gandara: And this is capital that you asked for.

Thacker: Yes.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

Gandara:

Yes. Okay. And yes, I think those are helpful especially if you're forecasting and ... I'd like to know sort of along the lines of the questions that Judge Macias asked about the grants so like forecasting. What are you going to go for? I know that, I think, I just did a Google search, you know quickly about the types of grants that might be made available. And I know you're looking at for certain things that are necessary for the operations of the center. But I like the idea of coming up with a grant, a plan you know, for the coming year, couple of years. And I know that's, I like for Bernice or somebody to write that down as something to speak to at our strategic session that we're looking to have in April. But I think those are important because it really is going to affect sort of the budget from, right from here on out. So planning that, what we look at, we're going to look for, which also requires the services that you want to add. I just feel like having the center, the new center and forecasting that in my mind is the assumption that we're going to have more services in there, more animals. And if so, what is the cost?

18 19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33 34 And then I'll say that I, yesterday, we're Friday, Thursday yes, the facilities meeting, you know I spoke to again bringing up this new center. And it being approved, thankfully by the voters of Las Cruces, the residents of Las Cruces. And looking at how we negotiate with the county, the center, is it per animal, I mean I'd like to resurrect those conversations. I've talked to Manny about having this City and county, and I'm glad Judge Macias here, joint meeting to discuss that. It's something that I think we should have a conversation about, and maybe that's the conversations between our City Manager and our County Manager. I know there is staff here. Barbara, I see Leanne and Josh out there, just putting that as a to do list and advocating to have that happen. I think it's an important thing. So I'm looking for what are the operations right for the new center, are you going to be adding more things to that center, meaning, I hope that I don't want to see an increase in the population, but I'm feeling like, yes that might happen. And if so what is that going to look like from a budget standpoint. And being prepared for the maintenance and such. I hope that makes sense.

353637

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

And then your maintenance and repairs, typically because I've sat on facilities have been concerned about that. And I think on slide five you spoke to a decrease in the maintenance and repairs. And quite frankly, I am concerned about that because it feels like every time we turn around we're repairing something in the old center. If we get that money, and the various stages that you'd like to see, your envisioning happening with that money is basically to overhaul that center. Correct? But if we don't get it, it, it concerns me that there's a decrease of \$400. I don't know how you came up with that amount given what we've seen throughout the year, a couple of years that I've been, several years now that I've been, well I guess

1 it's been seven years I've been on facilities. The repairs and the rehab that 2 is necessary for that building has been enormous at times and so is that a 3 realistic number? 4 5 Thacker: So when we looked at this, it was basic fine tuning of, do we really need to 6 have you know, our person just purchased brand new tools, so do we need 7 to have tools and money for his tools for this one. And it was small things 8 like that. We've never ran out of money for upkeep of the center. There's times we've had to maybe postpone a little bit, like it took quite a while for 9 10 the retention pond to be done. But that was a delay with the City in getting, we had to have an architect look at it, an engineer, a new one, and so that 11 took time there. And when it came to it, we had the money and we were 12 13 able to complete it. 14 15 Right now at the center we've done a really good job, as you know through 16 the years of having money to be able to do those. The new roof was a huge one. That was put up by the City. The redo of the ventilation and also all 17 the HVAC in the kennels, that was a huge one as well. So while yes we've 18 had big projects, those big projects are I mean pretty much completed or 19 20 there are completed. And we're looking at smaller things now like the upkeep of the drains. And that's a preventive maintenance that we continue 21 22 to have that comes out of that fund. Small things like the door being ripped off because of the wind, and we had to get that fixed. So I believe that the 23 \$48,000 is adequate for that center. And we're not expecting hardly 24 25 anything for the new center being a brand new facility. 26 27 Hopefully, right. Hopefully. Well, and there's warranties and such that will Gandara: cover that. 28 29 30 Thacker: Yes. 31 32 But Clint, I guess I'm concerned with in the event we do not get the money Gandara: 33 for what you're anticipating for that first phase. What does that look like? 34 Are you going to get that money from cost savings from vacancies? Or 35 how, or you're asking City and county for that? What is that total amount for the first phase? And what is the actual detail to that renovation? 36 37 38 Thacker: I don't have the information with me right now. If you remember I presented 39 it at a board meeting quite a while ago, before we applied for the funds. 40 41 Gandara: I have slept since then. So, gosh, yes. 42 43 Thacker: I know, so have I. I can send that information to you again. But I can tell

you that the first phase did a meeting center or a conference room at the new center. And then it also totally overhauled three of our kennels. And

so they would be completely leaving one kennel operational and the other

44

45

three shut down. And that involves tearing everything out, walls down, tearing the drains out, and then redoing everything from the ground up, including brand new kennels. And so the question was, what if we don't get it? Well, obviously I don't have you know \$1.5 million in my savings anywhere, so it would just need to be delayed, for lack of a better answer. Be delayed for the year and have conversations with the City and the county to find out if that money is available, look at grants that might be available.

Gandara: It's \$1.5 total, but it's not the first phase, it's not the total.

Gandara:

Thacker: That's just the ...

That is the first phase. Okay. Thank you Clint. Okay that's helpful. And are you feeling, so maybe we'll have a better, well I'm not sure we'll have a better idea at the end of the month, but maybe in April. conversations probably need to be had and I'm asking that we have an agenda item that speaks to that. And given all the things to consider, vacancies and such, how we make that happen. Because I think if we don't do that forecast it just pushes that down and that prevents, you know that has everything to do with the quality of life for our animals in there to include the quality of, you know just a good workspace for our staff. And so I'd like to think more clearly if it's not all three kennels maybe it's one kennel, what would the cost be? That kind of thing. Right? So I'm feeling like, although reasonable to ask what you asked for, I'm hoping that as we move through this and waiting for the capitol to sort of make its way to the governor's office and what she will sign, we'll have a better idea in the next couple of months. But I am glad we're having this conversation. And then I know that TNR has been you know a big issue for us and something we want to prioritize the hiring of that TNR coordinator.

Thacker: Community Cat Program Manager.

Gandara:

Yes, Community Cat Program Manager, and sort of what you're visioning and the types of programming that might happen. And what is the budget going to look like for that program specifically, and what are your plans for that?

Thacker: So we have a Community Cat Program Manager currently in the process in the application review stage. So interviews will be happening shortly for that position. That is a funded position already, so we have money for that. Whereas for the program, we also have and the others was set aside for some training for the individual and also a small budget that can purchase educational materials, all I can think of is persuasions but that's the opposite, the little things that make animals go away, deterrents, there you go, thank you, for the deterrents. And then that individual is scheduled to

work very closely with animal control, and to be able to get hotspots from

animal control of where they're coming from. And that's when I say animal control at City and county. So they can go and talk to those individuals and see why there's hotspots, what they can do to get rid of the animals, I mean just basic education that's currently lacking right now. But as far as a large budget from the City, it's a City run program so I haven't asked any money in this budget specifically for a TNR budget, because I didn't feel like the county should pay for a City run TNR budget was my thought.

89 Gandara:

Well if we're going along that lines I could say the same about the new center. It's a huge. So I feel like it's our responsibility at both sides to fund something that's going to benefit the county. And so I'd like for you to look at that and put some money into that TNR line item so that we have a robust program specific to that. And with that, I'll turn it over to - and I'm not trying to be critical, but we've been trying to talk about this for some time and I know it brings up all these issues and emotions about it, but I also feel like you know the City took a huge chance and our citizenry at the City level, you know paid for that. And so it's only fair to have this conversation. I'm not trying to cause waves or problem, but I'd like to resurrect that conversation. So again, I don't think it's a great way to you know expect one or not the other to do something. I mean we're in it together, are we not? So I'm asking for that conversation to be had, and for it to be across the board at all levels of programming.

Thacker: Fantastic.

Gandara: Thank you. Thank you Chair Sanchez.

Sanchez: Thank you Madam Vice-Chair. And thank you for running this meeting and covering for me. Thank you so much. Okay, there any other questions?

Gandara: I was a little like spacey when I first got here. I wasn't expecting it. But no problem. Thank you Sanchez.

Sanchez: Okay, are there any other questions? Board Member Deleon.

Deleon:

Thank you so much Chair Sanchez. Just want to come back to the question with respect to the HR specialist and the resource analysis that Vice-Chair Gandara mentioned as well. You mentioned that this position may be doing payroll entry. And I know as part of the resources that we have at the City that's typically done by our office assistants. So I didn't, and I don't know that that's actually included in the job description for the HR specialist. So was there a resource analysis done with your existing positions and the responsibilities to figure out what level of work or what type of work this HR specialist would be undertaking?

Thacker:

A resource study, if you meet in the managers meeting, and several of them and we discussed what we would like to see them done, or see them performing? Then yes, we had a lot of discussion about that. Basically, it's a position that would take a lot of work off of Bernice our Operations Manager, and also take that payroll away from somebody to do it. You're right, it is not in the City's job description, but it was something that we would add in ours.

Deleon:

Okay, thanks. And I would like to come back to a discussion about the way that you're budgeting for your vacant positions, both for the salaries and for your benefits, which I didn't hear what your assumptions were for the benefits for these positions, because of course we have a variety of benefits available and if you budgeted a family, an individual, or you know how that works. And maybe the county could shed light as well on how they make assumptions for the vacant positions. But budgeting at a at 100%, it's not something that we do at the City. It's not something that we expect is going to happen just because of our, you know an ongoing vacancy rate and turnover. And so it could be that there would be some funds available through an analysis of how we budget for those vacant positions for some of the other initiatives. I would more realistically reflect the salary and benefit expenditures that you're expecting to see over the coming years. So thank you very much. Appreciate that.

Thacker: Thank you ma'am.

Thank you Board Member Deleon. Okay. Are there any other questions? Okay.

Sanchez:

Thacker:

Thank you very much, Mr. Chair and the ASCMV Board. We're going to make these changes, and see what we can do about getting back to you before the March 13th presentation. I know that's very, very, very tight, but I'm presenting this to the City on March 13th. So we'll see if we can't get something together for another work session. If not, it'll be sent out for review via e-mail, and hopefully to get some feedback on that, or at the very least to have feedback on the 23rd Board meeting.

Sanchez: Okay. That sounds good.

Gandara: Chair Sanchez. I think that would be - if I may.

Sanchez: Absolutely.

Gandara: I think that would be helpful if, he will be presenting at our City Council meeting on the 13th, but having it at our Board meeting I think as a standing

agenda item until we finalize it would be very helpful to me.

1 2	Sanchez:	That sounds good.
3	Gandara:	Thank you.
4 5 6 7 8	Sanchez:	Thank you, Clint. I think if we got that then we have a path forward. All righty. I guess in that case we've reached the end of our agenda for today's work session.
9	III. Adjo	urnment (10:16 a.m.)
10 11 12	Sanchez:	And so if I can get a motion to adjourn.
13	Schaljo-Her	nandez: Motion to adjourn.
14 15 16	Sanchez:	Motion by Commissioner Schaljo-Hernandez.
17	Corran:	Seconded.
18 19 20	Sanchez:	Seconded by Councilor Corran. If there are no objections, then we'll call this meeting adjourned at 10:16 a.m. Thank you all for joining/
21 22	BOARD OF	DIRECTORS
23 24		
25 26		Manuel Sanchez, Board Member
27		
28 29		Kasandra Gandara, Vice-Chair
30		
31 32		
33 34		Becky Corran, Chairperson
35		
36 37		Yvonne Flores, Board Member
38		i volille i lores, board Melliber
39 40		
41		Fernando Macias, Board Member
42 43		
43 44		
45		Christopher Schaljo-Hernandez, Board Member
46		

	Barbara DeLeon, Ex Officio Member
	Mary Lou Ward, Ex Officio Member
	·
ATTEST:	
Amanda Lopez Askin, County Clerk	

1			ANIMAL SERVICE CENTER OF MESILLA VALLEY
2 3			March 23, 2023 at 9:00 a.m.
4 5			
6	BOA		MBERS PRESENT:
7			ndra Gandara - Vice-Chair
8			y Corran - Board Member
9 10			orah Weir proxy for Fernando Macias - Board Member
10 11	Christopher Schaljo-Hernandez – Board Member Barbara DeLeon - Ex-Officio Member		
12	Mary Lou Ward - Ex-Officio Member		
13		iviaiy	Lou Ward - EX-Officio Member
14	ВОА	RD ME	MBERS ABSENT:
15		Manu	uel Sanchez - Chairman
16		Yvon	ne Flores - Board Member
17			
18	I.		to Order & Pledge of Allegiance (9:00 a.m.): Vice-Chair Gandara called
19		the m	neeting to order.
20		Doto	weekerties of Overvier. A greening was determined to be present
21 22	II.	Dete	rmination of Quorum: A quorum was determined to be present.
23	III.	Char	nges to Agenda and Approval of Agenda: Motion by Board Member
24	••••		an, second by Board Member Schaljo-Hernandez. Motion passed.
25			
26	IV.	Minu	ites
27		_	
28		A.	Approval of the Minutes from the regular ASCMV Board Meeting held
29			January 26, 2023: Motion by Board Member Schaljo-Hernandez, second by Board Member Corran. Motion passed.
30 31			by Board Member Corran. Motion passed.
32	٧.	Rend	orts/Presentations
33	••	Nope	
34		A.	Recognition of Vaccination Clinic Participants: Clint Thacker reported
35			on the drive-through vaccination clinics held by the ASCMV. To date, the
36			clinics have provided over 4,000 rabies vaccines; 3,397 DHPP vaccines;
37			over 2,000 microchips; 491 feline vaccines; and 1,627 Bordetella vaccines.
38			There have been plenty of volunteers to help at the last two clinics. People
39			were introduced and photos were taken.
40 41		D	CIDD Descentations 2 Hearts 4 Days - Cusan Detargen of 2 Hearts 4 Days
41 42		B.	CIPP Presentation: 2 Hearts 4 Paws: Susan Peterson of 2 Hearts 4 Paws
42 43			Refuge talked about their rescue. The two sisters run a 501(c)(3) rescue in Radium Springs and they help stray/injured/abandoned dogs and cats.
1 3 44			They have recently had enough people to be able to take animals from the
45			shelter to transport to rescues in other states. They have sent out about 12
46			small dogs and hope to start with the larger dogs soon. They also help

44

45

46

people with veterinary bills when they can. Ms. Peterson is very grateful for the cooperation and help of the shelter and the ACOs.

C. City and County ACO Reports - City and County Animal Control: Brian Hulsey reported on February with 257 reported stray animals; 186 were picked up which included 76 cats and 110 dogs. There were five sick/injured, four cats and one dog. There were two owner surrendered dogs. A four-year comparison graph of stray animals was shown. A fouryear return to owner comparison was shown. There were 34 RTOs in There were three welfare hold animals. There were no neglect/cruelty animals. There were ten shelter quarantines and five home quarantines. There are two dogs being held in a livestock mauling case. There were five animals in live evidence awaiting court. One rabies submission for February. There were 50 diverted intakes in February. A chart of animals picked up per county district: District 1 had nine animals; District 2 had 24; District 3 had 53; District 4 had 34; District 5 had 32; District 6 had 11; District 7 had 23. Year-to-Date totals for 2023 were shown for cats and then dogs. Reasons for owner release: One kept escaping and the owner could not take care of it and the other attacked livestock. Mary Lou Ward reminded the Board that there is a shortage of ACOs right now and that is why the RTO numbers are low.

Officer Torrez reported the City's November numbers: 476 reported strays; 215 gone on arrival; 261 apprehended; 222 impounded at the shelter, 108 dogs, 114 cats. Successful field RTO 39 animals, 10 unsuccessful attempts to RTO. There was a total of 49 identifiable stray animals. Three-year comparison shows 886 animals for the year. Three-year field RTO comparison shows 39 field RTOs compared to 51 in 2022 and 31 in 2021. The community cat program graph showed 107 animals trapped, 12 were released in the area as already earmarked; there were 55 cat in trap calls, but some of those were just requests for traps. Three community cats released for 2022. Reasons for owner releasing animals: A mom dog with 11 puppies; seven for aggression and five of those were not permitted by landlords; three owner unable to care for them; one for a bite; one sick/old; one too strong for the elderly owner; and one injured cat. Reasons for being unable to field RTO: Seven microchips had not been updated and three no contact by phone or at the residence. The cat in trap calls need to be separated into requests for traps and cat in trap. Ideally the cats in the traps will be neutered and released.\

D. Shelter Statistics/Activities: Clint Thacker reported February animal count as of Wednesday is 419. February intake continues to climb: 727 animals taken in with 489 being dogs, 231 are cats, and seven others; 59% were brought in by ACOs, 19.5% were strays by the public, and 12% were owner surrenders. Staff talks to owners and public to try to find alternatives to surrendering to the shelter. There were 11 dogs and two cats returned.

Diverted intakes were 261. Animals leaving center: 17% by adoption, 13% reclaims, 27% rescues, 17% community cats, 25% euthanasia rate. Therefore, live release rate is 74.7%. Rescue groups took 197 animals in February. Euthanasia reasons include respiratory signs, non-treated due to severity; aggressiveness; space/medical; cats were mostly euthanized due to space/behavior/medical. Year-to-date surgeries are 655; 296 of those in February. The "other" category refers to any surgical procedure that is not neutering or any animal adopted that is not a cat or dog. The volunteers gave 843 hours in February, including the vaccine clinic. Visitor numbers are 1,479 individuals. Webpage views were high. Facebook views were also high. Upcoming events include the Icebox Brewery Concert on April 15th, Petrie Adoption Event on April 8th, and Animal Care and Control Appreciation Week is April 12th through 18th. The shelter also presents information and Pet of the Week at the City Council meetings.

E. Committee Reports

- 1. Finance Barbara DeLeon, Committee Chair: The quarterly finance report will be presented next month, as the Board has decided to do these quarterly rather than monthly. Josh Saffell will present this next month. This month's budget parameter was just under 67% of expected at eight months into the fiscal year. The current unassigned fund balance for the ASCMV is \$440,000. Chair DeLeon is not concerned about anything in the financial activities.
- 2. Executive Kasandra Gandara, proxy for Manuel Sanchez, Committee Chair: The committee spent the meetings and work sessions working on the budget with Clint Thacker, discussing progress on the facilities and the population there, discussing vacancies in the staff and what to do about them. Mr. Thacker is finding out why people are resigning to try to improve employee retention rates. The Committee has not yet provided Mr. Thacker's yearly evaluation. The JPA is currently under review, as it needs to be updated. Barbara DeLeon reports that her group has been able to review the JPA and plans to improve the format for ease of use. Ms. Gandara reported that the Committee plans to do something to honor the artist of the mural on the old facility.
- 3. Facilities Kasandra Gandara, Committee Chair: The Committee discussed the population, the old facility, and different projects in the City and ASCMV as well as the new facility. There is a slight problem with funding to update one area. Tom Gallagher of the City of Las Cruces is the Project Manager for the new center and he reported to the Board that the project is almost done. The only hold-up is the indoor kennels and fences. The City was trying to value engineer this equipment and it took most of last year. The City put down payment

on the kennels in January and last week the manufacturer gave a firm date of May 5th for shipping. There is currently not enough funds to finish the get-acquainted areas and some of the other things in the back area. It is expected to cost over \$300,000 to finish the back area with treated concrete pads with proper drainage. Staff has been searching for other options to build this, but there do not seem to be any alternatives. The dog park is almost ready to open. Some of the fences needed to be modified to prevent smaller dogs from escaping, possibly onto Rinconada. El Paso Electric will be doing their part of the lighting in the end of April. Clint Thacker reminded everyone that the ASCMV is not connected to the dog park at all, in spite of being right next door. Board Member Macias sent an e-mail earlier asking if there was any budget left over to use to finish the back area. If the Board decides to use the 1/12 surplus that Director Thacker had been requested to hold back, then there would be enough. Mr. Thacker requested guidance from the Board. Vice-Chair Gandara suggested adding this item to the next work session.

VI. DISCUSSION ITEMS:

A. MOU between HALO Animal Rescue and the ASCMV: Clint Thacker reported that this MOU is simple and was approved by the ASCMV attorney. It is an agreement that the HALO Rescue will pay a certain amount for each animal removed. Clint Thacker has the power to sign the agreement and he plans to do so.

B. Old ASCMV Building Mural Recognition: Clint Thacker spoke to Wilson Binkley Advertising to make a plaque of the old mural. The original artist, Tracy Jamison, has not yet responded to Mr. Thacker's call to ask for stories about creating it.

VII. ACTION ITEMS:

A. Resolution 2023-01 - Accept the \$2,400 HSUS Animal Care Expo Scholarship Grant: This grant will allow some of the ASCMV's employees to attend the Animal Care Expo. Two employees will fly there to attend. Two more employees will attend via Zoom. The Humane Society of the United States has very helpful information.

Motion by Board Member Corran, second by Board Member Schaljo-Hernandez. Motion passed.

B. Resolution 2022-02 - Accept the ASCMV FY24 Budget:

TABLED UNTIL THE APRIL MEETING OF THE ASCMV.

PUBLIC INPUT: 1 VIII. 2 3 A member of the public spoke of NM Statute 55-201 and requested that this statute 4 be implemented so he can get his pet back from whom he had given it to, and then 5 changed his heart and wanted his pet back. He mentioned he is going to court do 6 try and acquire his pet back. Board Member Corran did mention that this is a state 7 law and not City. Suggestion of changing laws concerning animals being 8 categorized as property/objects. 9 10 IX. CHAIR AND BOARD COMMENTS: 11 12 Board Member Schaljo Hernandez wants to go tour the new facility. 13 14 Board Member Corran says Board Member Schaljo Hernandez has to take donuts 15 when he visits the new facility. She also mentions that the City is looking at all the 16 animal ordinances to try to improve them. 17 18 Board Member Weir thanks presenters for good information. 19 20 Board Member DeLeon also thanks presenters for the good information and also thanks ASCMV for the excellent presentations at the City Council meetings. 21 22 23 Board Member Gandara wants to know how the effort to recruit a second 24 veterinarian is going and would like it to be a standing item at the Executive 25 Committee meetings. 26 27 Clint Thacker reports that the second veterinarian position was a new position 28 added two years ago. Efforts to fill the position have not been successful. There 29 were only two applicants: A beginning veterinary student and a retired veterinarian 30 who did not wish to do surgeries. The ASCMV Medical Director is meeting someone to help improve the recruitment advertisements. 31 32 33 Board Member Gandara discussed the enticements the City has tried to use to get 34 a second veterinarian. She hopes to talk to NMSU and DACC to find up-and-35 coming students. 36 37 X. Adjournment (10:25 a.m.): Motion by Board Member Schaljo-Hernandez, 38 second by Board Member Corran. Motion passed 39 40 **BOARD OF DIRECTORS** 41 42 43 Manuel Sanchez, Chairperson 44 45 46

	Kasandra Gandara, Vice-Chair
	Becky Corran, Board Member
	Becky Corrain, Board Member
	Yvonne Flores, Board Member
	,
	Fernando Macias, Board Member
	
	Christopher Schaljo-Hernandez, Board Member
	Barbara DeLeon, Ex Officio Member
	Daibara Delecti, Ex Officio Metribel
	Mary Lou Ward, Ex Officio Member
	•
ATTEST:	

1		ANIMAL SERVICE CENTER OF MESILLA VALLEY	
2 3		March 30, 2023 at 9:00 a.m.	
4			
5 6	R∩APD ME	MBERS PRESENT:	
7		uel Sanchez - Chairman	
8		ndra Gandara - Vice-Chair (arrived 9:06)	
9	Becky Corran - Board Member		
10		ando Macias - Board Member	
11	Christopher Schaljo-Hernandez – Board Member		
12		ert Deplain proxy for Barbara DeLeon - Ex-Officio Member	
13		. . .	
14	BOARD ME	MBERS ABSENT:	
15	Yvon	ne Flores - Board Member	
16	Mary	Lou Ward - Ex-Officio Member	
17	•		
18	I. Pled	ge of Allegiance (9:00 a.m.):	
19			
20	Sanchez:	All right, so it is 9:02 a.m. on Thursday March 30, 2023. We will call this	
21		work session for the Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Board of	
22		Directors meeting to order. And if you all would rise and join me in the	
23		Pledge of Allegiance.	
24			
25	ALL STOOL	FOR THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.	
26			
27	Sanchez:	Thank you.	
28			
29	II. Dete	rmination of Quorum and Call to Order:	
30	0 1		
31	Sanchez:	and if we can get a roll call please.	
32	D =	Daniel Marchan Ward is absort. Daniel Marchan Daniel	
33	Baum:	Board Member Ward is absent. Board Member Deplain.	
34	Danlain	Llava	
35	Deplain:	Here.	
36	Paumi	Poord Member Mexico	
37	Baum:	Board Member Macias.	
38 39	Macias:	Present.	
	Macias.	rieseiii.	
40 41	Baum:	Board Member Flores is absent. Board Member Schaljo-Hernandez.	
42	Dauiii.	Doard Member Flores is absent. Doard Member Schaljo-Hemandez.	
42	Schalio_Her	nandez: Present.	
44	Ochaijo-Hel	Handoz. i 103 0 11t.	
45	Baum:	Board Member Gandara is absent. Board Member Corran.	

1 Corran: Present. 2 3 And Chair Sanchez. Baum: 4 5 Sanchez: Present. 6 7 You have a quorum. Baum: 8 9 Sanchez: Thank you. 10 Discuss the FY 23-24 Revised Budget and the 1/12 Fund Balance 11 III. 12 Recommendation: 13 14 Sanchez: All right, we'll move into our agenda item which is discuss the fiscal year 23-15 24 revised budget and the 1/12th fund balance recommendations. Mr. 16 Thacker. 17 18 Thank you Mr. Chair. Do you want, Which one do you want to discuss first, Thacker: 19 the 1/12th or the budget? 20 21 Sanchez: I guess which is ... 22 23 Thacker: Budget. 24 25 Sanchez: I don't know, Councilor which do you prefer? I would say the budget is 26 probably the more pressing matter. Okay, we'll just start with the budget 27 then. 28 29 Thacker: Thank you. All right, for the record my name is Clint Thacker, Executive 30 Director at the Animal Service Center of Mesilla. Valley. We're here today to talk about the fiscal year 24 proposed budget. This is a work session for 31 32 the ASCMV Board of Directors. 33 34 Quick history. In 2021 we received a fund increase from the City. In 2022 35 we received an increase from the County. So in fiscal year 23 both parties were paying \$1.75 million to support our operations. So a guick summary. 36 First of all let me say, I was able to speak with Barbara Deleon. We had a 37 38 good conversation about the presentation, some things that needed 39 clarification. So I hope as we go through this that that's evident and resolve 40 some of the concerns and also questions that the Board had. 41 42 So first expanding operations. This you've seen before but broken out a 43 little bit differently. So staffing specialist, that is to fill our positions quickly 44 It also reduces the work for the City Human and more efficiently. 45 This individual will be a direct liaison between the two. Previously before it was called a Human Resource Specialist I think or a 46

liaison, something like that. Speaking with HR director, she recommended this position that they've tried in several different departments and been successful with. They already have it all designated what they're supposed to do as a staffing specialist. The \$60,000 does include benefits for that. 3% salary COLA increase, the \$63,000 that is to of course cover the cost of living adjustment. Minimum wage increase from \$14 to \$15 an hour, that was requested by the Board. That's \$82,000. Our 4% health and dental benefit increase. The \$62,000 that's there not only includes the 4% but we also funded all of our vacant positions at individual insured basis. When we talked with the City, the City does family, the fund for family. But when we looked at ours, we noticed that the majority are individual. So we followed that trend and funded for individual coverage. FICA, Social Security, PERA etc., that increase is \$120,000, along with, and the reason why it's more is because it's all percentage based. So with the COLA increase, and also the \$14 to \$15 it went up. Now the community cat program, totals \$133,000, but all the threes underneath it, the rank order of three, those are all what make the \$133,000. So community outreach is \$10,000, spay neuter surgeries \$96,000, and you see the rest. The crisis fund, that is for a cat that has injuries that were going to be able to be treated safely by the individual, and also for the cat as a small \$5,000 fund. So those last four threes equal the \$133,000 that are there.

An autoclave for the new center, that was on recommendation of the technician that comes out and services ours, says that one of them, we have two, that one of them is in need of replacement. And then the next two, the \$18,500, that is, you see there, mostly for the new center. ETV stands for electronic terrain vehicle, basically, it's like a golf cart to be able to have our building specialist manager go back and forth between the campuses carrying the tools, and also the projects as needed. It will also be used to shuttle large breed animals that need to be x-rayed from the intake of the new center up to the x-ray machine that's at the old center in the back there. Staff and training we use training not only as a way to further education for our coworkers, but also as an incentive on retention, more or less, so they know that we are willing to invest in them, so in turn they can help invest in us. So the total of our expanding operations is \$558,381.

This is a breakout as well, it was asked what is in your services. So we took by department and then you'll see the yellow at the bottom is the grand total. So the cost of living adjustment, you'll see there is \$63,585. The FICA, PERA, retire, that's \$120,000. Health and dental benefits, you'll see the total is \$62,422. And then the minimum wage increase is that total \$82,000. So add those all up and it's \$328,492. The last column is the vacancy reduction. So how we were figuring the vacancy reduction in the past is we took a percentage of monies away. And that's not how the City does this, the City requested that we do it per person or per position, so that's what we switched to. When I looked at the vacancies, the medical, we have a

basically a vacancy rate of one, historically the veterinarian position has but very difficult to fill. However, we do have one person in that, that we are currently looking at. So I feel very hesitant in funding it at like 50% because if we do hire this individual, then we want to have that money there. So speaking with our program manager we're going to fund that vacancy at 75%. With salary savings I'm sure we'll be able to make up that rest of 25%. Historically, that hasn't been an issue.

Kennel operations. There are, any given time there can be three positions that are down. So we funded two full time positions at 50%, one part time position at 50%. And admin services, that is the front office. We did one full time position at 50% and we did one part time position at 50%. I believe these will adequately show the vacancies for the center and more adequately gives us that reduction rate. So that \$241,286 is applied to our budget underneath salaries and savings. So basically, it's kind of a credit that we add to it

This is another side that you're very familiar with, but we broke it out more. So you'll see in yellow down there, five lines down total salaries and wages, it's a change of \$568,795. So what's that makeup of? Well, you see the staffing specialists there, the COLA, minimum wage, and then the remaining is this living wage adjustment. Now, if you remember in March we gave coworkers of ASCMV a significant wage adjustment, it's anywhere from 30 to 27%. We called it a living wage adjustment. This brought up wages to a competitive rate that we're able to get and retain individuals. In March, the Board asked me, how are you going to fund from March until the end of June, and I said through salary savings. And we were able to do that without any problems. Then the new budget was presented and we did not get that budget that had this new living wage adjustment built into it. We rolled over the fiscal year 2022 into 2023, which means I did not have that money in the budget to cover. So that's what that living wage adjustment is, is bringing us up to date to cover those wages of the \$362,000. So that makes our total salaries and wages \$568,795 change. The total for it is \$2,342,349.

The next line down, the FICA and the health and dental, we've already covered those, just remember that again it goes off a percentage. And when you increase like we did with the 3% salary wage, the minimum wage, it goes up plus the 4% that the City said to plan on an increase to budget. The fiscal year living wage adjustment, that's the same thing as the other one, where when you increase individual salaries, then you have the increase with the same, the PERA and the dental and the health because again it goes by percentage. So that is what that \$97,324 is. So our total benefits and deductions, it's a change or an adjustment from \$280,147. So a total of \$919,389. Insurance is the next one down, it increased by \$8,500. That is increased that the center pays on itself. So workers comp, that's the

one that you see in the finance where it says we pay it all at once. That's what that is. The company told us that there's going to be an increased percentage. That's what that is.

Minor equipment. And for the sake of this presentation, minor equipment is everything that we're getting, some of it may fit into major, for instance if it's over a \$5,000 purchase that goes into major but for the sake of the presentation we just grouped everything together for ease. autoclave was \$5,500. We had ETV and the computers that was \$18,500. And it just goes up from there, continue. The community cat program is one that the ASCMV Board requested to be put in. We were able to reduce that number to I believe an adequate, and you saw that in the previous slides. Originally that had the community program manager in it, it also had an office assistant in it, also had a helpline in it. We were able to, I feel that between the community cat program manager and our front office staff we'll be able to absorb those questions that are going to be coming in. Because historically we have not had a lot of questions coming in. Granted, we haven't had the education out there in the public about it. But we were able to reduce some of those costs, get that down \$133,000. And then other, we don't have a line item called other. That is just everything else that we asked for, for instance what's in there is an extra \$24,000 for utilities because we took what we had at the old center and we duplicated it for the new center. Water is another one, about \$16,000 for water. So basic utilities there. For the other small increments like the volunteer has a \$3,000 budget for education, just small things like that, that were all added together. That made that change, the \$77,275. So of all total expenses we're looking at a budget of \$4.969 million, so \$4,969,098, it's a change of \$1,098,575.

Now to help take these costs down a little bit, you can see here we have revenues increases and decreases. Now the third line down is pet licenses. We no longer do pet licenses. That's an ordinance change. So that's some revenue that was lost. You see there \$14,000. So the County and the City both do the \$1.75 million. And our Animal Shelter Services, that's actually our owner surrender fees are \$6,400. When I looked at our intake, I noticed that the third highest form of intake is our owner surrenders. If you recall, we used to not charge anything, then it increased, we put it to \$20, I'm going from \$20 to \$35 to help. I would like to go from \$20 to \$35 to help reduce some of those costs. That gives us an extra \$6,000 that we're able to do. Not only that but it also places some more responsibility on the individual. Now, if the individual comes and says, "Absolutely, I don't have it," we're not going to say, no, we're going to take the animal as always. We always ask how much money do you have. But from our last year's numbers when we looked at it, we were good in saying we'd get at least \$6,000 extra. And then also put in from our balance trailer, from our fund balance \$200,000. And that will reduce the ask to the City's. So in all when you look at the next slide, this is just a quick recap of proposal expenses is \$4,969,099, you take

what revenue we normally get, the \$362,134, that has the increased \$6,000 in it. You take the fund balance transfer that we can put in to lower the ask, that is a total contribution from the ASCMV of \$562,134. So you subtract that from the \$4.9 million, that gives you the \$4.406 million that's needed from the City and County. And that makes the below there the \$2.203 million for the City and County contributions is the final ask. And I'll answer any questions you may have.

89 Sanchez:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

2425

26

27

28 29

32 33

34 35

3637

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

Thank you Mr. Thacker. Councilor Corran.

Corran:

Thank you. Thank you for this more dated. Although you know we've shifted from like an easy blow just slightly harder blow I feel in the transitional period. But I think I appreciate it. And I also just wanted to follow up on a few things, in particular related to the community cat program. We are due, the City and perhaps the County I'm not sure, I think most municipal jurisdictions are due money from the state pet food related settlement that the New Mexico Department of Agriculture is holding on to and not distributing to any localities, as they are supposed to be doing. We've asked our legal department to follow up on this because there's actually a lawsuit I think against them to try to get them to release the money from the state. And I don't know exactly how much that is. But the community cat program total sounds close to what I think we were imagining might be part of that. So I say that not to soften the blow but in hopes that that actual part will be carved out and covered potentially by that funding or a combination of that funding and other places. So that doesn't really help our conversation necessarily here today except to say I'm hopeful that within the next year that money will actually shake loose and be provided and we can use some of it to supplement these activities, I hope. I don't know.

30 31 Thacker:

And also to mention we're always searching for grants and grants are always going to be looking for. And for instance just last week we did the was \$2,400 or \$2,800 for the grant for two of my staff to go do training. I mean those small ones, yes they're small, but it will also free up funding that will go into our fund balance or also be used for other items. So it's a continual process, grants are.

38 Sanchez:

Thank you Councilor. No, and I think it's a valid point because I think when I'm looking at this kind of the meat and potatoes of this budget increase is real the salary increases, the benefit increases. So I know that's going to be recurring expenses. And so you know I think going on in the back of my mind is you know is there a way to minimize the blow even this fiscal year so that you know then when we go into the next you know fiscal year we have that, just kind of a more gradual growth to what we're growing into our budget. But I know what you're saying like I said, we don't have, that's not

1		money that we have at the moment in our hand. But thank you for bringing
2 3		that point up. Are there any other questions? Mayor Pro Tem.
5 6 7 8 9	Gandara:	Thank you. Thank you Clint for putting this together, going back and doing what, I know we've met a couple of times since then. I'm just trying to adjust and give the Board what they're asking for. The \$200,000 fund balance transfer, does that include, we talked about the past Board's direction in ensuring that you have the 1/12th, the 1/8th,
10 11	Thacker:	So that is part of our
12	Gandara:	is that what that is?
13 14 15	Thacker:	Well, the fund balance is that, the 1/12th.
16 17	Gandara:	Yes.
17 18 19	Thacker:	Yes.
20 21	Gandara:	Yes.
22 23 24 25 26 27	Thacker:	But that's part of the discussion we need to have today. If we agree with that we need to have the 1/12th, and that's something we need to look at if the 1/12th will be there after I do the \$200,000. Projection-wise we think it will be. Because that's \$200,000 that we would be able to use. But if the Board says no, we don't have to do a 1/12th, that we can use it as needed, then we'll for sure have that \$200,000.
28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Gandara:	Okay. I just want to honor you know the past Board's, we can't, every, so we have to plan for this. I think it's important that we have it, the 1/12th, and I think vacillating from that year to year is not good fiscal responsibility when a board has decided this is the 1/12th. And we're going to continue to do that. I know, and so I'll say that. The other is, did you change it?
35 36	Thacker:	Which one ma'am?
37 38 39 40	Gandara:	Move back to slide the previous, yes, maybe the one before that. Yes, that one. The minimum wage increase from \$14 an hour to \$15 an hour. How many employees does that include?
41 42 43	Thacker:	It is roughly, if I remember correctly, it's like 31, I want to say. Our largest is in the kennels. We have such a high turnover in the kennels we're continuing hiring at \$14 an hour.
44 45 46	Gandara:	And what is the vacancy rate at the kennel portion of your?

1	Thacker:	Inside the kennel. Well, currently we have
2 3	Gandara:	What do you, what should you have and what you have currently.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Thacker:	We currently have, if I remember right, we have three full time and one part time open. And the reason why I'm hesitant to say is because just yesterday we had somebody move from full time to part time. I mean it's constantly changing. But I don't know the number of employees directly in the kennel department, but I can get that for you.
	Gandara:	Okay. I think that's important. So you're thinking it's about 31 employees that we're looking at.
13 14	Thacker:	Throughout the entire ASCMV.
15 16	Gandara:	Throughout the entire ASCMV.
17 18	Thacker:	Yes ma'am.
19 20 21	Gandara:	Okay. Okay. And let's see what other questions. Remind me your current vacancy.
22 23	Thacker:	Current vacancy is approximately 20%.
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Gandara:	Okay. And I caught the tail end of the discussion. Sorry, I was late. I had a phone call and it just got me here, detained me. So I got the tail end of the conversation about that you were, around vacancies and how are you, the 75%, that whole veterinarian component and you're 50% of the, you're planning for 50% full time, part time. Can you just go over that just one more time?
31 32 33	Thacker:	Absolutely.
34 35	Gandara:	Thank you.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Thacker:	So this vacancy reduction, the pink column on the very end, we did in the medical department historically the position that's only been vacant there is the veterinarian position. Speaking with the medical director, we're very hesitant that funding at 50% or even less, we did not want to go that low. Especially because we have somebody that has applied that's of interest to us. So we are funding that at 75%.
	Gandara:	Okay.
	Thacker:	For that. And then the kennel operations, we look at that and historically, when I looked we were about three positions. Usually we're open average

1 throughout the year, so we took two full time positions funded them at 50%, 2 and we did one part time position at 50%. And then the admin services, 3 which is the front office, we did that at one full time position of 50% and one 4 part time position of 50%. 5 6 Gandara: Okay. Okay. 7 8 Thacker: It's far less money than what was done in our last one but we did go with 9 the City and do by position. 10 11 Gandara: Okay. All right. And then I'm curious about the statement you made it regarding the other specific to the bills, like the electric bills, that you know 12 13 those things, the energy and duplicating it for the new center. The new 14 center has, if I'm not mistaken, we're energy efficient. We have solar in 15 there and I don't know that that's accurate. So talk to me about why you 16 doubled that. 17 18 Thacker: So we do not know how much it's going to be to run that building. We have 19 bills in it, or we have invoices from El Paso Electric. That's not our usage. 20 That's just people in there. So to be safe and cautious, we duplicated what our existing bill is at the original center, where we have some of the same 21 22 things of energy efficient lighting, we have lights that go on and off when 23 there's no motion in the existing center. But we don't have any solar. And that's the big one. We don't know how much it's going to be to run that new 24 25 facility. 26 27 For the new center. Gandara: 28 29 Thacker: For the new facility. 30 31 Gandara: Okay. 32 33 Thacker: Yes ma'am. 34 35 All right. Thank you. Those are my questions at this point. Gandara: 36 37 Sanchez: Thank you Mayor Pro Tem. Mr. Macias, please go ahead. 38 39 Macias: I'm happy to wait if some of the other elected officials have questions. 40 41 No, I think you can proceed. Sanchez: 42 43 Macias: Thank you. So just a little bit more simplistic understanding. I did have 44 some conversations with Ms. Deleon, but prior to the last work session. So what overall percentage increase in the budget that you're asking for? What 45 is the overall increase percentage-wise? 46

1 2 Thacker: Remember that, Mike? I'm going to defer that question to Mike Fuchini, our 3 budget analyst. I actually think there's a slide. Is it updated? 4 5 Macias: Is that what it is, the 17.2, although it's. 6 7 Thacker: I don't think it's updated one. 8 9 Macias: Yes, that seems more like it. 10 11 Thacker: 28%, 28.6%. 12 13 Macias: On the community cat with the additional spay and neuter, so are we looking to substitute what the SNAP program is doing? 14 15 16 Thacker: No, we're not substituting. So they take their animals to us. And so the 17 reason why they like us is because we charge them less. So that \$96,000. I don't, well I take that back, I don't know if SNAP even does feral cats. I 18 19 think they just do owned animals. That's something I could look into and 20 get back to you on that. 21 22 Macias: Okay. So, again, what does that cost necessarily cover, the \$96,000 if 23 they're bringing them to the shelter to be spayed and neutered. 24 25 Thacker: So that covers our veterinarian fee. It also covers vaccines, microchip, and 26 all heart items needed for the surgery. So the surgery pack, the 27 disposables, all the medicine given. 28 29 Macias: And that activity doesn't occur now if a feral cat comes in, besides being 30 microchipped, they're not spayed or neutered. 31 32 Thacker: Yes, they are. 33 34 Macias: So that's already incorporated into the budget, so why the additional 35 \$96,000? 36 37 Thacker: Because as for right now we're absorbing what happens with the community 38 cats for Doña Ana County and the City. So this would give us an actual 39 budget that we could use just for feral cats. So I'm using the same budget 40 for adoptable animals as I am for the feral animals or the community cat 41 program. So if you were to ask me, how much money are you doing for 42 community cats? I could not answer that question with a high degree of certainty, because I don't have any line items or spending tracking for that. 43 44 But if I did, if I had this \$96,000, I could tell you what that would be because

they would be pointed or spent out of that amount.

45

1 2 3	Macias:	Although you would be able to do that now just with an accounting change or dividing up a smaller portion of the current budget that you have.
4	Thacker:	That's correct.
5 6 7 8 9	Macias:	You see how long it would take you to spend, say \$20,000 versus \$96,000.
	Thacker:	It could, but that would be money taken away from our adoption program, sterilizations, return to owner sterilizations, rescue sterilizations, as well.
10 11 12	Macias:	And your current amount for that effort is what?
13 14	Thacker:	I don't have an exact amount for that budget, but I can get it for you.
15 16	Macias:	So have you run short in that line item this current year.
16 17 18 19 20	Thacker:	This current year projected, we will not because everything is, like I said we put everything into that line item. When I say everything that means any of our extra go into that line item.
21 22 23	Macias:	So some of that money, even this year, could be used to identify the percentage dedicated to feral animals or feral cats.
24 25	Thacker:	It could.
26 27 28 29 30	Macias:	So with even three months left in the fiscal year, and if you're anticipating not using the entire amount, some of that right now could be separated into different account and you could have at least 90 days' worth of a sense of how much of a drawdown it's actually occurring and whether you can incorporate that amount into next year and perhaps reduce that \$96,000.
31 32	Thacker:	Yes, I mean anything's possible. I could. You bet.
33 34 35 36 37	Macias:	Let's see, there was I think the last conversation that I had, there was an indication that you have at least a couple of positions that haven't been filled at all this year. Is that correct?
38 39	Thacker:	That is correct.
40 41 42	Macias:	And there wasn't any discussion about reclassifying one of those positions to your human resources specialist?
43 44 45 46	Thacker:	No, because there's, again between Munis and our actual records, Munis is the program that the City uses. There's some discrepancies on what's available and what's not. So there's for instance a records clerk on the Munis books, but Bernice, our operations director, does all the records, the

1 for the recordkeeping, the records requests. We didn't feel like that was a 2 full time job that was needed. So it may show that one's vacant, and it's 3 been funded but it's actually not a position. Another one is an animal 4 transport. And again that is, we reclassified that one a while ago as an 5 animal care specialist. And that's the (inaudible) are getting fixed and 6 completed. So all of them are. And that should be in talking to Bernie that 7 should be done this week as we had a meeting with the City to explain why 8 they were there and why not reclassified as needed. 9 10 Macias: So can you go to that slide that shows the total amount that you're asking from each institution? So where is the breakdown for each? 11 12 13 Sanchez: At the bottom, County Manager. 14 The \$2.2 million. So that's an increase for each institution of ... 15 Macias: 16 Sanchez: 17 Sorry, that wouldn't be the increase. Sorry, the increase I think is in the 18 previous slide. 19 20 Thacker: Yes. \$453,000 is the increase. 21 22 Sanchez: And the \$2.2 million would be the ask for the fiscal year. 23 24 Macias: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 25 26 Sanchez: Is that all? Do you have additional questions County Manager? 27 28 Macias: No, not questions. 29 30 Sanchez: Okay. 31 32 Macias: I have to express a concern that certainly at the County level, I don't know, I always hear that the City also has to deal with certain financial limitations. 33 34 I don't anticipate that we're going to be able to give any of our departments 35 a 28% increase going into the next fiscal year. So I have to express a few concerns about you know keeping it more in the 15% range. 36 37 38 Thank you County Manager Macias. Clint. I know, you know, and maybe Sanchez: 39 this is an oversimplification in the way that I'm seeing the numbers. So the 40 \$200,000 balance transfer that we're looking at essentially covers, if you were to go back to slide six, essentially your insurance, minor equipment, 41 community cat program, other. I know this is not an exact, but ballpark 42 figure, your fund transfer covers all those items at the bottom. And so really 43 44 at the end of the day I think where we're taking the majority of this budget adjustment or sorry, the increase in budget, is salaries and benefits. And 45 then the only difference is that staffing specialist. If there was a way, and I 46

1 don't know, just thinking, I think as the County Manager had noted at least 2 having some concerns and maybe how to reduce the impact for this fiscal 3 year. Is there any way we might be able to look at phasing in some of the 4 benefits? I don't know that there's any other way that we can adjust this 5 budget because I think at the end of the day this is kind of where the ask is, 6 is salaries and benefits. At least what I'm looking at it, and I get it, this is an 7 oversimplification maybe. But is there any way that we might be able to 8 minimize that impact in this fiscal year, and then maybe plan it out over the 9 next couple of years? 10 11 Thacker: Yes, definitely. I mean, we could do a 1.5% salary or COLA increase this 12 year and another 1.5% next year. Minimum wage, we could do \$14.50 this 13 year and another \$0.50 the next year. I mean, yes we can phase things in 14 Absolutely. The big one that I have is we've got to bridge that gap for the 15 living wage adjustment that I did. 16 17 Sanchez: And that's almost like half of ... 18 19 Thacker: Yes. 20 21 Sanchez: Okay. 22 23 Thacker: So we can for sure run those numbers and let you know. I'm concerned 24 about doing that with the health, the FICA, because those were 25 recommendations from the City. Those will go down as we adjust the salaries, but not very much. 26 27 28 Sanchez: Okay. 29 30 Thacker: Would you like me to do that? 31 32 Sanchez: I guess I'd be curious to understand and see. I think I'm kind of worried though that given, like what you're saying if the benefits don't come down 33 34 an equal amount, which I also can understand, I just, you know, I'd be 35 curious to see what the impact could be. But I don't know, that's just me, I don't know what the other Board Members. 36 37 38 Thacker: Another thing we could do is we could look at increasing our revenues. And 39 that would mean increasing our prices for things, which has been a 40 hesitation for the Board always, and I agree. Another thing we could do is increase access to the fund balance, that's something we want to do as 41 42 well. 43 44 Sanchez: Just on that note, and I don't recall if, I'm sure we probably have had a presentation on this, and I just don't recall at the moment, but when we had 45

46

those changes in fees, did we see any impact on surrenders or even just

1 the different, I mean I know you did the adoption fees as well and you have 2 plenty of specials as we go through the year. But have we seen an impact 3 in terms of outside of COVID, but adoptions, owner surrenders, have we 4 seen anything drop off because of those fees increasing? 5 6 Thacker: No. We have not. So the big concern was people are just going to abandon 7 their animals, you know when we raised it to \$20. And there hasn't been a 8 problem at all. In fact, the majority of the time, the biggest problem is, is 9 when we do what's called a scheduled intake, when we say you can't bring 10 your animal in right now, let's make an appointment to bring it in and we can talk about it. That's the biggest issue. The fees are a problem. In fact, I 11 12 talked to somebody yesterday who was trying to adopt and they wanted to 13 know how much the adoption was and I said well for this animal it's already 14 sterilized, already vaccinated, everything, it's going to be \$25 with our special. And they're like, wow, I expected \$150. So I think the expectation 15 16 out there is that it's lower. 17 18 Okay. And I think you know I think when you look at slide seven though I Sanchez: 19 don't know that we can increase the revenues in that, on paper will you 20 know, bridge some of that gap. But I just don't see, you know even with the increase, I don't know that we're going to make up a significant portion of 21 22 what we're looking at. And I'm not against it, I just think realistically though 23 I don't think we're going to again ... 24 25 Thacker: It would have to be a significant increase. 26 27 Sanchez: Okay. 28 29 Thacker: I like the fact of you know trying to ease into things. If the Board would like 30 I can take number six, slide six, and Mike can work the numbers and reduce those down, and I can send slide six to the Board and see what that bottom 31 32 line would be. 33 34 Sanchez: I'd be curious to see it. 35 36 Thacker: Okay. 37 38 Okay. Mayor Pro Tem Gandara. Sanchez: 39 40 Thank you. Thank you. Yes, I would agree to that as well, as much as it Gandara: pains me to not be able to do it almost immediately this next budget cycle, 41 because I think living wage is, as we're calling it, is such an important aspect 42 and priority for me as it relates to our staff and the well-being and I know 43 44 that's a piece of it, especially in really difficult positions like the ones that you have an animal services. But I think if we look at it, let's see what it 45

46

looks like, I'm also on the fence related to the staffing specialist. I really

19

21 22

23

24 25 26

27

28 29

37 38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

35

36

Thacker:

want, and what I would recommend that we do is take the next six months to really analyze every single position that you have and relate it to what do we really need, and how can we really utilize what we have currently. And being in that meeting on Friday was eye opening to me you know quite frankly, because I know that there's positions that have been, older positions on the books for some time that hopefully we have been budgeting for. But I'm questioning if we have really in the past been doing it like we should be doing it and preparing for those in the event that we do. So for example that old records position that's been vacant for probably as long as I've been, if not longer, vacant on the rolls. It's something that I think that I would like you and Bernice and your analysts to really look at. And be as efficient as you can with those positions. And until I see that is when I'll start to feel - and I know the reasoning behind the specialist position, I understand it would be helpful to you, and probably in the way by which to expedite positions frankly much quicker that we have had in the past. But I feel like there needs to be some analysis and assessments of the positions currently. And then maybe we can reconsider this position in the future. That's sort of where I'm at this point.

Unfortunately, Clint, I know that you don't want to hear that. But I feel like I don't still have a good handle on the positions and what you need related to the new center. I'm hoping that we're prepared for that. Because I think, and I also feel like if I heard you correctly there is a veterinarian out there that maybe is interested in coming and providing service to the center and I think that's going to be a game changer for us.

I also want to reexamine the amounts of the various services that we offer. I do feel like we have to hold our folks more accountable for the owner surrenders, like the owners and when they come and want to adopt, I think we have been also rather low but I also feel that we make it actually very easy for folks to surrender their animals and I know there are very specific reasons why people do that, and we want to be cognizant of that and always balancing, you know we don't want animals to be abused and neglected and find more animals out in the desert, that sort of thing. But I also think you know we try to be all things to all people and that is the rub for me. And so I want us to reexamine the pricing on the various services as well. That's it. Thank you.

I would like to note that we did talk with the City HR director, and she is talking with the consult company that, I forgot the name of the company, anyways they come and they do position assessments for the City. And I believe the City does it every other year, if I remember right. Anyways, she's talking to them about how much they would charge to do a complete position assessment for us. And an e-mail exchange just happened I think last Monday, so not the one Monday but the Monday before, and she's talking with legal to see if they can use the current contract with the City so

1 2 3		we could utilize it to go with them. So there is all that talk on the horizon of what we're doing.
5 5 6	Sanchez:	Okay. Thank you Mayor Pro Tem. Thank you, Clint. Are there any other questions, comments on the budget?
7 8 9	Schaljo-Heri	nandez: I do have a question based on the fees. Do we have our fees listed on the website?
10 11	Thacker:	We should, yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16	Schaljo-Her	nandez: I can't find them. I am currently on there. So we do adoption fee. We do an owner surrender fee. What other fees do we have? I did find the Doña Ana County license fees on the website but what other fees do we have?
17 18 19	Thacker:	We have, let's see owner surrender fee, adoption fee, my mind's totally gone blank.
20 21	Gandara:	Vaccination.
22 23	Thacker:	Yes we charge for vaccines.
24	Gandara:	Spay and neuter.
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Thacker:	We don't do spay and neuter for the general public. We do it through SNAP. So the general public can go to SNAP and they pay SNAP those fees and then we charge SNAP. So that's something we could do is increase how much we charge SNAP for those. So some of this obviously needs to be updated. You guys don't do licensing anymore. Well, that's something I can look more into for you and get back.
33 34 35	Sanchez:	Okay. Thank you, Commissioner. Is there any other questions you had? Okay. Mayor Pro Tem Gandara.
36 37 38 39	Gandara:	Sorry. I just want to, what's next steps because we got very tight deadlines for both City and County. What do you want Clint to do the analysis, the changes and then bring it back to our
40 41	Sanchez:	April meeting.
42 43	Gandara:	April meeting.
43 44 45 46	Sanchez:	I think that was, I'd like to see that. I think if we're going to vote on the April meeting I think at this point I think we can do that where we have the current budget, if you run the numbers then we can amend during that meeting if

1 we want to go with the proposed like a step plan. But I think we should be 2 able to at least in the meantime, be able to see the numbers. And then we 3 can vote on it either way, either direction during the April meeting, could we 4 not? 5 6 Thacker: I'm going to talk for Mike Fuchini, our budget analyst. I'm going to say we 7 can get this to you by Monday, you know by scaling things in and we can 8 see what that change is. We can send it out on Monday. I can get feedback 9 from the Board and then we can go from there. I think it's kind of where 10 we're at, because I don't want to bring a brand new budget to you on the April Board meeting and have more feedback and then try to fit it in before 11 12 May when the City finalizes. 13 14 Sanchez: No, absolutely. I think we're at that point where it's just I think if we have a 15 couple of options from what we're discussing today, at least I think that's, if 16 I'm, if no one is opposed I think that's where, if we can have it on Monday 17 and we can get an idea when we can put it on the agenda as. 18 19 Thacker: I can also ... 20 Preside. 21 Sanchez: 22 23 Thacker: I can provide a bare bones, this is what we need to survive budget for you. 24 And I mean it won't include community cat, it won't include salary increases, 25 it won't include, I mean what we need to operate, we can operate. 26 27 Sanchez: I don't think we need. 28 29 Gandara: No, I don't think we're ... 30 31 Sanchez: I'm sorry. Go ahead Mayor Pro Tem. 32 33 Gandara: I don't think we're asking for the barebones. 34 35 Thacker: Okay. 36 37 Gandara: I don't Clint. I know it's frustrating. It's frustrating to me, we've been starting, 38 we've been talking about this for some time. My concern about that in 39 waiting till the end of the month is this is our third meeting, work session, 40 and I think hopefully, this is a lesson for all of us to start sooner rather than 41 later. And having these conversations that are executive, because you're getting ready to provide presentation to the City and County that's 42 happening earlier in the month. Right? When are you, are you going 43 44 forward? I know the City is at our next work session, the sixth. 45

46

Thacker:

The 10th.

1 2 Gandara:

The 10th. And then I'm not sure if you're scheduled for that. But to me that needs, we need to have decided that, what we're going to move and you know that given the blessing of this Board as he moves through those presentations with City and County. And it doesn't feel still that we're at that point. So I don't know if it means meeting with executive after or sending an e-mail to all of us and ...

7 8 9

3

4

5

6

Thacker: I think that's probably ...

10 11

12

Gandara: Kind of getting more of an informal blessing if you will before it gets to those two entities as a whole. I'm not sure but I, or call another work session, right

to really.

13 14 15

Thacker: Tomorrow morning, 9:00 a.m..

16 17

Gandara: I can't do it 9:00. I can't do it at 9:00 a.m.

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32 Sanchez:

And Mayor Pro Tem I think one of the things, I think the only, I think you know I'm not opposed to having you know a work session where we can, or a special meeting where we approve the budget prior to this. I think my only, where I was trying to tread lightly is if you were to informally take a poll through you know yourself, I didn't want us to violate, have an open meetings act violation, even though we wouldn't technically vote on it until you know an actual meeting. But I think that's what I was trying to tread lightly and figure out. I don't, I'm open to if we want to have another meeting. I think we're almost there. I think we're basically there. I think we're just trying to finesse the numbers and figure out how we can get it to fit into this year's. And I agree with Mayor Pro Tem I don't think we're trying to, I don't want to bear, I'm not looking at a barebones. I just think we're just trying to figure out how we can get what we, you know I don't want to, we don't want to survive. I think we want to try to continue to have some growth in the ASCMV, but I think we're just trying to figure out how can we work within our different jurisdictions budgets.

343536

37

38

39

33

Thacker: Let me ask. If I sent this slide out, I said how does this look? Does a

response, and I send it out individually, does a response from an individual says looks good? Is that violating anything? And then if I get enough, then can I call either a special meeting or another work session and we can get

a blessing, so let us say and then I can do presentations.

40 41 42

Macias: Mr. Chairman.

43 44

Sanchez: County Manager Macias.

45

46 Macias: That would be referred to as a rolling quorum. And it is illegal.

1 2 Thacker: Okay. 3 4 Macias: Because you are in essence voting outside of a public scenario. But Mr. 5 Chairman, let me ask, let me just suggest, and I'm flexible on the 6 percentage, but I would ask that the increase be limited to no greater than 7 15%, and that Mr. Thacker have the latitude to decide where the scale back 8 occurs, and that he make that presentation to a work session. I mean you 9 can say 10%, you can say 17%. My sense is that 15% is really where we 10 should be looking at. It still gives opportunities to address the inequities in compensation for the employees. It gives some degree of growth. But I 11 12 guess we have to give him some direction. Otherwise, then we go through 13 this again. 14 15 Sorry and I'm trying to ... Sanchez: 16 17 Macias: If we're not going to be specific to him or he shaves, that's real standard 18 practice in budgetary. You have everybody is going to have to give up 19 something, you're going to shave this down a certain percentage. But my 20 sense is giving a 15% increase is generous. It gives some latitude in growth, and it gives Mr. Thacker the option as the director to work with his 21 22 staff to basically see where that's limited to. And then if that's the case, and 23 he keeps it there, and there's a vote right now to give him that direction, 24 then he can do that. And he can make those presentations to the City and 25 the County. 26 27 Sanchez: And Mr. Macias I think just doing some rough numbers here, I think we're 28 looking at what you're proposing a 15%, if my numbers are correct, would 29 be close to \$600,000 increase. 30 31 Macias: No 15% of the proposed increase that he's making now. Right now it's a 32 28.5% increase. If they showed me the right slide earlier, the proposal right 33 now is an overall increase of 28.5%. I'm suggesting that he scale it back 34 down to no greater than 15%. So yes, it's going to reduce it from 400,000 35 each to \$200,000 approximately. 36 37 Sanchez: And I'm looking, so if I go off the number, the \$3.87 million. 38 39 Macias: Can we go to that slide or is this the slide? 40 41 Right here. This is what I was looking at, what's on the screen now, slide Sanchez: 42 six. So the current fiscal year budget is \$3.87 million, a 15% increase, we'll get put that up to \$4.45 million. That's a difference of \$580,000, which 43

increase over the \$3.87 million.

would essentially be \$290,000 of an increase of contribution from the City

and the County. At least that's what I'm seeing if I'm just taking a 15%

44

45

1 2 3 4 5 6	Schaljo-Her	nandez: Chair. County Manager Macias. Does that include the \$200,000 fund transfer from the Animal Services Center into the proposed numbers? Because if it does, then it would be \$200,000 per entity, if you include that \$200,000 fund transfer.	
7 8	Sanchez:	I would think that would, if I understand the question I think that would augment on top of that, right.	
9 10 11	Schaljo-Her	Schaljo-Hernandez: I don't believe that, is that where we were headed?	
12 13 14	Macias:	Excuse me. Just for clarification, the \$200,000 you have in an existing, in fact it'll probably grow reserve.	
15 16	Thacker:	Yes sir.	
17 18 19	Macias:	And your budget analyst? What are you anticipating at the end of this fiscal year, this current fiscal year? What will that reserve be?	
20 21	Thacker:	We're projecting approximately \$400,000.	
22 23	Macias:	In additional money, because you're at \$400,000 now.	
24 25	Thacker:	No, that's what we projected will be at the end is roughly about \$440,000.	
26 27	Macias:	Okay. So the \$200,000 is what? That's being discussed.	
28 29 30	Thacker:	Would come from that fund balance. So I would have roughly \$200,000 in my fund balance.	
31 32 33 34 35 36	Macias:	Okay. But, again, Commissioner Schaljo-Hernandez and members of the Bboard I had anticipated, I thought that you were asking each of the institutions to contribute some additional money to put in the flooring at the new facility. And so there was some discussion of taking that amount out of your reserve.	
37 38 39 40 41	Thacker:	There was one, there was your e-mail that stated that and that was another discussion we were going to have about the 1/12th here today. Because if the Board wanted a 1/12th, then I would not be able to access my fund balance, which would make it so I could not access that \$200,000. But if they did not want to do it, then I could, for that money.	
42 43 44 45 46	Macias:	Can you go to the slide that shows a 28.5% increase, because that's what I understood you're asking for or what was going to result from this current request? Okay, so that's 28.6%. So whatever number that, and that reflects the joint contribution from the City and the County.	

1		
1 2 3 4 5	Thacker:	Mr. Macias. I don't know if that is an updated slide because it says \$4.88 million, that's why the slides hidden, is grayed out. So it's more like it's that \$4.969 million is what.
6 7 8 9	Macias:	Okay. So again, if you can give me a calculation of what percentage increase you're asking for that has both City and the County contributing an additional, let's see what's the difference between the \$1.775 million and the \$2.206 million. So what percentage are you actually asking for?
10 11 12	Thacker:	Well, it's \$453,483 is the adjustment.
13 14	Macias:	The adjustment or the increase?
15 16	Thacker:	Increase.
17 18	Macias:	Increase per institution?
19 20	Thacker:	Yes, yes, sir.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Macias:	Okay, so let me see this.
	Sanchez:	The increase right now would be 20.4%.
	Macias:	And so I'm trying to give as much flexibility Mr. Chairman, if that's the case, if it's 28.4%, to cap it at 15%, and allow the director to determine the internal priorities.
	Sanchez:	So let me ask this County Manager Macias, are we talking 15%? Maybe I'll split in here but are we talking, when we're looking at 15% are we looking at a 15% increase
32 33 34	Macias:	In the contribution.
35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Sanchez:	In the contribution.
	Macias:	The additional contribution that both the City and the County would make, which means that the overall budget number would go up, would go down. He has to reduce 13% of his new request. And I think that still builds in some flexibility, but makes the ask a little bit more reasonable and more in line with what potentially City and County departments may be in line for.
42 43 44 45 46	Sanchez:	Okay, because I think, depending on the numbers, depending on where we go, if we're looking at a 15% increase of the current contributions that would take the contribution from the City and the County from \$1.75 million to \$2.012 million, so just, it ends up being \$262,000 increase.

1

14 15

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36

37

13

16 17

Macias:

can bridge that gap.

Again, Mr. Chairman, I think the original proposal, I don't have the documents in front of me, was each institution was going to contribute perhaps another \$100,000-plus, but at the low end rather than closer to \$200,000. So what I'm suggesting is that each institution is able to contribute \$200,000-plus, and that Mr. Thacker be given the opportunity to basically determine how he integrates or how he reduces his request to that 15%. I'm trying to make it as simple as possible, but yet understanding that we're going to give him more money, or the institutions are going to give him more money than what was originally intended. It'll allow that \$15 per hour, it'll incorporate some additional movement. And it keeps it within the realm of where City and County departments are going to be. That's the concern I have is to have to go back and tell County government or our departments you have to stay in line within a certain level. I am concerned about what I'm seeing in the trend of gross receipts tax reduction. I think we need to be on the conservative end. But then again we always have the opportunity to come back midyear, next December, January, and basically look to see if there's any additional capacity for support, and that the administrator delays certain decisions till we have a better sense of the revenues for next year. And it's more in line with what I think the City and the County are going to be doing. So I am asking, it's basically reducing the what you calculated at 28.4% down to 15%, or a drop in basically 13% of what he's currently asking for, in terms of the increase.

If I took a 15% increase on the overall budget, that would be an additional

\$28,000 from the City and the County, which would actually take it up to

\$290,000. The reason I'm, maybe I'm splitting hairs, but this is just, if you'll

follow me for a second, if we're looking at a 3.8, looking at the previous

year's budget, go up to 15%, that's \$4.45 million, which would necessitate

... And if we were to then take that \$200,000 fund transfer, that would then

take you to \$4.65 million. And that would leave us about \$300,000 short of

what you're asking, Clint. And I know that's not the same numbers that, it's

a little, I think what my calculation there is a little more than what the County Manager has suggested, but not much more. And I don't know what the,

why you say when, if we phased in the increase of benefits and wages. And

if say for example we didn't include the staffing specialist in this budget, where would that take us to? I think that would be a question. And if we

38 39

Sanchez: Thank you County Manager Macias. Yes. Mayor Pro Tem.

40 41 42

Gandara: Sorry.

Sanchez:

43 44

I think it's good that we're having this. I think I know we're getting down into the details but I think it's good to have this discussion. So please, go ahead.

46

1 Gandara: I appreciate the information that both you and County Manager Macias have 2 provided. I do want to give you the latitude Clint, I think that's important. I 3 also think you're very aware of what the public's priority is. I don't want us 4 to forget that community cat program, they've been very clear with the 5 Board, Council. I don't know what happens in County meetings but they've 6 been very clear and I want it, so if I could just put a plug for that community cat program. But also, I think it's very important to ensure we have the 7 8 money to complete the portion of the new building that they had to value at, 9 right, the cemented area that's going to provide a safety net for you all. 10 Because over time that will come back and bite us if we don't get that done. And that's really what's hanging over our head at this point. I think we would 11 12 all agree we want you to be fiscally responsible, have the 1/12th. You don't, 13 but I don't know, and I want you to work towards that. I don't want us to be 14 changing, like I said, because of, you know what's happening. But I just want to say those two things are priority for me to add to your decision 15 16 making. Thank you. 17 18 Thank you Mayor Pro Tem Gandara. So just so that way we can make sure Sanchez: 19 that we've got a consensus for Clint, is that we've given him at lease for a 20 target to shoot for is that 15% increase without the balance transfer. Is there any opposition to that or? 21 22 23 Macias: Yes, it would be without the balance transfer. 24 25 Okay. Sanchez: 26 27 Because that balance transfer, honestly, if we're going to upgrade the Macias: current facility, he's going to have to draw down some of those reserves. 28 29 30 Thacker: Yes. If I'm going to use my fund balance to do that project of \$350,000, I have to use my fund balance. 31 32 33 Sanchez: Okay. So I guess if we can, and so then I guess the next guestion would 34 be from the Board, do we want to meet again or just have Clint kind of come 35 up with seeing what he can do to get close to this 15% increase? I guess my question is do we want to meet again here in the next couple of weeks 36 to ensure that we I guess give a vote on this budget. 37 38 39 Thacker: I think we need to do a special meeting. 40 41 Sanchez: Okay. 42 43 Thacker: And I'll get that scheduled with everybody. 44

45

46

Sanchez:

Okay.

1 Schaljo-Hernandez: If it's a special meeting, does that mean that we'll be taking a vote 2 on the budget as presented at that time? 3 4 Sanchez: Yes, I think that's the intent. And then that way then we can follow up with, 5 then Clint can come and give that presentation to both the City Council and 6 the County Commission as well with an approved budget from the Board. 7 8 Schaljo-Hernandez: I think that's right. I agree. 9 10 Sanchez: Okay. Okay. 11 12 Macias: Mr. Chair. Forgive me, it was hopefully the intent to try to take a vote now 13 and that way, you don't have to come back for a meeting and give Mr. 14 Thacker the latitude to make a determination in terms of the areas that will 15 be reduced. 16 17 Sanchez: I think the only problem is that the way it's a noticed on the agenda is that it's a discussion item and that's it. 18 19 20 Macias: Oh, I see. I think I was ... 21 22 Thacker: Can't vote. 23 24 Macias: What I was told is that it was budget ... 25 I think I had also I think anticipated that we were going to be able to vote 26 Sanchez: 27 and I think when we saw that it was a discussion. I think if, yes, I think that's my only hesitation because of the way it's noticed on the agenda, we 28 29 wouldn't be able to take an actual action on this at this moment. We'd have to come back as a work session and give that blessing in the end. Mayor 30 Pro Tem 31 32 33 Gandara: Do you want to have a special session that the budget that he will present to us will be voted on so that we go ahead and move ... 34 35 36 Sanchez: Yes. 37 38 Through the necessary. So make sure that it's noticed in that way Clint. Gandara: 39 40 Yes ma'am. Thacker: 41 42 Gandara: And then I'll - make sure it's noticed that - I lost my train of thought I'm sorry. And that way you can you know move through the necessary boards or 43 44 Council and Commission because there are others that will probably have you know some questions and concerns about it. 45

1 2	Sanchez:	Okay. Cool. Are we clear as mud?
3 4 5 6 7 8	Thacker:	Absolutely. No. Sounds like what we're going to do is I'm going to update the slides with staying or get to that 15%, and then send it out for information only. Schedule another work session or another board meeting, will be a special board meeting where we can vote on, and I'll present that budget that was sent out.
9 10	Sanchez:	Okay.
11 12	Thacker:	Is that correct?
13 14 15	Gandara:	Yes, I think Clint I would stay in close contact with Chair Sanchez and I as the executive.
16 17	Thacker:	Okay.
18 19 20 21	Gandara:	So that we're completely aware and not blindsided with anything and just be completely ready. That way we can offer some, I think we can do that without it being a rolling quorum.
22 23	Sanchez:	Correct. Yes.
24 25 26	Gandara:	Offer some suggestions moving forward. We have a meeting coming up anyway.
27 28	Sanchez:	Yes.
29 30	Gandara:	Okay. Thank you.
31 32	Sanchez:	Okay.
33 34	Thacker:	Thank you.
35 36	Sanchez:	Now, we'll move on I guess to the 1/12th fund balance recommendation.
37 38 39 40 41	Thacker:	I don't have a presentation. This is discussion. It sounds like it's agreed from what I'm hearing about having a 1/12th for fiscal responsibility. I don't, I will not be able to do that this year. But it's definitely something that each year we keep in mind and have a goal towards.
42 43 44	Sanchez:	Yes, I think it's important that we kind of get to that, to have that, that we meet that requirement.
45 46	Thacker:	So in the April Board meeting, we will have a resolution.

1 Sanchez: Okay. 2 3 Thacker: Because there was a resolution to do away with it. We'll do a resolution to 4 David Dollahon did a resolution to remove the 1/12th 5 requirement so we could access our fund balance to do some things at the 6 center. 7 8 Sanchez: Okay. 9 10 Thacker: Do we need or do you want a resolution to do the 1/12th? 11 12 Sanchez: Commissioner Schaljo-Hernandez. 13 14 Schaljo-Hernandez: After having this conversation today, I probably think that we should 15 wait on a resolution until we make sure that the funds are there. And once 16 the funds are there, because we're about to spend down the reserves for 17 the concrete pads. So I don't want to become fiscally responsible for a 18 1/12th come the next budget year because if we do a resolution wouldn't 19 we then be required to fund the 1/12th during the next budget 20 21 Sanchez: Could we theoretically in the resolution have a timeline on when we would 22 meet it? So then that way we wouldn't be tied necessarily to have to meet 23 it during this fiscal year, but if we had a timeline to meet it within the next 24 two or three. 25 26 Schaljo-Hernandez: So by fiscal year 2025 or 2026. 27 28 Sanchez: Yes. 29 30 Schaljo-Hernandez: We will. 31 32 Sanchez: Okay. I don't know. Just a thought and then I don't know if and when that 33 comes up during an audit we can say we're committed, it's on the radar. 34 We're trying to build this in in the future to make sure we're building this 35 reserve right now. I don't know, that's the way that I see it. And maybe I'm 36 wrong. County Manager Macias. 37 38 Mvs Mr. Chairman. You know, again, it's always a little bit awkward to have a 39 different perspective. I'm not one who even believes that there's a necessity 40 for a reserve. There's no legal necessity, I want to make sure that we're all 41 clear about that. It will never be an audit issue, because there is no requirement for keeping the 1/12th. My sense has always been, because it 42 43 historically there is a building up of the amount of unspent money. I think 44 you'll see it at the end of this year. I think that Mr. Thacker is always 45 concerned about having money that will transition into the next fiscal year

46

so he's not short any. And I've always been more of an enthusiast for giving

him a higher percentage of the overall budget upfront, at the beginning of the year. That way, in case they were low on their reserves at the end of the prior fiscal year, then he has more than sufficient money to keep the operation going at the beginning of the fiscal year, and doesn't have to worry about was he able to maintain enough of a reserve that carried over. His budget carries over. Whatever he doesn't spend, unlike the City departments and County departments that automatically goes away from that department into a larger reserve, Mr. Thacker has the luxury of carrying over whatever monies he didn't spend. I think that that's more than sufficient. I know that people have raised this issue about the reserve, but it's not legally required.

13 Sanchez:

 I think I understand what your point of view County Manager Macias. I think one of the concerns I have is that if they're at least having the reserve would give the, I think because, I just (*inaudible*) because I think on some of say the SCRTD for example, when they need additional funds, they do have to come to the City or the County to make up those funds. But if we had that balance, they would have that in their account that we would be able to use or they would be able to have in the case there's an emergency or whatever. But I'm open either way to which way, wherever the Board wants to do. Should we, is there still a ... one for resolution. Just we'll wait off at this point. Okay. I think that's the weight on.

Thacker: Okay.

Macias:

Thacker:

And, Mr. Chairman, I mean, the fact is when they remove the reserve requirement, all that happened is that the reserve grew. So nothing has damaged the institution during this period of time that there hasn't been " officially 1/12th." And I'm not even sure where the 1/12th comes from. I think the City is to 2/12ths. The County is 3/12ths. So I don't even know where the 1/12th comes from or how it how it really connects.

Sanchez: Okay. Thank you sir.

The next question I have for you, in the special Board meeting we're going to be having for the budget, do you want a resolution drafted that talks about accessing the fund balance to pay for the concrete pads?

Sanchez: I'm getting, seeing nods here.

41 Thacker: Okay.

43 Sanchez: Okay. All right. Correct. Yes. Okay.

45 Thacker: I didn't hear what she said.

1	Sanchez:	No, she was just saying, sorry. Mayor Pro Tem	
2 3 4 5 6 7	Gandara:	Chairman Sanchez. I think we need to do it, because it's holding up the completion of that large project.	
	Thacker:	Agreed. I didn't want to wait until the Board meeting at the end of the month. I'd like to get it started. Because it's holding everything up	
8 9	Gandara:	Yes.	
10 11	Thacker:	It's holding completion of our dog play areas, it's holding everything.	
12 13	Sanchez:	Okay.	
14 15 16 17	Gandara:	It really is contingent upon that being done so that they could finish up the rest of that area. So yes I agree, I think it needs to happen. Thank you.	
18	Thacker:	Thank you.	
19 20	IV. Adjournment (10:19 a.m.)		
21 22 23	Sanchez:	All right. If there is no other comments on the budget, or the 1/12th recommendation, I'll ask for a motion to adjourn.	
24 25	Macias:	I move that we adjourn Mr. Chairman.	
26 27	Schaljo-Heri	nandez: Second.	
28 29 30 31	Sanchez:	We have a motion and a second to adjourn. If there are no objections, then we'll call this meeting adjourned at 10:20 a.m. Thank you all for joining and we'll see you soon.	
32 33	BOARD OF	DIRECTORS	
34 35			
36 37		Manuel Sanchez, Chairperson	
38 39			
40 41		Kasandra Gandara, Vice-Chair	
42 43			
44 45		Becky Corran, Board Member	
46			

1		
2		Yvonne Flores, Board Member
3		
4		
5		
6		Fernando Macias, Board Member
7		
8		
9		
0		Christopher Schaljo-Hernandez, Board Member
1		
2		
3		
4		Barbara DeLeon, Ex Officio Member
5		
6		
7		
8		Mary Lou Ward, Ex Officio Member
9		
.0		
1		
2	ATTEST:	
.3		
1 2 3 4 5		
5		
6		
7	Amanda Lopez Askin, County Clerk	

1		ANIMAL SERVICE CENTER OF MESILLA VALLEY			
2 3	April 7, 2023 at 9:00 a.m.				
4	April 7, 2023 at 9.00 a.m.				
5					
6		MBERS PRESENT:			
7		rel Sanchez - Chairman			
8 9		ndra Gandara - Vice-Chair (arrived 9:02) y Corran - Board Member			
10		ne Flores - Board Member (arrived 9:06)			
11		ando Macias - Board Member			
12		topher Schaljo-Hernandez – Board Member			
13		ara DeLeon - Ex-Officio Member			
14					
15		MBERS ABSENT:			
16	Mary	Lou Ward - Ex-Officio Member			
17 18	I. Plede	ge of Allegiance (9:00 a.m.):			
19	i. Pieuļ	ge of Allegiance (9.00 a.m.).			
20	Sanchez:	Good morning everybody. It's 9:01 a.m. on April 7, 2023. And we will be			
21	calling this special Board meeting of the Animal Service Center of the				
22	Mesilla Valley to order. And if you all would rise and join me in the Pledge				
23	of Allegiance.				
24	ALL 07005	S FOR THE RIFROS OF ALL FOLANCE			
25 26	ALL STOOD FOR THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.				
26 27	Sanchez:	Thank you.			
28	Carionoz.	Thank you.			
29	II. Determination of Quorum and Call to Order:				
30					
31					
32	Sanchez:	And if we can call the roll please.			
33	Davissi	Doord Marshau Dalaan			
34 35	Baum:	Board Member Deleon.			
36	Deleon:	Present			
37	Delecti.	1 TOOGHE			
38	Baum:	Board Member Ward is absent. Board Member Flores is absent. Board			
39		Member Macias.			
40					
41	Macias:	Present.			
42	D	Da and Manakan Caman			
43 44	Baum:	Board Member Corran.			
45	Corran:	Present.			
46	Jonan.				

1 Baum: Board Member Schaljo-Hernandez. 2 3 Schaljo-Hernandez: Present. 4 5 Board Member Gandara, absent. Baum: 6 7 Baum: Chair Sanchez. 8 9 Sanchez: Present, please. 10 11 Baum: You have a quorum. 12 13 Sanchez: Thank you. 14 15 16 III. **Resolutions for Discussion** 17 18 1. Resolution 2023-02: A Resolution to Accept the ASCMV FY24 Budget 19 20 Sanchez: All right, we'll move on to agenda item 3-1, which is Resolution 2023-02, A Resolution to accept the ASCMV Fiscal Year 2024 budget. If I can get a 21 22 motion and a second to begin discussion. 23 24 Schaljo-Hernandez: Moved to begin session. 25 26 Sanchez: All right. I have a motion by Commissioner Schaljo-Hernandez:. 27 28 Corran: Seconded. 29 30 Sanchez: Second by Councilor Corran. Thank you. Mr. Thacker. 31 32 Thacker: Thank you. Clint Thacker, Executive Director, Animal Service Center. I 33 guess you guys could just pass it right now without seeing. Okay. 34 35 Sanchez: Well, it that was worth a shot, right? 36 37 Thacker: It was. As you know we're here at the special ASCMV Board meeting to talk 38 about the fiscal year 2024 budget and work on an approval. So as you see 39 this slide we have a 17% increase. We presented a 15% increase to the 40 executive committee on Tuesday, they made some requests to put some 41 money back in. When we did put those requests back in and brought it up to 18%, and then me and medical director were able to whittle down some 42 monies in different places, we thought and think this is a solid place of 43 44 compromise and also growth that we have. So we're sitting at a \$4.534

million budget. It's a 17% increase.

45

You've seen this one before. This may be a little bit different than one that was sent out to you for review, and all that's different is the breakdowns. So salaries and wages, you'll see the 1.5% used to be 3%. So we reduced that. Minimum wage increase used to be going to \$15, we reduce that to \$14.50. And then we have the living wage adjustment that we went over in previous work sessions, but just for review, this is when we did the living wage increase for our staff in May of 2021. And then I used salary savings to go from May until the end of June. And then we were supposed to have - in our budget we proposed was going to have that living wage adjustment added in, however that was not approved and we used the FY2022 budget to rollover, which did not have a living wage adjustment in, so we need to have that covered which is why you see that \$362,000. So total salaries and wages \$2,231,906.

The next is the FICA, PERA, retire, health, etc. Those are done by as you know percentages. So there was a slight increase in those just within the industry. And then as well, it goes off percentage of how much the individual employee makes. So with the 1.5% salary increase and the minimum wage increase, that also caused it to go up, so that's why we have an increase of \$157,000. Health and dental, this includes a 4% increase recommended by the City to budget for, so we did that. It also includes when we looked at our vacant positions, we noticed that they are not funded with any health insurance. So when we looked and we talked with the City, the City says that they fund vacant positions at a family rate. And when we looked at ours, we saw that most of our employees fund at a personal rate or a one person rate. So we funded those vacant positions, which is why there's a little bit of increase there of \$84,000. We funded all of ours at individual rate. The living wage adjustment is the same as the one above, but this one has to do with health, dental, retire, workers comp, all that. So that's the leftover from that is covered. So in all total benefits and deductions increased \$241,000.

In our insurance, this is insurance for the center as a whole as a building. Bernice was notified that there was going to be a slight increase so she was able to talk with them and work that out to \$8,576 increase for the insurance. Minor equipment is where we made some cuts. If you remember, we requested an electronic terrain vehicle for \$9,000. That was cut out. We did cut out the autoclave, that was about \$5,500. While it was recommended to be replaced, we feel like we could make it last another year. And if there is an issue, our medical director is sure that she can make a purchase in the budget. Other of those some of the savings that we had there in minor equipment was just a little bit here and there in each department we were able to cut out. Community cat program, suffice it to say we have \$105,000 for that. The next slide that we show will give you a breakdown of that program. This is one of the places where the executive committee wanted money put back in because we did cut some money out.

46

So you'll see that increase in the coming slide. And then other, we do not have an other line item as you know, but that is just for the sake of this presentation. Instead of listing all of them, we grouped everything else into other. These are where we made some cuts, our supplies general, we also took a little bit, so all of these reading is just a little bit out of each one. The executive committee, we originally took out all travel and registration fees. We took those out but the executive committee recognized the value of having some monies there for training, as not only to learn more, but also as a retention tool. So they requested money put in, so we put most of the money back in, some we did not, about \$6,000 we kept out. But it still leaves most departments fully funded for the travel. Some other items that we cut out was again a little bit out of supplies general, a little bit out of uniforms and safety repair, medical supplies just a little bit. We just kind of did everything, scheduled overtime and standby pay we did cut, not a lot but that was the majority of the \$128,000 that we cut out of there. So it just kind of sliced you know where we felt comfortable and the managers felt we were in line to be able to do so.

So that brings us down to an increase of \$662,977. The community cat this is the program for it. The area we took out our money was from spay and neuter surgeries. And this was again requested by the executive committee to put the money back in, so we did. The community outreach is \$10,000. This is the education arm of our community cat program. And it is much needed. One of the most important parts. The crisis fund you see there is for any injured cat, any injured TNR cat that comes to us and we can treat for the safety of the animal and also safety for my staff. We have a little bit there that can treat that.

This is our revenue. The top table you see there Doña Ana and City of Las Cruces, you see the increase of \$298,184, that's the increase we're asking for, it's a 17% increase from last year's ask. We lost some revenue with pet licenses. We are increasing our owner surrender fee. I did find out that the county charges an owner surrender fee and then a transport fee of \$50. So I did not feel that \$35 coming back was a burden at all. So that will give us an additional \$6,000. And then our fund balance transfer is \$75,000. That is reduced from the \$200,000, which I presented earlier in our last work That is because we were notified that the \$440,000 was a projected amount based off of the revenue we get as a lump sum at the beginning of the year from the City and the County. And that lump sum, you know so our revenue was really high percentage-wise when we first come in, and then it slowly goes down through the year because we play catch up with that higher amount, so even each other out. So that fund balance was depleted with, I believe it was \$136,000 we transferred out earlier in the year for the security and the door items, door access that we took out for the new center.

1 2 3 4 5 6		So there was no way I could do the concrete pad and \$200,000 here. So we reduced that to \$75,000, but still we're right in where the executive committee liked to see us. So the net operating revenue is \$662,977. Do you remember that number, that is our expenses. So it's a balanced budget. That's our expense increase that we're requesting as well.	
7 8 9 10		So we're looking at a total increase of \$596,000 from budget year to budget year, and that is a \$298,000 each ask from the City and County increase. So we're looking at a 17% increase. I'll open up to any questions that you may have.	
11 12	Sanchez:	Thank you Mr. Thacker. Are there any questions from the Board?	
13 14	Schaljo-Her	nandez: Mr. Chair.	
15 16	Sanchez:	Commissioner.	
17 18 19 20 21	Schaljo-Her	nandez: I have a question, Clint. When do you plan on implementing the fee increase? Is it going to be at the fiscal year or are you going to implement it sooner?	
22	Thacker:	I planned on doing it in July.	
23 24	Schaljo-Hernandez: In July.		
25 26 27 28	Schaljo-Her	nandez: Okay. And let me just say I'm glad to see the community cat program and they're funded as well. I think that's really important. But thank you, Chair. And thank you, Clint, for your presentation today.	
29 30	Thacker:	Thank you.	
31 32 33	Sanchez:	Thank you Commissioner Schaljo-Hernandez. Are there other questions?	
34 35	Flores:	Good morning.	
36 37	Sanchez:	Councilor Flores.	
38 39 40 41	Flores:	I mean Good afternoon. I was praying this morning. It's Good Friday. Very special day, especially for, well yes some of us. At any rate so when you - you mentioned that there was a reduction in TNR or did I hear incorrectly?	
41 42 43	Thacker:	Reduction in funding.	
43 44 45 46	Flores:	Reduction in TNR? Yes, well in funding because that's what we're talking about is money. So how is that going to affect the overall TNR, you know the, being able to perform the TNR.	

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

Sanchez: Councilor Flores. Would you mind raising your microphone just so that way,

it's? There you go. It just seems your voice was a little.

Flores: You want me to raise my voice.

Sanchez: Yes.

Flores: I can raise my voice.

11 Sanchez: Thank you.

> Flores: So my question was, how is that ... pardon?

15 You're a spitfire this morning. Gandara:

Flores: I know, I don't know why. I had half a cup of coffee. And no, you know what

it was?

Gandara: Let me go get you some.

Flores: No. no. I don't need coffee. So my question was how the reduction in TNR

funds is going to affect doing the TNR on our cats, our dogs?

It's a great question. Thank you for it. So to clarify, we've been through a journey the last several, about a month or so. And community cat has been with us the whole time. So what we did is originally it was a lot higher, it was higher than \$105,000. But it included a community cat program manager. So that was taken out because we've already have that position, and we're going to be interviewing for that position this week. So that doesn't need to be in the fiscal year 2024 budget, so we took that out of the

budget.

There was also an allotment for a front office assistant to answer calls and field calls, but management felt that that could just be absorbed. We're already doing it now, absorbed into the normal operations of our front office. So that amount was taken out. There was also some money for a population survey, it was like \$30,000 for a population survey. And while that's important, we felt that at this time it was more important to prioritize the surgery and the education portion of the program to get that in. So those were a lot of the cuts that we did. We did cut surgeries, we cut them significantly, about \$50,000 we cut them, but the executive committee requested that we put that money back in. So we have the money. So in reality the main part of the community cat program is not going to be injured, or recessed, and that is the education and the surgeries. So those are still going to be there and available.

1 2 Flores:

As a follow up then you say that this will, so this is the prospective 2024 or, and everything will be - all the funds that were taken out will be reflected in the 2024 budget.

Thacker: Currently yes, that's where they are now.

Flores: Okay. Great. Thank you very much, Clint.

10 Thacker: Thank you.

12 Flores: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Sanchez: Thank you, Councilor Flores. Mayor Pro Tem Gandara.

 Gandara:

Good morning. Thank you Clint for your work and your staffs' work. We really appreciate this. I know we've been through a journey, that's a good way to put it. And I want the public to know that we hear you loud and clear. And it much of it for me was ensuring that we were increasing, right, our community cat program, the surgery, the spay and neuter, whatever we can do to educate the public, right, that in terms of what the Animal Services Center mission is. These are the areas that they've been deeply concerned about, along with the veterinarian. And I want folks to be clear that it's in the queue. And Barbara, if you would help us navigate and really expedite, I'm asking to expedite, apparently that application is an HR. And this to me is one of the most important things that we get done sooner rather than later. And so if we can do anything, it's to move that process expeditiously. Because a lot of this is riding on that extra veterinarian. And that's one of the things that the public has really admonished us on, even though we have done guite a bit to advocate and to advertise and with incentives and a number of things you know related to that.

So for me it was balancing not only what we offer, but also raising the pay, and we agreed to compromise around that because we believe people should get paid. But we had a tremendous amount, right, we spoke a lot about retention and what that looks like. And so I want folks on the dais to be clear that our direction was when we, first of all evaluate or assess the vacancy positions, where are we at, what do we need to do? Are there those that have been on the books for some time? And you know do we really need those types of positions. But also doing that assessment and also looking at how we recruit and retain, so we had a robust dialogue about the part time positions because that's where Clint is seeing the fluctuation of folks leaving, the resignations, which what do we need to do with that? Is it? What kinds of things are happening that are preventing people from staying? And I'm not sure that we know that at this point, but it could be that, well do we make them part time and, you know what do we do with

some of this stuff? Not only that, but training, which I think is a huge, it's something as the longest standing member to this Board, that at one point we didn't really have anything. And staff was just sort of you know just doing the day to day and valuing their capacity with training, which is we know a tool to recruit and retain. So we felt like we ran the gamut of what we thought we could balance and take away and trusting the management team to know when like absolutely not we couldn't cut here but we wanted to do this here. I felt there was a good back and forth, give and take, and so I'm looking forward to the coming year. I think Clint has his direction from the executive board. And I would encourage that we ensure that we move into strategic planning. I think that's really important for the next three to five years so that folks are clear what this Board is wanting to see happen in you know currently and in the future. With that, I'll turn it back over to you Chair Sanchez. Thank you.

Sanchez: Thank you, Mayor Pro Tem Gandara. Ms. Deleon.

 Deleon:

Deleon:

Deleon:

Thank you Chair. Appreciate that. Clint, just a couple of questions. Since we're on this slide right here. You mentioned that the executive board had asked you to add back in the amount of funds for the surgeries. Is that reflected in this \$90,000?

Thacker: Yes, ma'am.

Okay, so I must have been mistaken, I thought previously this program was in the upper one hundreds, budgeted \$160,000 or something of that nature.

2728 Thacker:

Yes, we removed the \$30,000 for the population estimate. And we also removed, I believe it was about \$20,000 or \$12,000 for individual to take surgeries to other veterinarians as well. That is just an absorbent cost and it would go so fast because they charge so much money for sterilizations that we felt it was best for them to come to us.

Okay, thanks. So then this budget reflects you think an appropriate operating budget for the core of the program.

Thacker: Yes.

Okay thanks. If you wouldn't mind going back to slide three. I wanted to make sure I understood some of the assumptions behind the numbers on this page. We talked about vacant positions previously. And I believe you have 14 vacant positions. Could you tell me how they were budgeted in terms of where in the pay band were they budgeted? And then were they budgeted for a full year or a partial year?

1 Thacker: Okay. Good guestions. So most of the 14 are, there are actually 15 now, 2 15 positions that are vacant. So most of those are going to be filled before 3 July. We have our hiring is, in our kennels is I think there's three positions 4 or four positions with part time. They're starting interviews soon. We have 5 our office assistants, and they're going to be starting or they've already done interviews, I believe, and so both are completed. We also have a 6 7 veterinarian that's just post, our veterinarian assistant that's posted. So all 8 these jobs are in the process of being filled. So we looked at the averages 9 as far as the average positions that are open or vacant throughout the year. 10 And what we saw was a trend of kennels usually has three positions open at any given time. So we did two full time positions in the kennel department 11 12 are funded at 25% and a part time position is funded at 25%. And then we 13 looked at the front office, any given time they could have two positions on 14 average. So we did those the same, one part time at 25% and one full time 15 at 25%. 16 17 Deleon: Okay. Thank you. 18 19 Thacker: And the reductions are in that. 20 21 Deleon: Okay, great. That helps me to understand how - we will always have 22 turnover and some positions vacant at any given point. So it's just helpful 23 to understand the assumptions behind budgeting those positions so that we don't in fact over budget the amount of funds we need in salaries that could 24 25 be used elsewhere. 26 27 My other question on this page has to do with the FY22 living Wage adjustments that is above the total salaries and wages line, the \$362,000-28 29 plus. That took salaries to what level. 30 31 Thacker: To what they are now. 32 33 Deleon: At \$14. But was there some threshold an hourly wage, they were all 34 different? 35 36 Yes, it was all different. It was based on their years in, their, so how long Thacker: they've worked there, their jobs, what their jobs was, and their current pay. 37 38 It wasn't as a set, raise everybody by, it was a range of either between 20% 39 and some went up as high as 30%. 40 41 So we had both the line above that, the minimum wage increase and then Deleon: on top of that the living wage adjustment. Did those both occur in FY22? 42 43 44 Thacker: No, ma'am. So the minimum wage increase that you see there to \$14.50 an hour, that was a request for this year by Mr. Macias. He made the 45

46

comment that the City and the County made the commitment to pay no less

1 than \$15 an hour. And that was not in our fiscal year 2024. So that was 2 one that we added in. As a way to make it a lower percentage, we moved 3 it to \$14.50 with another \$0.50 to bump it up next year in our budget. 4 5 And then similarly then if I look at where your numbers are falling in those Deleon: 6 columns, you've got FY22 living wage adjustment in the FY24 proposed 7 budget. So you're saying it was not implemented previously? I just want to 8 make sure I'm clear on that. 9 10 Thacker: You're just fine. It was implemented, but it was through salary savings that I did it. And then we did not get the budget that we asked for and so we 11 12 rolled over the old budget that still did not have it in the budget. Does that 13 make sense? 14 15 Kind of, yes. Yes. The timeline and the adoption of the older budget I think Deleon: 16 presents some complexities. 17 18 Thacker: Yes. 19 20 Deleon: But hopefully going forward then we'll be able to make some more appropriate comparisons to previous year. I think it's very difficult for me 21 22 personally, to understand the year to year increases in the different categories because of some of the things that you're describing that 23 happened at different times, and then the adoption of that previous budget. 24 25 But like I said, hopefully going forward it'll be a little bit more clear. 26 27 Thacker: Okay. 28 29 Deleon: Thank you. 30 31 Sanchez: Mayor Pro Tem Gandara. 32 33 Gandara: Yes, thank you. I know that in one of the meetings that we had with Ms. 34 Deleon, I believe she had requested, and because of the process by which 35 the City does is, okay what is your current budget? What do you need just do, right, to maintain? Right, and then have those totals. And then what do 36 37 you need to, if you want increases what does it look like? And I think, if I 38 remember correctly, Barbara help me because I've been in several 39 meetings, and I've slept and I'm back here. Is, I agree. If we don't know 40 that, right, it makes it very difficult to see past, present, future. And do you have a slide that reflects direction Clint? 41 42 43 Thacker: I do not. We originally did have it in the presentation but it was really 44 confusing because, I'll just leave it there. So I made the call to take it out. So it was prepared in the presentation. The way that it was presented it just 45

46

didn't make sense and it was confusing. And I felt like having two of these

1 styles would be confusing for the Board as well. So I know that if I was 2 asked, can we operate off of the \$3.8 million? That would be our bare 3 necessity. That that would be barely hanging on. Not with the new center. 4 I mean, we'd have to increase our costs for that as well, just to get that 5 covered. 6 7 Gandara: Okay. Okay. Barbara, I'm looking at you because you're our financial guru, 8 and you see the numbers all the time. And I just want to make sure that you don't have question or concern about what you're seeing here. 9 10 Because I just, and as we've talked about having budget adjustments, you know several months later it doesn't help us. So that's one of the things that 11 I really wanted you to look at. So in the future if a Board Member does 12 13 direct you to have something like that, please do that. It makes it clear that 14 you're listening, and I know that you are but this would be very helpful. But I want to ask, Ms. Deleon, it feels like there's some concern about this. And 15 16 as we asked, and I want to be clear, I know that the City has asked you to put these, with your analyst, to put it in the format that the City has asked, 17 and I believe that that has happened. And that's a question. 18 19 20 Deleon: If I may. 21 22 Yes. Gandara: 23 24 Deleon: So that's actually a question that I was going to ask because I see our 25 budget manager here. Just wanted to make sure that we did have all the detail entered into our ERP system that supported the numbers. 26 27 28 Thacker: Yes. 29 30 Deleon: Each position listed. 31 32 Thacker: Yes. According my understanding with working with Mike, and also 33 Jacqueline, all that information is in. She's more than welcome to come up 34 and address the question if she'd like to. 35 36 Deleon: Okay. Thank you. 37 38 I'm sorry, go ahead. Before we begin, sorry, go ahead County Manager Sanchez: 39 Macias. 40 41 Macias: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And just let me ask a few questions. Perhaps they may be answered here. So we didn't achieve the \$15 an hour, we're 42 only going up to \$14.50. So what would it take to take it to the \$15? I'm 43 44 assuming another \$18,200.

Yes. And it would push us past that mark that was requested to stay under.

45 46

Thacker:

1 2 Macias: Well, and no. Are you suggesting to me that there can't be another \$18,000 3 in reduction in other line items in order to achieve the \$15 for this year? 4 5 Thacker: I firmly believe no, there is not. 6 7 Macias: Okay. So just so that I can understand because it's a little bit confusing. It 8 remains confusing to me. FY22 is not this fiscal year, it was a whole fiscal 9 year ago. 10 Yes, sir. 11 Thacker: 12 13 Macias: So you're saying that you're taking from actions that you normally would 14 have implemented two years ago, and you're going to implement it now two 15 years later going into this next fiscal year. And the cost of that, because it 16 doesn't give me a percentage, it doesn't give us a percentage, it just says 17 living wage adjustments. So I'm not guite clear what and who I'm assuming 18 the City. I'm not clear who did this living wage adjustment, well last fiscal 19 year, not this current one, and why the amount is that high, and whether or 20 not because of your vacancy savings from that amount you can't find 21 another \$18,200 for this next year in order to achieve the \$15 an hour. 22 23 Thacker: So, Mr. Macias we are not implementing a living wage adjustment. That 24 was already done in 2021, or fiscal year 2022. It was done in May. So all 25 of my coworkers received that increase and they're paid now that amount. 26 And so from May until the end of June, I covered this increase, their higher 27 increase, I covered it with salary savings, with the intent that the Board 28 would pass our budget that included these higher salaries for all my 29 employees. 30 31 Macias: Okay, but those salaries, forgive me. Go ahead. 32 33 Thacker: So it wasn't. It was I had fiscal year 2022 rolled over, which did not have 34 those increases in it. And so I've been paying my employees the higher 35 rate this whole year trying to, or meeting their higher rate so I need this 36 money to cover what we're asking for. 37 38 Macias: Well, but wouldn't your reserve cover that? 39 40 No. My fund balance or my vacancies? Thacker: 41 42 Macias: Well, no your fund balance, because you had a fund balance at the end of 43 fiscal year 2022, you're going to have another fund balance now at the end 44 of fiscal year 2023. What's bothering me is I'm not sure why you're showing 45 it in that manner rather than if you've been paying it, then it's now part of the

46

budget that currently exists, so it should be integrated into your current

budget. That way we have a better understanding in terms of then the comparison that you're asking for fiscal year 2024.

Rubalcava:

Hi. Jacqueline Rubalcaba. Budgeting Grant Administrator. Let me try to clear that up a little bit. So recently we found that the \$1.7 million that's showing us the FY23 salaries and wages wasn't enough to cover his actual wages for the year. It wasn't budgeted correctly when they budgeted last year. And so I think what he's trying to say is he's already spent \$362,000 in this year's budget, but he didn't really have the budget to cover those wages. When we calculated it was about \$2.1 million that his salaries and wages would actually be for this year. So I believe that's why you're trying to recoup the \$362,000.

> As far as the numbers, so that \$362,000 was not a number provided by the City, it was provided by his management analyst. And so it's not a number that I can verify. In this presentation I hadn't seen it before today, so I'm a little hesitant. As far as entering into Munis, we had entered, we imported the information that was provided and then his management analyst did go in and enter the information as the City does into Munis. But it has changed and the changes have not been made. So we still have the 3.0% salary and wage increases in Munis, and then the minimum wage is still \$15 that he had previously done. So I wouldn't be able to verify the numbers but we were going into putting it into Munis. So how we have done it, and you were asking about whether it had been done in the levels that we do, so level you know one, two, and three is how the City does it. So it was not, because it wasn't entered until level three so we can't backdate in Munis, once we've rolled over to the next level for the City, we can't go back. So we can't identify levels one, two, and three, because they were entered all at the same time.

Sanchez: Thank you. I don't know if that helps answer any questions. Ms. Deleon and Mr. Macias. Ms. Deleon. Sorry, put your light one.

Deleon:

Macias:

Sorry, I just want to make sure, Judge had his light on and he asked the last question, so if you have a follow up, Judge Macias.

So let me just ask, the executive committee, and I'm not clear who's on the executive committee, the chair.

Sanchez: Chair and Vice-chair, myself and Mayor Pro Tem Gandara.

Corran: I'm on it but I was not there for these conversations so I don't know, I can't speak to this.

45 Macias: Okay. So that was acceptable to not try to achieve the \$15 an hour.

Sanchez:

I think based off the last meeting we had, the last public meeting, we were trying to figure out how, since the majority of the costs in this budget, in the increase was around the wage increase, the salaries, and the benefits, or sorry, the salaries, wages, and benefits, we were trying to identify and see how we could minimize that to get closer to the 15%, which was discussed for this coming fiscal year. And so the thought was to look at, instead of going all the way to \$15, let's see if we have a step increase to get to \$15. So we will do \$14.50 this upcoming fiscal year, and then the following fiscal year get to \$15, just to kind of minimize the impact of that. And so that was the reason why that was brought up. But I thought that's what we had discussed in the last open meeting was let's try to, as we're trying to parse down the budget, let's go halfway from \$14 to \$15.

Macias:

What's concerning me is that the vacancy factors are so historically high. And one can say and you know we deal with that issue at the County quite a bit, where we're going to hire all of these people during this period of time. And it really does not happen because then there's a continuing turnover, because they are difficult jobs. So they're you know it's like a detention center almost, there is constant turnover. And I'm wondering within that vacancy factor we can't accommodate another \$18,000.

 Sanchez:

And I don't believe it's just a simply the \$80,000, right., I mean we're going to have to then, I mean you're talking as we just discussed, the FICA, the PERA, you know all of those benefits are all going to increase somewhat because of the level of increase of their budget. I'm not saying it's significantly more, but there will be an increase there. So it's not just, I mean if it's \$18,000 I'd say I'd before it, but I think, I just want to make sure that we have a number that we can look at to say, I guess we can go back to the last budget and see those numbers almost, right. Would be incorrect in stating that Clint?

Thacker: Go back to when it was \$15 an hour?

Sanchez: Correct.

Thacker: I don't have those numbers with me. But I mean ...

We can look at the last budget that was presented In the meeting two weeks ago, trying to remember. So I don't know, I'm just trying to figure out how we might be able to do that, because I'm not, if that's the wishes of the Board then we can do that and amend what's being presented here.

43 Macias:

Sanchez:

Mr. Chairman. May I also ask, was the entire \$362,000 of the FY22 living wage adjustment, that was spent? We clearly know that that was spent because you're adding it into these totals, but you had such a high vacancy rate and you didn't apply that living wage to those vacant positions for nearly

a 12 month period. So how could that entirety been spent, unless it's an actual, this is what it costs. We have 50 employees, but we've only had 37 consistently throughout the year. So does that figure represent the 50 employees as it would have applied to them if they had been there 12 months, or is it, is it not, it's not a real number, it is a budgeted number. And it wasn't actually spent so there's potential savings there.

7 8 Thacker:

Yes, I do understand what you're saying sir. You're saying that 50, probably around 57 employees were given a living wage adjustment. \$362,000 constitute all of those individuals, employees, or is it basically a value reduction or a, not a value reduction, vacancy reduction applied to it? It that what say saying?

12 13 14

6

9

10

11

Macias: Yes.

Thacker:

Fuschini:

15 16

19

20

21 22

23 24 Okay. I can have my budget analysts come up and talk about that.

17 18

Good morning, Members of the Board. Mike Fuschini, Management Analyst, ASCMV. That number is in the process of being spent. It was not a budgeted number. It was included in the original FY23 budget that was prepared, but not accepted. So the FY23 appropriation amount up there that you see is the carryover from FY22. The implementation of those increased wages and salaries that resulted from the percentages, and it was not a flat percentage across the board, it was applied differently to different positions.

25 26 27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

That was not carried over into FY23. We paid for those increases which started the second pay period in March, out of vacancy savings from the period of two weeks in March through June. And I remember the question from the board previously last year when you had that was the concern was we can understand that you can pay for those savings for those increases. So your savings for the remaining three and a half months. What will you do in fiscal year 2023. And we built that into that fiscal year 2023 budget to support and absorb those increases. However, when the 2022 budget was rolled into 2023, those numbers were not there. So how are we paying for that this year, through our current vacancy savings, which happens to be at around 21%. It's held pretty steady since July of 2022. So the savings that we have from our vacancies, plus some very frugal spending on behalf of our programs is what is absorbing most of that \$362,000.

39 40

41

Most of it but not all of it. And so have you projected through the end of this fiscal year, will you have utilized \$362,000?

42 43 44

45

46

Well I projected through this fiscal year, through year to date February fiscal period eight, because I have not done the fiscal period nine yet, that we will just about breakeven through this fiscal year. It's going to be very close.

15

Macias:

Fuschini:

1 But projection is not you know a true science. So based on what I see the 2 spending trends over the past eight months, we will be very close in 3 breaking even at the end of the year. 4 5 Macias: When you say breaking even, utilizing the \$362,000. 6 7 Fuschini: That including all of the budget for the Animal Services Center. 8 9 But so it's not just the living wage adjustment, it's the operational that you're Macias: 10 utilizing. 11 12 Fuschini: Yes. 13 14 Okay. Well, that's, I think, maybe confusing people because it oriented itself Macias: 15 to a wage adjustment, not to the operations of the entire. 16 17 Fuschini: That figure there of \$362,000 was just identified as a request. How much would we have needed to add to the fiscal year 2022 budget, which is now 18 19 in the fiscal year 2023, that's approximately how much more to account for 20 all the increases that were implemented in March of 2022, which would have been fiscal year 2022. But since fiscal year 2023, was not representative 21 of our budget amount, it was fiscal year 2022. That fiscal year 2022 budget 22 23 was developed 12 months before we implemented the raises increases in 24 March of 2022. 25 26 Macias: Okay, so the only thing I can conclude is that you're adding, because we're 27 here looking at fiscal year 2024. So you're adding this in to use fiscal year 2024 to recoup those monies that you have already utilized for this past 28 29 fiscal year. 30 31 Fuschini: If I may respond to that. That number is not adding it in, it's just to reflect 32 approximately how much was, additional would have been needed in the 33 2023 budget that we didn't get. Our 2024 budget is taking all of our people 34 who are being paid at those increased rates that were established and 35 implemented in fiscal year 2022. So it is in a way included, that amount is not exact as a representation for what we added to the fiscal year 2024 36 budget. But in looking at the fiscal year 2024 budget, we feel this number 37 38 of increasing by \$662,000 will be enough to support, given the vacancy 39 replacement plan of the 14 vacancies to cover the people costs for the next 40 12 months, beginning July 1, 2023. 41 42 So the \$362,000 and the \$97,000 is just information, an identifier to tell you that if you add those two together, \$450,000 was never rolled over into the 43 44 fiscal year 2023 budget. Now, that may not be an exact figure, because it's a little hard now 12 months later to come up with exactly how much we 45

46

would have had to support in 2023. Because the people today are not the

same people that were in the seats in fiscal year 2022, in March of 2022. There have been some changes. So you would have to turn back the clock and go back to exactly how much was being paid out for those people who were in the seats at that time, that may not be there today. There have been several vacancies, there have been turnover as we all recognize, hirings and terminations that would impact that number. But that's as close as I could get given the information I have today, to try to go back 12 months in the past to determine what would have been the impact on the 2023 budget, had we had those dollars included. It just never made it into the budget.

Macias: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Sanchez:

Ms. Deleon. If you would mind real quick if I can jump. Mr. Fuschini. I guess this is where I think the confusion is here is that we're talking, you're talking fiscal year 2023 budget, and we're talking fiscal 2024 year budget. And I think one of the things that I guess I'm trying to understand is the living wage adjustment is I think as County Manager Macias has noted, this is essentially, is this not the, you're just including it as an increase because of what was trying to be absorbed for this fiscal year, or are we paying back for this past fiscal year? Because that's what I think. I've heard two different things. And I think I understand what you're saying, but I want to get clarification because I think this is where, by putting the fiscal year living wage adjustment in here I think it would have been more clear I think, as the County Manager had indicated, if it was just part of the operations for the ASCMV. But by having it separated as a living wage adjustment, it's like you're, understanding that it could have been a bullet. You could have put a bullet on the other slide that said, X amount is for this or whatever. But I think by having the line item here, it's creating that confusion. So I just want to make sure this is for, we're not paying back. This is for the upcoming fiscal year, is that correct?

That is correct. And in regard to your statement, I fully agree with what you're saying. But I would have to defer to Mr. Thacker as to, because I thought this would have been, this is kind of a confusing bulleted item in the slide because it's not necessarily a number that feeds into that \$2.231 million, it is kind of a placeholder just to show you approximately my best guess given what I know today, going back 12 months that happened a year ago, what would have been the incremental cost for those raises that were implemented in March of 2022.

And I think, like I said I think at this point having been, I understand what you're saying you're going through the end of the audited amounts through the end of February. And so I would have figured, you know at this point we have a pretty good idea of that number of projecting, at least at this point,

Sanchez:

Fuschini:

because you're going to only have, what's that four months left to project, the last third of the year.

2 3 4

1

Fuschini: Right.

5 6

7

I just want to make sure that this is a solid, this is a good number. But I think like, that we have projected here, that \$362,000 plus the \$97,000.

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22 Fuschini:

Sanchez:

When I do the projections I look at both the salaries and the wages, and then all the deductions. Those line items are included in the projections. What I'm saying is, I'm pretty confident based on projections and projections are nothing but best guesses, best educated guesses, given the information we know today, that we will come up pretty close. We have absorbed the all those increases that were implemented in fiscal year 2022, starting in fiscal year 2023, due to vacancy savings, which were about 20 to 25% throughout the 12 months, and operational reductions or savings, things that we didn't spend money on that we were planning to spend money. That's what's going to get us down to the breakeven point. For example, medical supplies, we have \$206,000 that's budgeted in medical supplies. I'm projecting that through the fiscal year end of this year, June 30, 2023, we'll probably spend no more than \$150,000 of that. So you have \$56,000 right there as a contribution. So the operational savings, plus the vacancy savings is what has been paying for that increase that was implemented in March 2022.

23 24

27

28 29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36

3738

39 40

2526 Sanchez:

Okay. So while not a specific, this question is specific to the fiscal year 2024 budget, but for the fiscal year 2023 budget, would we not have been better served at some point having a midyear budget adjustment to reflect t this living wage adjustment and understanding that it's going to be covered with vacancies. I mean just because I understand the fiscal year 2023 budget was not originally approved and so the fiscal year 2022 budget rolled over. But we've had, you know plenty of time in this current fiscal year that we could have had a budget adjustment to reflect this, rather than waiting to the upcoming fiscal year budget. So I don't understand why I guess, make it a note, make it a comment, I would have wished that we would have had a budget adjustment in this fiscal year to accurately reflect the fiscal year 2023 budget, because we've had time to make those adjustments and approve that as a Board. But you know to come back and say now when we're looking at fiscal year 2024 budget and say, we didn't know, we didn't have you know, our fiscal year 2023 budget doesn't accurately reflect where we need to be. That's concerning that we didn't have that. So just a note.

41 42 43

44

45

46

Fuschini:

If I may respond to that. Two things, that may have been consideration. I don't know. I would have ask Clint if that was ever discussed. Do you do a budget amendment to account for that? The second thing that probably came into play if you recall, is that the contribution from the County was

increased by \$300,000. And that came later in the year after July, that came in around August or September, because you work on a different timeline and had to approve that later in the year. That \$300,000 was to go into play to fund seven new positions at about \$42,000, \$43,000, when you do the math it comes out to \$300,000. Not all those positions were hired. And there were lots of different vacancies. So that \$300,000 were looked upon as kind of softening the blow because we didn't get those extra dollars in fiscal year 2023.

Sanchez:

The last I recall, we had approved, at least on a preliminary budget, that was approved. So we had an idea of what we were going to go into. And yes, the timeline we would have approved it later, you know into, but the preliminary budget would have been approved in May. And yes we would have approved the final budget in July. But again I think that goes back to my initial comment, we could have done a budget adjustment to accurately reflect the updated contribution from the County and the wage increase.

1718 Macias:

And, Mr. Chairman, just for clarity for any future purposes. The County has to submit, all governmental entities have to submit their preliminary budget by the first of June. And that basically is what is approved. The July 24th approval Date from DFA on a final budget is just to give the governmental entities some flexibility in terms of having to make any changes. But on July 1st of every fiscal, the beginning of every fiscal year, that budget is already there in place and the commitments are there and they flow in that manner. So I want to make sure that that's kind of understood. Our timeframe historically has been a little bit behind the City, but we still have to meet that July 1st deadline, and everybody knows what their budget is, and can begin working from those numbers by the first of July. Because the preliminary budgets have been approved on the first of June, or submitted on the first of June and then we get notice, you know sometime within two or two weeks of that date.

In response to that, I agree and I understand. The financial system showed that the original appropriation for fiscal year 2023 with \$1.45 million. The \$300,000 was added in as an adjustment at a later date. That was not part of the original appropriation, but became part of the revised appropriation.

Macias: It was added the following fiscal year.

Fuschini: Okay.

Fuschini:

 Macias: No, it wasn't added in late or added in as a supplement. There was a certain amount of money committed, I don't know, it was fiscal year 2021. And then the following fiscal year the County upped its contribution to the \$1.7 that matched the City's.

Fuschini: And the request for that was to fund for the seven positions, seven new

positions that the center had requested. And that's primarily the driving force, because that was the resolution that was used back last year to

support that.

Yes.

4 5 6

9 10

11

12 13

14

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

1

2

3

Macias: Mr. Chairman, would you allow me just one more question?

7 8

Sanchez:

Macias:

So with this, because I keep on looking at is as an FY22 living wage adjustment. So in fact was that money utilized, and that everyone, all of the employees at the Animal Services Center were actually higher than \$14 an hour? Was it used to increase the overall, so that we think that it's \$14 that we're trying to adjust, but in reality, they're making \$14.32 or \$14.50 already.

15 16 Fuschini:

In response to that, at that time, prior to the living wage, and that was a term that we kind of came up with to best describe what this adjustment was for, employees at that time we're making around \$11.05 an hour. And we then made these increases to bring them up to \$14. With the thinking that after they served their one year probation then it would automatically increase to \$15. Some of those employees were not there for the full year so therefore it didn't go to \$15 and then the wage stayed at \$14 Until the next employee came in. We didn't automatically increase it to \$15 for that position. It was for that individual provided that they served their one year probation. But the time that the living wage adjustment, as we're describing it, was implemented, most of those employees that were at the bottom end of the scale we're making \$11 plus.

27 28 29

26

Macias: So is the entry level \$14 or is it higher now?

30 31

The entry level is \$14 now. And currently at this date and time, FY24, Fuschini: beginning July 1st, it will jump to \$14.50.

32 33 34

Macias: No, I understand that aspect of it. Okay. Thank you so much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

35 36

37 Sanchez:

Deleon:

Thank you, Mr. Macias. Ms. Deleon. Thank you, and thank you for your patience.

39 40

41

42

43 44

45

46

38

Yes. I appreciate this. Thank you, Mr. Chair. So I think a lot of this discussion is focused, rightly so, around your salaries and wages, since that's a large portion of your budget, the number of your vacancies. I believe with the implementation of your budget in the ERP system for fiscal year 2024, we will have the detail on the number of positions filled or vacant to support the \$2.3 million that you're saying you need for salary and wages in FY24. The question I have goes back to the vacancies, however, Ms.

Rubalcava I believe you mentioned that budgets analysis was that for the current payroll filled positions, we would need \$2.1 million to cover that payroll for the current fiscal year, is that correct?

5 Rub

Rubalcava: Yes, that was our preliminary export from Munis. So HR does have access to the current wages. And so we exported a file to pull in what they were currently making, and then at the time it was a 3%, so we put the 3% in there to get an estimate of what it would be. And projections can be done you know because it is so late in the year, we can use a predictor of how much monthly or each pay period is being spent on payroll and then just multiply that and get the percentage,

Deleon: But that payroll projection does not take into account that there are 14 vacant or 15 vacant positions, are they?

Rubalcava: Yes, the vacancies were included.

Deleon:

Thacker:

Deleon:

Okay. Thank you very much. That helps to clarify that. I'm going to ask a different question of Mr. Fuschini that has to do with the increase that you noted that came from the County for seven positions. What I thought I heard you say was that that increase was going to fund the seven positions, but that positions were not filled, so instead those funds went to cover the living wage adjustment that you noted.

If I can ma'am. So the \$300,000 went to fund seven new positions. And \$136,000 for the door access panels and stuff for the new center. Only one of the positions, the community cat program manager is the position that did not get filled. That's in the interview stages now. The others were office specialist, and also the kennel staff as well. So those were, I can't tell you if the actual position that we got has been filled, but I can tell you that, is that the position is in the process of being filled now through regular staff leaving and being hired.

 So thank you so much, that helps. I guess my confusion goes back to if we need about \$2.1 million to cover salaries, we're asking for \$2.2 million in FY24. With a historical 20% vacancy rate, I don't understand why the budget would not have, if we were to reflect that more accurately, why we would not have the capacity to for example, move that minimum wage increase to \$15 an hour because it just does not seem like the amount would not be able to be covered. So the assumptions for the FY24 positions, hiring, salaries, the percentage of time they're going to be filled for the year, it seems high to me, but partly because I think I haven't looked at the detail. But just from a logical perspective with a 20% vacancy rate, it just doesn't seem like we wouldn't be able to cover that \$15 an hour minimum wage increase.

1 Thacker: Mr. Chair, if I may. I just spoke with Jacqueline and she said that HR has 2 not been able to do historical vacancy rate that currently now with the 15 3 employees, it's 20%. 4 5 Deleon: So you have several vacancies that have been longtime vacant. 6 7 Thacker: We have two that have been longtime vacant, and they're not funded; 8 records clerk and the dog groomer position. The only one that I know of 9 that is longtime not been filled that is funded is a transport position. 10 Deleon: 11 So when you say it's not funded, that means it's not budgeted. 12 13 Correct. Thacker: 14 15 Deleon: So I guess that goes back to then the crux of the concern I have with respect 16 to managing the headcount and the budget associated with the headcount. We seem to have positions and no budget for them. So to me then we don't 17 have those positions, but we're saying we have those positions. Are those 18 19 positions in this budget? It's very confusing ... 20 Thacker: 21 Absolutely. 22 23 Deleon: About how the headcount and the associated funds have been managed, 24 covering some of these increases with vacancy savings. I think it's a recipe 25 for confusion, which I think is what we're discussing here. 26 27 And we are trying to solve that. Bernice was working with HR. It has been Thacker: done. So I know the forums, the PNC forms. Why do you come up and say 28 29 don't record. 30 31 Deleon: Those position control numbers, I understand and I saw some of the 32 documentation about that. I guess I'm more concerned about you saying that they're not budgeted. If the budget is not approved by this Board, I 33 34 don't see how we can have a position with or without a position control 35 number. 36 37 Navarro: Bernice Navarro. Yes, I just did, so at this point all of that was submitted. 38 So those positions are not going to be included in this budget, because they 39 are inactivated now. So it was, a couple of them, the records clerk like that. 40 So they are not, you know they hadn't been budgeted, because we hadn't filled them. So they are not, they are no longer in you know considered to 41 42 be in the budget at all. 43 44 Thacker: That was just done I believe, early last week or this week it was done, so 45 completed.

Sanchez: Okay, so Councilor Corran and then Councilor Flores or

3 Flores: It's the other way around, with all due respect.

Sanchez: Okay. Councilor Flores.

Flores:

1

2

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

I've been turning it on, turning it off. I'm very concerned about this. And I don't know if this is a function of City management or the culture. But this whole thing about having vacancies and then allocating monies that haven't been used for those vacancies to be used perspectively in other budgets. I mean, it almost encourages not hiring. It almost seems as if, to me, because I'm not a budget analyst, I'm not an accountant. But it just seems to me that there's something not quite right. It just doesn't smell right to me. So it seems to me there would be no incentive. It's like you know I'm thinking, I'm trying to just think about you know my budgeting, my personal budgeting. And it's like well you know I can't find a housekeeper so I have you know those \$80 dollars you know someone to come in once a week or something. So I have those \$80 dollars that I can allocate for something else, you know maybe to pay off my credit card debt or something. And then I'm going to rely on those \$80 dollars to whatever, and then I wouldn't be able to have a housekeeper. I'm just trying to bring this down to something that's comprehensible to me. And then there wouldn't be an incentive to find money or budget accordingly so that I don't have to pay off my credit card debt. Do you know what I'm saying? It's like, if you're, is that what happens, Barbara? Because you know everybody here is using the vacancy rate, 20% vacancy rate, and therefore, we have money. Well, no, we don't have money. We have vacancies. And what happens then? What if, by some miracle, there'd be all these people who would apply? And then what would happen to our budget prospectively? Yes, for one year, maybe it looks okay kinda sorta, but what happens the following year. Say we feel that 20%, say even 5% or 10%, 15% 18%, that money wouldn't be there. So how does that work?

32 33 34

35

36

3738

39

40

41

Rubalcava:

So I just want to clarify a little bit. So the way that Munis is set up, and the way we budget is that we do, so if let's say there's one account that's being used for a certain amount of salaries, then we go in and when we budget, you add detail for each of the employees. So let's say you want four employees under that org, and object, and they're each have \$50,000. So you'll itemize each of the lines, but \$50,000 for each of the employees to make sure that when we're budgeting we're able to clearly state, this \$50,000 is going to go for this vacancy or for this employee, so that we're budgeting correctly.

42 43 44

45

46

When payroll is run, the payroll is the only one that if there's no budget, it will still run. So can they go over that budget? Yes, the system will allow them to. And I know that in previous years, when Animal Services entered

their budget, it wasn't itemized by line. So it was hard for the City to determine how much of that budget in each of those lines is for each of the employees. But this year, they did go in and itemize and put each of the vacancies, each of the line states so now it says like veterinarian for one line, and how much you're going to budget for that one. The current veterinarian is on a separate line and how much they're budgeting for that one. So going forward, it should be clearer to understand. But I just wanted to kind of explain a little bit how Munis works and how we can pull that information. But yes, I mean, we can over budget, go over your budget in in on the six accounts which are the personnel.

1112 Flores:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

2425

2627

28 29

30

31

32 33 But then references consistently made to vacancy rate in terms of that opening up some money. So how does that work? Would you explain that to me, as if I were a four year old?

15 16 Rubalcava:

Yes. So when Director Clint mentioned that HR was not able to calculate a vacancy rate, I'm not sure if they would be able to or wouldn't be able to, I'm assuming that that they would. The \$2.1 million when we exported did include the vacancies at the time. So we didn't ask them to do a vacancy report, the vacancy report that they mentioned, the \$2.6 is something that's calculated by Michael. And so that's what they've been using. And so I'm not sure if that's the rate that they've been using as a historical figure each year. I think each year you update that correctly. In his worksheet. So I think the disconnect has come with using Munis and a separate worksheet. So Munis is able to export the information so I can get you a revised budget and then we can enter, like I mentioned they didn't enter in level two so it would be revised budget in level three. So Munis is able to export that information, and has been our suggestion that Munis be used, because that's the system that we follow. So when we go into the system, that's how we determine how much budget is budgeted. That is what we use to present to Council for all fund summary. As the changes are made, like I mentioned that in Munis currently it's 3% wage increase, so it wasn't changed to the 1.5%. So as changes are made in the budget, process, budget should be notified so that we can update our ERP system accurately.

353637

Flores:

Flores:

34

That is very clear. Thank you so much, for explaining. But that isn't my concern. I think Munis is a different. My question remains, why do we look at vacancy rates in terms of having a budget?

39 40 41

38

Deleon: I think I can shed a little bit of light on that.

42 43 44

Deleon: For you.

I hope so.

Flores: Thank you.

Deleon:

And that is a budget management or philosophy discussion, and I appreciate the question for that. So underlying every year's budget activities, and most, particularly for salaries and wages, we want to try to get the most accurate picture of what we need to cover salaries and wages. That includes for all budgeted positions, some of which are currently filled, and some of which are vacant. So we make projections or estimates for the vacant positions to figure out when we think we might be filling them and at what pay grade we think they're going to or pay level we think they're going to come in at. But the intent is to cover all positions that are approved, either filled or unfilled. So there is no use for funds, vacancy savings if you will, that's worked into the budget for operations or any other thing. The salaries should all be in existence, salaries and benefits for every budgeted position. What happens during the year, in actuality, might differ from what we plan for in the budget. Different positions might be vacant, different levels of positions might be vacant, the time to fill positions might be different. And so what we've referred to as vacancy savings is a difference between what we budgeted for all the positions and what we're actually spending for all the positions.

I understand all of that Ms. Deleon, Barbara. The part that baffles me is that vacancy savings are relied upon to do a budget.

2425 Deleon:

Flores:

Well, and that's the part that I think we were also referring to with this, the assumptions with this living wage judgment and the very good suggestion to have that be a budget adjustment. I absolutely agree philosophically that we should not be relying on vacancy savings to fund any part of operations, because, yes, fundamentally, what that could imply is that you want to hold positions open. And with the number of approved positions that are required to conduct operations at the level of service that you all have approved, that would not be acceptable. So there is never an intention I think, for a budget to be running or funded by salary savings. It appears, because there was no adjustment made in FY23 for this living wage adjustment, that that's what was happening. That we were relying upon vacancy savings in order to fund those living wage adjustments because a budget adjustment was not made. That's how it appears. Was that your question or concern?

Flores:

Well, you've explained it very clearly. And you explained it in the way that I believe I understood it. So thank you for that. But I do want to say for the record, I do not have credit card debt, and I do not have a housekeeper. Just for the record. I was just saying, as an example of budgeting. Thank you. Thank you so much, everybody.

Sanchez: Thank you, Councilor Flores. Councilor Corran.

Corran:

Thank you. And I'm hoping I can just quickly sum up sort of the gist of where we might be and where we're headed. One, basically this is not an option for us to approve, more or less. I just want to put it out there that that's what's apparent, because these are already positions that exist and are filled at this rate. So this particular part of the budget is a done deal because we've already committed to doing this right. I'm just trying to clarify what we're talking about here. Okay. So that is something that we committed to that we didn't fund, historically and we must fund now. Right. So I understand that.

The second part is I would ask if we can plan for at least one or two adjustments to actuals, budget adjustments midyear, that would be very helpful in terms of making sure that these conversations are really clear at the end. And then my last question is really, so we're talking about having unused money to pay for the salary increase. The next item on our agenda, as far as I understand it is about using unused funds for a particular thing. And so I guess I'm not clear on where those unused funds are in all of this and how we are, because it seems like they're going to salaries and wages, but maybe I'm misunderstanding what the next budget item is. So any clarity that you all could provide on that would be really helpful to me, please.

Sanchez:

Thacker:

Thacker:

And Mr. Thacker, I don't know if I can, maybe the way that I've understood this and you can clarify where I can't. At least the \$200,000 for the next agenda item that's coming that's in the reserve funds right now of the ASCMV that we're not touching, that we're not part of the current fiscal year budget. Now going to the cost of living wage adjustment, I think as Ms. Delon was saying, in clarifying where we're covering it but only because of the budget savings that we've had in the current fiscal year budget. So the reserves are being untouched for these to fund the living wage adjustment. So that's the way that I best understood it. Is I correct Mr. Thacker?

Yes, Mr. Chair, that is correct. The next resolution is to access the fund balance to do some cement work. But I do have some discussion on that that we need to address at that time.

Sanchez: Okay. Hopefully, Council Corran then somewhat, does that answer your question?

Corran: So the fund balance is not represented in this at all?

Correct. Fund balance is like a savings account put aside. It's not part of our budget.

46 Corran: And where does it come from?

1 2 Thacker:

It comes from what we don't spend of our budget. So at the end of the year,

whatever is leftover goes into the fund balance.

Corran: Which I guess I have some confusion about how that relates to not spending things like salaries. And if that's going into the fund balance or not, I guess is my persistent question that I don't completely understand.

 Sanchez:

And I think the way that I look at it right is that when we balance this budget, we're doing it straight off of revenues we expect for the year versus expenditures we expend for the year. And then in the side, we have the reserve that's there that can fund in the case we have an emergency or something else that's not foreseen. It's an option for us. But if we don't spend it like you think it just goes into the piggy bank, into our cash reserves. And I think that goes back to even the larger question that, not the larger question but the question we had was posed to us at the last meeting, which was around the 1/12th reserve requirement that we currently don't have and whether we should have that to maintain that reserve on the end, or in the fiscal year, every year we should maintain that 1/12th reserve, but we don't currently have that requirement, nor is there any requirement for the ASCMV to have that.

23 Gandara: Yes there is.

Flores:

Gandara: We took it out.

Corran: Sorry. I think clarity would be helpful. Still not clear to me, but I guess it's clear to everyone else, so I am cool.

Sanchez: So I think if there aren't any other questions. I think what I think kind of where I'm seeing where we stand at the moment, I think we have a couple of options. And I'll be glad to take any other input from the Board. What I see is we can either approve the current budget with the \$14.50 an hour. It's been suggested that we look at the \$15 an hour, so we can either make an amendment to this proposed budget in some sort of fashion to accommodate for that, or, hopefully, or accept the budget with the anticipation that we will fund it with the vacancies which may not be

accurately reflected on this budget.

I thought required to have.

Macias: Mr. Chairman. Could I just ask Ms. Rubalcaba, just very quickly, if she has

done any projections in terms of how much of this current fiscal year's budget, forget all of the additions and everything else, just whatever was budgeted for this year, how much of it will be unspent at the end of this fiscal

46 year?

Rubalcava:

So based off of what we have in Munis, and like I said it may not be what you're seeing here on all the lines, we have done a projection on the beginning balance, and that is based off of what was entered into Munis and what was projected. So it takes into consideration the beginning, FY23. revised beginning balance, and then it puts in projections. So we can see what the end of the year, what the beginning of the year is going to look like. And so I did provide to Clint and Michael that that was in the negative. And that could be due to their projections being off, or it could be due to overspending in FY23. So a budget does, when we provide a fund summary, it's what is currently in the budget. So we have the beginning balance for the budget, and then the expenditures and revenues for that budget, and then any transfers. So when I provide a fund summary to him, it's based off of the budget, that does not mean that that is the actual. The actuals are in Munis, but they are not included in the fund summary necessarily. So as budget adjustments are being done throughout the year, they're then imported into the fund summary. But like I said, it's the budget is what I provided, not necessarily actuals. So there's that little difference where, no I don't have the actuals, we have them in Munis, but it's not projected into it. Does that make sense?

2122 Macias:

Well it does if the actuals don't take into, if you're not aware of what the actuals are, because there are all of these vacancies. And you're saying, you're assuming that the vacancies if they had all been filled, that we would be going into the negative that we would have spent all of the money within this budget, including what was in what I call a reserve, but you call the beginning balance. At the end of each year, because none of the monies that the City or the County give to the Animal Services Center revert back to the institutions, it stays there. So that's what you consider to be the beginning balance. So normally, it's somewhere between \$300,000 to \$400,000.

Rubalcava:

Yes. And so we haven't, I personally when I provided the fund summary to him, I didn't look at the actuals because as I said we look at the budgeted side. Josh Saffell, Accounting Supervisor is here. And he I know had run a report with the actuals. I'm not sure if he has it with him. But I don't know if you remember the exact number with the actuals included.

39 Macias:

And Mr. Chairman, the reason I'm asking that is that because if the actual spending at the end of this fiscal year gives a balance or a beginning balance of anywhere from \$350,00 to \$500,000 then you can within these numbers pay people \$15 an hour for next year. And if we have any kind of issues with that we can look at it at midyear, because there will not be that pattern. There are vacancy savings. If we are budgeting at 100% knowing that in the long run, we're only spending 80% of the budget, there is more than the capacity to make up that difference. And kind of that's the rub. I

1 2 3		think we can accommodate a goal within these figures based on the history of spending within the program.
5 5 6 7	Deleon:	If I'm sorry, so Chair. Just wanted to clarify, though because Jacqueline, you did say that there was a projection that the ending balance for FY23 for the Animal Services Center was going to be negative.
8 9 10 11 12 13	Rubalcava:	Yes. And so I did, and like I said it could be due to projections. I know there were some issues with some of the projections. So that could be what was skewing it and Clint was going to get with Michael to kind of look into that. But yes, as of yesterday, it was a negative about \$350,000 beginning balance.
13 14 15	Schaljo-Herr	nandez: Mr. Chair.
16 17	Sanchez:	Commissioner Schaljo-Hernandez.
18 19 20	Schaljo-Herr	nandez: Is that in the negative because of the living wage adjustments from FY22 that was not in the budget in 2023?
21 22 23	Rubalcava:	I assume that it was because when you look at the actuals that have been spent versus the budget in some of the salaries they are over budgeted.
24 25	Schaljo-Herr	nandez: But is that because of the living wage was not in the budget in 2023 when it was approved?
26 27 28	Rubalcava:	Yes. If it wasn't budgeted
29 30	Schaljo-Herr	nandez: So that
31 32	Rubalcava:	Was it revised, then it would have been over.
33 34 35 36	Schaljo-Herr	nandez: Okay. And it was not revised from this Board, correct? Or was it was? It not revised. So that's where those negative numbers are coming from that you're reporting to us.
37 38 39	Rubalcava:	Assuming the projections in Munis are correct. And so the projections are how much are you projecting to spend in FY23.
40 41 42	Schaljo-Herr	nandez: Okay, so what was that negative number ballpark range if you remember?
43 44	Rubalcava:	For the beginning balance, it was about
45 46	Schaljo-Herr	nandez: The ending balance at the end of the projection.

Rubalcava: Okay, the projection. So when we ran it, we just ran the beginning balance, which should be the ending balance, but it was about negative \$350,000.

Schaljo-Hernandez: So with the two living wage adjustments equaling \$450,000 we won't be ending in the negative because of the budget was not approved under FY23 with those two numbers, correct. Two different things. So how do those numbers play into this projection that we're viewing since it wasn't under 2023? That's my question? Is there's a \$362,000 and the \$97,000 that we're putting into FY24 but it wasn't in the budget for 2023, so these projections technically will be in the positive at the end of the year, hopefully.

 Deleon:

I'm going to jump in, Jacqueline I'm sure, Ms. Rubalcava will be able to say more accurately. I just want to note we cannot submit a negative ending balance to DFA. We will have to address this if it is in fact negative, whether or not it was approved, if it was not budgeted, etc, that would have to come from fund balance or some other means to make sure that we do not end the year negatively for the Animal Services Center. So thank you Jacqueline, I think you were about to say something.

Rubalcava: No, yes. And that's what I was going to. Yes, so it does have to be updated. So I did express that we needed to either look line by line and make sure that we were projecting correctly or if it really is we're overspending in FY23, then that's something we need to look at as well. But yes, it could be that you know it wasn't revised to include the adjustments in salaries.

Sanchez: And Mr. Macias, if you don't mind real quick. I just want to make kind of an interesting note. As I'm looking, I missed the last ASCMV meeting on the 23rd. But just looking at the budget packet or the packet of that meeting, when I'm looking at the expenditures for salary and benefits, assuming that we're still looking at fiscal year, well the same budget, a level budget from fiscal year 2022, we were right on the budget parameter basically, with salary. We were just hair above on benefits. And so if we're beating that, even with the living wage adjustment, I would think you know we're going to be okay. I mean I think we'll have some potential savings at least, because like I said if we're meeting, if we're right on the budget parameter with these unaudited results, we're not significantly higher. At least I would expect that if we had a living wage adjustment, this would be reflected and we would be higher in our budget in terms of what we would have expanded in the budget parameters for that. So we would be like 75%, 80%. But I don't know, maybe I'm assuming too much. But that's what I see is that I think, going back to that original, the thought what's kept us in our budget has been these vacancies. So County Manager Macias.

Again, Mr. Chairman, if there really are some concerns in terms of running over the budget, then obviously the administrator needs to think as to whether he should be filling positions now this last quarter, until they get a

44 Macias:

better handle of that understanding. But in order to make some progress, Mr. Chairman, and with respect to everyone, I would move that we approve the proposed FY24 budget totaling \$4,533,501. And with the caveat that at our next meeting they come in with the actuals and how much savings, because we can always modify the figure a little bit, if we need to.

5 6 7

1

2

3

4

Flores: Mr. Chair.

8 9

Sanchez: Councilor Flores.

10 11

12

13

14

Flores:

Macias:

With all due respect, Judge, City Manager, what else? But it seems to me that it would be best not to approve it with that caveat, because then it gets a little messy. And why approve it if there's still a chance that it's not quite right, and we're anticipating that we could correct it or doing, what would it

be called an addendum?

15 16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

Mr. Chairman, it's because we're all moving towards our final budget numbers for both the City and the County. So we really need to know, at a bare minimum, how much the new contribution is going to have to be, the increase, because we're going to have to incorporate it. But we still have time, in terms of, so that we have at least a bookmark to know how much the City and the County have to up their contribution, because we have to factor that in as we're dealing with all of our different department budgets. We're right in the thick of things right now and coming close to submitting at our, I believe our next meeting, or later in April, the preliminary budget that we're proposing to the commission. So it would really be good to know now.

25 26 27

> Flores: Now.

28 29 30

I think that that's why we're having this special meeting today. Macias:

31

32 Flores: Okay, to assure. It'll be more expeditious to do it this way.

33 34

Macias: Absolutely.

35 36

39

40

41

42

Flores: Okay.

37 38 Macias:

So that's why I'm saying let's go with what we have, because it was I guess, endorsed by the executive committee. I've heard some of the discussion. I don't think, well I can get final answers to some of my guestions. But it shouldn't take that long, but at least I can go back and factor in how much we're going to be recommending to the County Commission as a whole, the increase for the budget.

43 44

> 45 Flores: Thank you for the explanation.

1 2	Macias:	Certainly.
3	Flores:	Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
4 5 6 7	Sanchez:	Thank you, Councilor Flores. Okay, given that, are there any last questions? I know we have a motion and a second.
8 9	Macias:	There is a second, good.
10 11 12 13 14 15	Sanchez:	That's correct. So we had a motion, and a second by Councilor Corran to begin the discussion. So the motion was, had a motion by Commissioner Schaljo-Hernandez, and a second by Councilor Corran to approve the fiscal year 2024 budget. If there are no other comments or questions, then I'll ask for a roll call please.
16	Baum:	Board Member Macias.
17 18	Macias:	Yes.
19 20	Baum:	Thank you. Board Member Flores.
21 22	Flores:	Yes.
23 24 25	Baum:	Board Member Corran.
26 27	Corran:	Yes.
28 29	Baum:	Board Member Schaljo-Hernandez.
30 31	Schaljo-Her	nandez: Yes.
32 33	Baum:	Board Member Gandara.
33 34 35	Gandara:	Yes.
36 37	Baum:	Chair Sanchez.
38 39	Sanchez:	Yes.
40	Baum:	Passes.
41 42	Sanchez:	Thank you.
43 44 45 46	2.	Resolution 2023-02: A Resolution to Accept the ASCMV FY24 Budget

Sanchez: Okay, we are on to agenda item 3-2, which is resolution 2023. It's a

resolution to authorize the use of funds from the ASCMV fiscal year 2023 fund balance. If we can get a motion and a second to begin discussion

please.

Flores: So moved.

Schaljo-Hernandez: Second.

Sanchez: I have a motion by the Council Flores, seconded by Commissioner Schaljo-

Hernandez:. Mr. Thacker, please.

Thacker:

Thank you very much. I request that the Board table this item until further notice. There was an issue with the fund balance of how much is actually in it. We were told from the budget department that is was \$440,000, and

that was based off of another, I'm sure Jacqueline will be able to explain that more to us. But it was based off of the beginning balance and usage. We have already utilized some of it. So there's not enough to even do the cement project of \$350,000. In addition, we're also working with County to see how much they can do to help us out. And there's also a change in the plan for cement work as well. So we met yesterday with the County out on site, we came up with an entirely different plan, a possibility of what to do.

and which we hope is going to be cheaper or more fiscally responsible, you could say. So I don't even know how much to ask for at this point for

approval.

Sanchez: So if we, would we be having some sort of, will we have additional details

to possibly approve on the meeting on the 27th?

30 Thacker: Yes, sir.

Sanchez: Okay. Mr. Macias.

Macias: Mr. Chairman, I would move that we table this item until that meeting.

Sanchez: Okay, so there's a motion to table the agenda item.

38 Corran: Second.

40 Flores: Second.

42 Sanchez: Okay. Thank you. We have a second by Councilor Flores.

44 Flores: Corran, we both.

1 Sanchez: Okay. All right. Then we have a motion to table the agenda item. If we can 2 have a roll call, please. 3 4 Baum: Board Member Macias. 5 6 Macias: Yes. 7 8 Baum: Thank you. Board Member Flores. 9 10 Flores: What? What are we voting on? 11 12 Baum: We're tabling. 13 14 Sanchez: We have a motion to table to table the agenda item. 15 16 Flores: Yes. 17 18 Baum: Thank you. Board Member Corran. 19 20 Corran: Yes. 21 22 Baum: Board Member Schaljo-Hernandez. 23 Schaljo-Hernandez: Yes. 24 25 Gandara. 26 Baum: 27 28 Gandara: Yes. 29 30 Chair Sanchez. Baum: 31 32 Sanchez: Yes. 33 34 Baum: Thank you. 35 36 IV. Adjournment (10:38 a.m.) 37 38 Sanchez: All right. So we've reached the end of our agenda for the special board 39 meeting. So if I can get a motion and a second to adjourn. 40 41 Corran: So moved. 42 Flores: Second. 43

1 2 3	Sanchez:		ouncilor Corran and second by Councilor Flores. If re'll call this meeting adjourned at 10:38 a.m. Thank his Good Friday.
4 5 6	BOARD OF	DIRECTORS	
7			
8			Manuel Sanchez, Chairperson
9			
10			
11			Kasandra Candara Visa Chair
12 13			Kasandra Gandara, Vice-Chair
14			
15			
16			Becky Corran, Board Member
17			2001, 2011, 2011 11 11 11 11 11
18			
19			
20			Yvonne Flores, Board Member
21			
22			
23			
24			Fernando Macias, Board Member
25			
26			
27 28			Christopher Schaljo-Hernandez, Board Member
29			Christopher Schaljo-Herhandez, board Member
30			
31			
32			Barbara DeLeon, Ex Officio Member
33			, -
34			
35			
36			Mary Lou Ward, Ex Officio Member
37			
38			
39	A TTEOT		
40	ATTEST:		
41			
42 43			
43			
45	Amanda Lo	pez Askin, County Clerk	



MEMORANDUM

To: Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Board of Supervisors

From: Josie Trevino, Comptroller Initials: JT

Date: April 13, 2023

Subject: Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Unaudited Financial Report

for March 31, 2023.

Attached are the unaudited financial results of the Animal Service Center operations for the period ended March 31, 2023. Some observations for your consideration:

• The Statement of Net Position (page 1) reported cash of \$3,449 in the General Fund. Total assets were \$667,540. Accrued payroll liabilities ended at \$114,800. Total liabilities were \$367,219. The unassigned fund balance was \$300,321.

- The Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance Budget and Actual (page 2) showed YTD operating revenues in the General Fund at \$3,007,583 which is 71.9% of budget. YTD operating expenditures were \$2,993,186 which is 69.3% of budget. The operating income for the period was \$14,397.
- Operating revenues were at 71.9% due to various charges for services under performing when compared to expected budget values. Insurance expenditures were at 87.8% of budget due to pre-payment of premiums, salaries were at 79.2% of budget, and benefits were at 82.2% of budget. All other expenditures were below the budget parameter of 75.0%.
- The Grants and Donations Schedule, page 8, provides a summary of grants and donations received.

CC: Josh Saffell, Accounting Supervisor Initials: JS

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Net Position March 31, 2023 (Unaudited)

		, (Onadanto	'')				
	 und 7440 neral Fund		nd 7441 al Projects		und 9440 oital Assets		atement of t Position
Assets							
Current Assets							
Cash & investments	\$ 3,449	\$	81,987	\$	-	\$	85,436
Accounts receivable	 664,091		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-	664,091
Total Current Assets	 667,540		81,987				749,527
Capital Assets							
Equipment, net of accum depr	 		<u> </u>		216,901		216,901
Total Capital Assets	 -		<u>-</u>		216,901		216,901
Total Assets	 667,540		81,987		216,901		966,428
Liabilities Current Liabilities							
Accounts payable	739				_		739
Accrued wages payable	-		_		_		-
Accrued payroll liabilities	114,800		_		-		114,800
Revenue collected in advance	251,680		_		_		251,680
Total Current Liabilities	367,219				<u>-</u>		367,219
Fund Balance							
Restricted	_		81,987		_		81,987
Unassigned	300,321		-		216,901		517,222
Total Fund Balance	 300,321	-	81,987		216,901		599,209
Total I and Dalance	<u> </u>		01,301	-	210,301		JJJ,2U9
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$ 667,540	\$	81,987	\$	216,901	\$	966,428

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual For the Period Ended March 31, 2023 (Unaudited)

	Budget	Actual	Actual/Bgt %
Revenues	44.000	4.700	00 70/
Pet licenses-DAC	14,389	4,709	32.7%
Vaccinations	53,010	25,558	48.2%
Pet micro-chip	30,292	13,007	42.9%
Onsite adoptions	90,000	83,793	93.1%
Return to owner-DAC	15,806	13,200	83.5%
Return to owner-CLC	25,792	19,766	76.6%
Spay/neuter	79,349	23,360	29.4%
Dona Ana County	1,750,000	1,351,545	77.2%
City of Las Cruces	1,750,000	1,377,727	78.7%
City of Anthony	6,000	2,900	48.3%
Donations & memorials	25,052	39,507	157.7%
Grant Revenue	317,519	31,493	9.9%
City of Sunland Park	2,858	-	0.0%
Village of Hatch	335	-	0.0%
Other revenue	20,973	14,058	67.0%
Investment income		6,960	100.0%
Total revenues	4,181,375	3,007,583	71.9%
Expenditures			
Salary	1,957,968	1,550,353	79.2%
Benefits	646,022	530,709	82.2%
Temp agency services	33,331	18,697	56.1%
Repairs and maintenance	48,488	12,258	25.3%
Services	929,630	453,676	48.8%
Supplies	366,539	191,636	52.3%
Insurance	63,840	56,051	87.8%
Other	44,326	29,436	66.4%
Capital outlay	227,706	150,370	66.0%
Total expenditures	4,317,850	2,993,186	69.3%
Net income (loss)	(136,475)	14,397	
Transfer to capital from operations	(21,000)	(15,750)	
Net change in Fund Balance	<u>\$ (157,475)</u>	(1,353)	
Beginning Fund Balance		301,674	
Ending Fund Balance		\$ 300,321	

BUDGET PARAMETER

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Executive Director For the Period Ended March 31, 2023 (Unaudited)

	Budget	Actual	Actual/Bgt %
Expenditures			
Salary	123,593	88,869	71.9%
Benefits	53,612	40,458	75.5%
Temp agency services	-	-	0.0%
Repairs and maintenance	-	-	0.0%
Services	40,500	16,622	41.0%
Supplies	3,125	537	17.2%
Insurance	-	-	0.0%
Other	3,938	2,575	65.4%
Capital outlay	136,475	<u>136,475</u>	100.0%
Total expenditures	361,243	285,536	79.0%

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Medical Director For the Period Ended March 31, 2023 (Unaudited)

	<u>Budget</u>	Actual	Actual/Bgt %
Expenditures			
Salary	570,262	360,457	63.2%
Benefits	153,663	117,860	76.7%
Temp agency services	-	-	0.0%
Repairs and maintenance	-	-	0.0%
Services	397,928	143,433	36.0%
Supplies	65,846	3,379	5.1%
Insurance	-	-	0.0%
Other	16,500	7,477	45.3%
Capital outlay	<u>83,731</u>	7,095	8.5%
Total expenditures	1,287,930	639,701	49.7%

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Kennel Director For the Period Ended March 31, 2023 (Unaudited)

	Budget	<u>Actual</u>	Actual/Bgt %
Expenditures			
Salary	722,085	708,099	98.1%
Benefits	252,139	218,281	86.6%
Temp agency services	33,331	18,697	56.1%
Repairs and maintenance	48,488	12,258	25.3%
Services	36,345	84	0.2%
Supplies	212,256	132,202	62.3%
Insurance	-	-	0.0%
Other	22,888	19,140	83.6%
Capital outlay	7,500	6,800	90.7%
Total expenditures	1,335,032	1,115,561	83.6%

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Administrative Operations For the Period Ended March 31, 2023 (Unaudited)

	Budget	Actual	Actual/Bgt %
Expenditures			
Salary	542,028	392,928	72.5%
Beneftis	186,608	154,111	82.6%
Repairs and maintenance	-	-	0.0%
Services	454,857	293,536	64.5%
Supplies	85,313	55,518	65.1%
Insurance	63,840	56,051	87.8%
Other	1,000	244	24.4%
Capital outlay	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0.0%
Total expenditures	1,333,646	952,388	71.4%

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance as of March 31, 2023 (Unaudited)

	General Fund 7440											
	Revised Budget	t July 2022	August 2022	September 2022	October 2022	November 2022	December 2022	January 2023	February 2023	March 2023	Total	Actual/Bgt %
Revenues												
Pet licenses-DAC	\$ 14,389	s	\$ 1,028	\$ 749	\$	\$ 610	\$ 227	\$ 25	٠ &	, \$	4,709	32.7%
Vaccinations	53,010	1,057	8,424	904	983	269	824	1,426	6,880	1,491	25,558	48.2%
Pet micro-chip	30,292	2 770	3,242	1,060	725	480	2,680	882	1,995	1,170	13,007	42.9%
Onsite adoptions	90,000	2,205	2,725	18,745	9,299	12,489	2,935	15,380	9,420	10,595	83,793	93.1%
Return to owner-DAC	15,806		1,950	1,135	663	800	1,138	2,585	1,310	849	13,200	83.5%
Return to owner-CLC	25,792		2,075	2,360	2,220	1,425	2,250	2,440	2,091	3,360	19,766	%9'9'
Spay/neuter	79,349		3,000	2,915	2,655	2,065	2,055	3,325	2,175	2,785	23,360	29.4%
Dona Ana County	1,750,000	319,000	102,818	132,818	132,819	132,818	132,818	132,818	132,818	132,818	1,351,545	77.2%
City of Las Cruces	1,750,000		124,091	124,091	124,091	124,091	124,091	124,090	124,091	124,091	1,377,727	78.7%
City of Anthony	000'9	•	•	2,900	•		•	•	•	•	2,900	48.3%
Donations & memorials	25,052	2,885	3,394	7,215	2,808	2,012	12,483	2,805	4,825	1,080	39,507	157.7%
Grant revenue	317,519	-	5,233	3,494	10,649	12,117	•	•	•	•	31,493	%6'6
City of Sunland Park	2,858			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	%0:0
Village of Hatch	335		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0.0%
Other revenue	20,973	3 1,375	1,382	1,177	1,905	1,486	1,831	1,886	1,360	1,656	14,058	%0'.29
Investment income				(6,789)			11,880	2,170	785	1,910	96,9	100.0%
Total revenues	4,181,375	5 719,813	259,362	289,774	289,716	290,962	295,562	289,835	290,754	281,805	3,007,583	71.9%
		İ										
Expenditures												
Salary	1,957,968		155,741	245,137	162,394	162,361	160,462	165,814	169,081	246,044	1,550,353	79.2%
Benefits	646,022	29,352	53,784	84,115	56,178	55,204	54,945	26,777	57,424	82,930	530,709	82.2%
Temp agency services	33,331		15,125	•	2,735	•	•	•	•	837	18,697	56.1%
Repairs and maintenance	48,488	3 1,300	87	2,385	1,833	1,423	194	445	1,391	3,200	12,258	25.3%
Services	929,630	19,176	49,697	60,292	38,504	69,319	38,206	65,092	60,241	53,149	453,676	48.8%
Supplies	366,539	3 2,115	13,497	17,954	15,379	22,627	18,647	58,298	14,397	28,722	191,636	52.3%
Insurance	63,840	1,305	33,061	8,327	3,168	1,909	1,909	4,463	1,909	•	56,051	82.8%
Other	44,326	1,349	3,393	6,784	2,094	1,857	2,156	2,471	3,537	5,796	29,436	66.4%
Depreciation			•	•	•	•	•	•	•			%0.0
Capital outlay	227,706	- 90	•	•	7,095	6,800	101,244	35,231	•	•	150,370	%0.99
Total expenditures	4,317,850	137,916	324,385	424,994	289,380	321,500	377,763	388,591	307,980	420,678	2,993,186	69.3%
Net Operating Income (Loss)	(136.475)	5) 581.897	(65.023)	(135.220)	336	(30.538)	(82.201)	(98.756)	(17.226)	(138.873)	14.397	
			()			()		(
Transfers	(21,000)					(8,750)	(1,750)	(1,750)	(1,750)	(1,750)	(15,750)	
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$ (157,475)	5) \$ 581,897	\$ (65,023)	\$ (135,220)	\$ 336	\$ (39,288)	\$ (83,951)	\$ (100,506)	\$ (18,976)	\$ (137,123)	\$ (1,353)	

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Grants and Donations Schedule as of March 31, 2023

ASCMV FS 03312023

Final Audit Report 2023-04-20

Created: 2023-04-20

By: Liam Beasley (lbeasley@las-cruces.org)

Status: Approved

Transaction ID: CBJCHBCAABAAW_GClb-QTgEqRilCo03jBQzrJMJpOlzL

"ASCMV FS 03312023" History

- Document created by Liam Beasley (lbeasley@las-cruces.org) 2023-04-20 7:21:52 PM GMT
- Document emailed to Joshua Saffell (jsaffell@las-cruces.org) for approval 2023-04-20 7:22:44 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Joshua Saffell (jsaffell@las-cruces.org)
- Document approved by Joshua Saffell (jsaffell@las-cruces.org)

 Approval Date: 2023-04-20 7:23:57 PM GMT Time Source: server
- Document emailed to Josie Trevino (jotrevino@las-cruces.org) for approval 2023-04-20 7:23:58 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Josie Trevino (jotrevino@las-cruces.org) 2023-04-20 7:27:18 PM GMT
- Document approved by Josie Trevino (jotrevino@las-cruces.org)

 Approval Date: 2023-04-20 7:47:46 PM GMT Time Source: server
- Agreement completed. 2023-04-20 - 7:47:46 PM GMT

Names and email addresses are entered into the Acrobat Sign service by Acrobat Sign users and are unverified unless otherwise noted.

Animal Services Center of the Mesilla Valley Monthly Statistics Report-March 2023

						Pocket	Avian	Avian	Livestock (horse, goat, sheep, pig,	Wildlife (skunk, bat, coyote, fox,	ļ
NTAVE	014.00	Dogs	Cars	Kabbits	səmdəx	rets	E	L, W	donkey)	raccoon)	l otal
INTANE	City of Las Cruces	(•		(Ì
	Owner Surrender	63	7			3					74
	Returned Adoptions	3	1								4
	Seized/Welfare Hold	25	3								28
	Strays (brought in by the public)	20	28								78
	Strays (brought in by Animal Control)	115	98	1				1			203
	Wildlife										0
	Subtotal City of Las Cruces	256	125	2	0	3	0	1	0	0	387
	Doňa Ana County										
	Owner Surrender	42	1			1					44
	Returned Adoptions	1	1								2
	Seized/Welfare Hold	3	0								3
	Strays (brought in by the public)	46	9								52
	Strays (brought in by Animal Control)	177	73	1							251
	Wildlife										0
	Subtotal Doña Ana County	569	81	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	352
	Anthony, NM	29	8								37
	Hatch	3	0								3
	Mesilla	2	0								2
	Sunland Park	2	1								9
	WSMR	0	0								0
	Born In Care	0	3								3
	Community Cats										0
	Jurisdiction Unknown/Other										0
	Transfers In										0
	Total Intake	564	218	3	0	4	0	1	0	0	290

-		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149	4	7	0	122	217	96	0	20	0	24	0	C)	18	က	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	24	C
Total															1				1	2																								
Wildlife (skunk, bat, coyote, fox, raccoon)														0																														
Livestock (horse, goat, sheep, pig, donkey)														0																														
Avian L, W														0	1																													
Avian E														0																														
Pocket Pets														0	2					1																								
Reptiles														0																														
Rabbits														0	2																													
Cats														0	38				00	51	96		8	•	C		-	18	0		0		0							0			7	
Dogs														0	101	4	2		114	165	0		62		24	-7	2	0	3		2		7							_			17	
	Admin Missing	Foster-Escaped	Foster-Lost Contact	Foster-Stolen From	FTA-Escaped	FTA-Lost Contact	FTA-Stolen From	FTA-Gave Away	Offsite-Missing	Offsite-Stolen From	Shelter-Escaped	Shelter-Missing	Shelter-Stolen From	Subtotal Admin Missing	Adoptions	Expired in Shelter Care	Expired in Foster Care	DOA	Refurn to Owner	Transferred Out (Rescues)	Community Cats (TNR)	Wildlife Release	Owner Request Futhanasia	Euthanized	Behavior - Addressive - Dod	Behavior - Addressive - Other Animals	Behavior - Addressive - People	Behavior - Feral	Behavior - High Arousal Level	Behavior - Problem Eliminator	Behavior - Resources Guarding	Behavior - Timid	Behavior - Timid - Fear Biter	Court Order	Medical - Distemper Suspect - Resp/Gl	Medical - Distember - Exposed	Medical - Distember - TX	Medical - Distember - Non TX	Medical - Failure of Vital Function	Medical - Failure To Thrive	Medical - Felv	Medical - FIV	Medical - General	Medical - Geriatric
	OUTCOME	(city and county)																																										

		Dogs	Cats	Rabbits	Reptiles	Pocket Pets	Avian E	Avian L, W	Livestock (horse, goat, sheep, pig, donkey)	Wildlife (skunk, bat, coyote, fox, raccoon)	Total
	Medical - HW Positive - TX										0
	Medical - HW Positive - Non TX										0
	Modical - Mange - 1A										0 0
	Medical - Mass										0
	Medical - Neurological										0
	Medical - Organ Failure										0
	Medical - Parvo - Exposed	1	0								1
	Medical - Parvo - TX	0	0								0
	Medical - Parvo - Non TX	78	0								78
	Medical - Pregnant										0
	Medical - Prolapse										0
	Medical - Respiratory Signs - TX	6	_								10
	Medical - Respiratory Signs - Non TX	28	5								33
	Medical - Ringworm										0
	Offsite Euthanasia										0
	Rabies Suspect - General										0
	Rabies Suspect - Injured										0
	Rabies Suspect - Sick/Symptomatic										0
	Rabies Suspect - Wildlife										0
	Severe Injuries - Animal Attack	_	_								2
	Severe Injuries - General	2	7	1							10
	Severe Injuries - Hit By Car	2	1								က
	Space - Behavior										0
	Space - General	•									0
	Space - Medical	3	0								3
	Wildlife - Nuisance										0
	Subtotal Euthanized	180	41	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	222
	Euthanasia Rate	31.9%	18.8%	33.3%	na	na	na	na	na	na	28.1%
	Total Outcome	628	242	33	0	∞	0	1	0	0	882
Grand Total	Intake*	564	218	3	0	4	0	1	0	0	790
	Outcome*	628	242	3	0	8	0	1	0	0	882
Statistics	Live Release Rate	%6'29	82.5%	99.1%	na	100.0%	na	100.0%	na	na	72.5%
	Euthanized, Expired in Care, DOA	186	41	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	228
	Average Intake/day	18.2	7.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.5
	Average Outcome/day	20.3	7.8	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.5
	Average Euthanizations/day	5.8	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.2
	Average RTO/day	3.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9
	Average Adoptions/day	3.3	1.2	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8
**ASCMV Websi	**ASCMV Website Page Views 40,570	**ASCMV	V Instagram	-1	33,985 people reached;	le reache		47 impr	152,947 impressions; 154	4 ad clicks	S
**ASCMV Faceb	**ASCMV Facebook Page Views 12,284	**ASCMV	V Snapchat	1	591 swipe ups	S	·	**No ads run in January	in January		



ANIMAL SERVICE CENTER OF THE MESILLA VALLEY RESOLUTION NO. 2023-03

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE GOVERNING BOARD FOR THE ANIMAL SERVICES CENTER OF THE MESILLA VALLEY TO APPROVE THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2022.

The governing board of the Animal Services Center of the Mesilla Valley (ASCMV) is informed that:

WHEREAS, the financial statements of the ASCMV have been audited by PATTILLO, BROWN & HILL, LLP for the year ending June 30, 2022; and

WHEREAS, on April 6, 2023 the Office of the State Auditor received the ASCMV Audit Report submitted by PATTILLO, BROWN & HILL, LLP on behalf of the Animal Services Center of the Mesilla Valley; and

WHEREAS, the Office of the State Auditor has completed the review of the audit report required by Section 12-6-14(B) NMSA 1978 and 2.2.2.13 NMAC; and

WHEREAS, on April 17, 2023 The Office of the State Auditor released the audit report as shown in Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, The ASCMV Annual Financial Audit Report for the year ending June 30, 2022 is hereby presented for approval by the governing board of the ASCMV.

PASSED AND APPROVED this 27th day of April, 2023
Board Chairperson
MOVED BY:
SECONDED BY:

VOTE:	
Manuel Sanchez, Chair	yeanay
Kasandra Gandara, Vice-chair	yesnay
Christopher Schaljo-Hernandez, Member	yeanay
Becky Corran, Member	yeanay
Fernando Macias, Member	yeanay
Yvonne Flores, Member	yeanay
Barbara De Leon, Ex-officio Member	yeanay
Mary Lou Ward, Ex-officio Member	yeanay
ATTEST:	
Amanda López Askin, Ph. D., County Clerk	



Via Email

April 17, 2023 SAO Ref. No. 4065

City of Las Cruces Animal Service Center of Mesilla Valley

ldemouche@las-cruces.org

Re: Authorization to Release FY2022 Animal Service Center of Mesilla Valley Audit Report

The Office of the State Auditor (OSA) received the audit report for your agency on 4/6/2023. The OSA has completed the review of the audit report required by Section 12-6-14(B) NMSA 1978 and 2.2.2.13 NMAC. This letter is your authorization to make the final payment to the Independent Public Accountant (IPA) who contracted with your agency to perform the financial and compliance audit. In accordance with the audit contract, the IPA is required to deliver to the agency the number of copies of the report specified in the contract.

Pursuant to Section 12-6-5 NMSA 1978, the audit report does not become a public record until five days after the date of this release letter, unless your agency has already submitted a written waiver to the OSA. Once the five-day period has expired, or upon the OSA's receipt of a written waiver:

- the OSA will send the report to the Department of Finance and Administration, the Legislative Finance Committee and other relevant oversight agencies;
- the OSA will post the report on its public website; and
- the agency and the IPA shall arrange for the IPA to present the report to the governing authority of the agency, per 2.2.2.10.M(4) NMAC, at a meeting held in accordance with the Open Meetings Act, if applicable.

The IPA's findings and comments are included in the audit report on page 55. It is ultimately the responsibility of the governing authority of the agency to take corrective action on all findings and comments.

Sincerely,

Joseph M. Maestas, P.E. State Auditor

cc: Pattillo, Brown & Hill, LLP

ANIMAL SERVICE CENTER OF THE MESILLA VALLEY RESOLUTION NO. 2023-04

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AMENDMENT TO THE FY2024 BUDGET. ADJUSTMENTS INCLUDE UPDATING THE MINIMUM WAGE ADJUSTMENT FROM \$14.50 PER HOUR TO \$15.00 PER HOUR AND INCREASE EXPENSES BY \$24,537 FROM \$4,533,501 TO \$4,558,038.

The Animal Service Center of Mesilla Valley (ASCMV) Board of Directors is informed that:

WHEREAS, the authority for the ASCMV of the City of Las Cruces (City), a New Mexico corporation, and Dona Ana County (County), New Mexico political subdivision, has prepared its budget for the period of July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2024; and

WHEREAS, the ASCMV is responsible for all new equipment purchases, operating and maintenance expenses; and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the ASCMV for the Board to approve this resolution and the corresponding July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2024 budget as reflected in Exhibit "A" attached hereto.

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Board of Directors for the Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley:

I.

THAT, once approved, a copy of the signed, recorded resolution and any supporting documentation will be submitted to the City of Las Cruces, as fiscal agent for submission to the Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) for approval and incorporation into its (City of Las Cruces) respective budget for inclusion in the FY24 budget for the ASCMV.

II.

THAT, the budget adjustments reflected in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made part of this resolution is hereby adopted.

THAT, ASCMV staff are hereby authorized to take any action necessary to implement and comply with the budget submitted and approved as part of this Resolution.

PASSED AND APPROVED this 27 th day of April, 2023.		
Manuel Sanchez, Board Chair		
MOVED BY:		
SECONDED BY:		
VOTE:		
Manuel Sanchez, Chair	yea	nay
Kasandra Gandara, Vice-Chair	yea	nay
Becky Corran, Member	yea	nay
Yvonne Flores, Member	yea	nay
Christopher Schaljo-Hernandez, Member	yea	nay
Barbara De Leon, Ex-Officio Member	yea	nay
Mary Lou Ward, Ex-Officio	yea	nay
ATTEST:		
Amanda Lopez Askin, Ph. D., County Clerk		

REVENUE ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	FY23 Appropriation	Adjustments	FY24 Proposed Budget
PET LICENSES	\$0	\$0	\$0
VACCINATIONS	53,010	0	53,010
PET MICRO-CHIP	30,292	0	30,292
ONSITE ADOPTIONS	90,000	0	90,000
DAC RETURN TO OWNER	15,806	0	15,806
CLC RETURN TO OWNER	25,792	0	25,792
SPAY/NEUTER	79,349	0	79,349
ANIMAL SHELTER SERVICES	6,407	6,000	12,407
DONA ANA COUNTY	1,750,000	310,453	2,060,453
City of Las Cruces	1,750,000	310,453	2,060,453
CITY OF ANTHONY	6,000	0	6,000
SUNLAND PARK	2,858	0	2,858
VILLAGE OF HATCH	335	0	335
DONATIONS & MEMORIALS	25,052	0	25,052
OTHER REVENUE	14,566	0	14,566
PET LICENSES - CLC/County	14,389	(14,389)	0
LOCAL & OTHER GRANT Funds	0	0	0
Fund Balance Transfer		75,000	75,000
Operating Revenue	\$3,870,523	\$687,514	\$4,558,038

EXPENSE ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	FY23 Original Appropriation	Increase Over FY23 Budget	Proposed FY24 Budget
ADMINISTRATIVE SALARIES	\$549,055	-\$88,308	\$460,747
CLASSIFIED	1,224,498	565,901	1,790,399
CONTRACT EMPLOYEES	132,000	-35,000	97,000
STANDBY PAY	3,500	2,520	6,020
SCHEDULED OVERTIME	48,915	53,085	102,000
FICA MEDICARE	31,224	4,377	35,601
FICA SOCIAL SECURITY	130,007	22,221	152,228
PERA	272,166	118,971	391,137
DENTAL INS	11,118	5,868	16,986
HEALTH INS	152,402	77,254	229,656
WORKERS COMPENSATION	612	-4	608
CELL PHONE STIPEND	3,180	960	4,140
VEHICLE ALLOWANCE	3,600	0	3,600
LIFE AND DISABILITY INS	3,152	68	3,220
RETIREMENT HEALTH CARE INS	38,560	17,719	56,279
Subtotal Personnel Services	\$2,603,990	\$745,631	\$3,349,622

EXPENSE ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	FY23 Original Appropriation	Increase Over FY23 Budget	Proposed FY24 Budget
SUPPLIES GENERAL	\$175,465	\$2,546	\$178,011
SOFTWARE	\$0	2,000	2,000
ADVERTISING	49,899	3,101	53,000
POSTAGE AND FREIGHT	2,500	0	2,500
AWARDS	400	0	400
MEDICAL SUPPLIES	216,000	-66,000	150,000
SAFETY SUPPLIES	225	0	225
MINOR EQUIPMENT	78,539	-22,597	55,942
SHOP SUPPLIES & MATERIALS	200	0	200
UNIFORMS & SAFETY WEAR APPAREL	9,600	1,500	11,100
ELECTRICITY	42,000	6,000	48,000
GENERAL UTILITY SERVICES	28,000	4,000	32,000
TELEPHONE & CELL PHONES	24,500	0	24,500
AUDITING & FINANCIAL SERVICES	15,000	0	15,000
MEMBERSHIP & DUES	2,500	0	2,500
PROFESSIONAL/TECHNICAL SERVICE	56,900	0	56,900
TEMP AGENCY SERVICES	54,331	-29,331	25,000
PURCHASED SERVICES GENERAL	144,010	26,490	170,500
VEHICLE REPAIR & MAINTENANCE	16,274	-6,274	10,000
FUEL	6,517	1,483	8,000
MAINTENANCE & REPAIRS	24,214	0	24,214
BUILDING RENTALS	1,000	0	1,000
TRAVEL	2,188	3,500	
TRANSPORTATION	21,688	3,312	25,000
REGISTRATION/CONFERENCE FEES	14,000	-4,200	9,800
ADMINISTRATIVE CHARGES	215,000	5,000	220,000
LIABILITY INSURANCE PREMIUMS	29,413	4,087	33,500
UNEMPLOYMENT COMPEN PREMIUMS	4,200	1,500	5,700
WORKERS COMP INS PREMIUMS	25,095	2,905	28,000
FOOD & BEVERAGE	1,425	1,000	2,425
LICENSES/PERMITS/CERTIFICATION	5,200	700	
AUDIO/MAGAZINE/PERIODICAL/BOOK	250	0	250
TRAINING MATERIALS	0	1,161	1,161
TUITION REIMBURSEMENT	0	0	0
ROLLING EQUIPT	0	0	0
BUILDING/BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS	136,475	0	0
GRANT FUNDING	280,853	-130,853	150,000
Subtotal Non-Personnel Services	\$1,266,533	(\$58,117)	\$1,208,416
Subtotal Personnel Services	\$2,603,990	\$745,631	\$3,349,622
Subtotal Non-Personnel Services		(58,117)	
ASCMV TOTAL	_,,	\$687,514	
ASCIVIV TOTAL	\$3,870,523	\$007,514	74,000,008