

ANIMAL SERVICE CENTER OF THE MESILLA VALLEY BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

The following agenda will be considered at a regular Meeting of the Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Board of Directors to be Held on <u>Thursday, April 28th, 2022 at 9:00 am</u> at the City Council Chambers, City Hall, 700 N. Main St., Las Cruces, New Mexico.

AGENDA

- I. Call to Order & Pledge of Allegiance
- II. Determination of a Quorum
- III. Changes to Agenda and Approval of Agenda
- IV. Minutes
 - a. Approval of the Minutes from the regular ASCMV Board Meeting held March 24th, 2022.
- V. Reports/Presentations
 - a. CfPP Presentation: Cherished K-9 Carla Baker
 - b. City and County ACO Reports City Animal Control and County Animal Control
 - c. Shelter Statistics/Activities Dr. Trina Hadden
 - d. Committee Reports
 - 1. Finance Eric Enriquez, Committee Chair
 - 2. Executive Becky Corran, Committee Chair
 - 3. Facilities Kasandra Gandara, Committee Chair
- VI. Discussion Items
 - a. Mutt & Meow Fest
 - b. Distemper Discussion
- VII. Action Items
 - a. Resolution 2022-05: Accept the \$50K Petco Love Animal Welfare Organization Grant
 - b. Resolution 2022-06: Adopt the ASCMV FY23 Annual Budget
- VIII. Public Input
- IX. Chair and Board Comments
- X. Adjournment

Next Meeting: Thursday, May 26th, 2022 at 9:00 am.

If You Need an Accommodation for a Disability to Enable You to Fully Participate in this Event Please Contact Us 48 Hours Before the Event at 382-0018/v or 541-2128/tty. Posted Dated: April 22nd, 2022.

1		ANIMAL SERVICE CENTER OF MESILLA VALLEY
2 3		March 24, 2022 at 9:00 a.m.
4		March 24, 2022 at 3.00 a.m.
5		
6	BOAF	RD MEMBERS PRESENT:
7		Becky Corran– Chair
8 9		Lynn Ellins – Vice-Chair Yvonne Flores - Board Member
10		Kasandra Gandara - Board Member
11		Fernando Macias - Board Member
12		Manuel Sanchez - Board Member
13		Eric Enriquez - Ex-Officio Member
14		Mary Lou Ward - Ex-Officio Member
15		
16	BOAF	RD MEMBERS ABSENT:
17		Call to Order 9 Diadra of Allegiance (0,00 am), Chair Carron called the
18 19	I.	Call to Order & Pledge of Allegiance (9:00 a.m.): Chair Corran called the meeting to order.
20		meeting to order.
21	II.	Determination of Quorum: A quorum was determined.
22		
23 24 25	III.	Changes to Agenda and Approval of Agenda: Motion by Board Member Macias, second by Board Member Flores. Motion passed.
26 27	IV.	Minutes
28 29 30		A. Approval of the Minutes from the regular ASCMV Board Meeting held February 24, 2022: Motion by Board Member Ellins, second by Board Member Gandara. Motion passed.
31 32 33	V.	Reports/Presentations
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41		A. City and County ACO Reports - City and County Animal Control: Brian Hulsey from County ACO gave the report to include 246 reported strays of which 153 were picked up; 42 cats and 111 dogs. There were 12 sick or injured animals; one cat and 11 dogs. There were 16 owner release animals: all dogs. A four year comparison chart of reported strays was shown; it is vague due to being the beginning of the year. A four year comparison chart of field return to owner was shown.
42 43 44 45 46		Additional animals picked up included 12 sick or injured animals, two welfare holds, 22 shelter quarantines, four court hold facility quarantines, and seven animals in the live evidence facility waiting for the judicial process. There were no rabies submissions for February. A slide of the Geo Districts was shown. District 1 had six dogs and zero cats; District 2

had 29 cats and 13 dogs which was lower than January; District 3 had nine cats and 25 dogs; District 4 had two cats and 27 dogs; District 5 had two cats and 19 dogs; District 6 had four dogs and zero cats; District 7 had 17 dogs and zero cats. A graph was shown of the stray cats picked up by District; a graph was shown of the stray dogs picked up by District. The reasons for the owner release animals included one aggressive where it was beginning to be aggressive toward people and animals but hadn't been vicious yet, one vicious, three unable to care for the animal, three livestock killing or attack, one for animal health issues, five for an elderly woman moving out of state going into respite care, and two where owners were unable to keep the dogs on the property.

Board Member Flores asked what they do with animals that are aggressive, vicious, and/or have owners unable to keep restrained on property. The county takes the animals to the ASCMV. If it's something to deal with inhouse with a warrant or something then they are held in the county facility; currently there are seven. The animals in the court hold facility waiting for petitions are usually dangerous or vicious. The county waits for the courts to deem the animals as dangerous and/or vicious and then decide whether the animal will be euthanized or back to the owner; the decision is made by a judge. Every dangerous or vicious animal case is dealt with individually case by case; if it's already bitten and shown extreme acts of violence then it could be euthanized; if it's an issue that cannot be replicated then it can be put up for adoption.

Board Member Ward added that when the Animal Control Officer first goes on a case, depending on the elements of the case and supporting evidence of a dangerous or vicious animal, then the Officer will come back to the office to discuss the case. Officer Hulsey prepares the cases for the other Officers as they must go back into the field. Once it is prepared, a warrant will be obtained, through the District Attorney's Office then the Magistrate Court. The animal is then seized and put in the court facility awaiting the court trial. A petition is also filed at the same time due to state statute; 10 days once the animal is in custody to file it. The outcome of that case depends on the court; sometimes it takes a while and sometimes it doesn't. The owner release of aggressive animals are given to the Shelter with the information and the owner signs the animal over to the Shelter. The Shelter becomes the new owner and may do what is necessary. Sometimes the owner will request euthanasia upon owner release.

Clint Thacker clarified that if the animal is relinquished to the county then it is their property until the animal comes to the Shelter. The county has every right to say they want the animal euthanized if they decide to. Board Member Gandara asked the differences between home quarantine and Shelter quarantine as well as how long the quarantine last. A home quarantine by definition is the dog is able to be confined on the property

away from other animals and people and up to date on vaccinations with proof then they can be on a home quarantine. The quarantine lasts for 10 days not including the day of the first bite. An ASCMV quarantine is the same but the animal is at the shelter. The court hold quarantine is the same as the ASCMV quarantine with caretakers checking daily. Owners choose where they wish the dog to go for quarantine as they are considered property.

Home quarantine depends on how the property is laid out. Some people have kennels with enough room for the dog to move around in or property with multiple fence lines to keep the animal separated. During the quarantine process an Officer checks three times to make sure the dog is still on the property and not showing any signs of rabies. If it is found that the dog is not confined or running loose then the county can obtain a warrant to seize the dog for the rest of the quarantine or the owner can say they want it taken to ASCMV or a vet. Those cases depends on each individual case. Public Relations deals with deals with informing the public of any cases. Chair Corran mentioned she had to do a home quarantine once before and she appreciated how the Officers handled it.

Board Member Ward elaborated that as long as people have things in place the animal can be home quarantined; totally separated for 10 days from any and all animals and people as required by the state. Those quarantines tend to be simple cases of misunderstandings where the dog accidentally bit or got too excited and bit someone. Any animals that aren't updated on vaccines or running loose are taken in. The court hold facility does house animals for bite quarantine. The only animals that won't be housed are stray animals; public aren't allowed to view strays and the county is still under construction in regards to the floors. All strays go to the ASCMV for their owners to come claim ownership. If a stray bites someone and gets taken to the ASCMV then once the owner claims the animal it can then be moved to the facility to free up space in the Shelter.

There are notices and information that goes out for cases, due process. There are not notices sent to the area in regards to a hearing for cases unless they're big ones. If there is an increase in cases in a specific vicinity then a notice will be sent out.

Officer Gino Jimenez from City ACO gave their report to include 444 stray animal calls; 216 were gone on arrival and 240 were apprehended. 177 were impounded at the ASCMV; 107 dogs and 70 cats. There were 51 successful field return with 14 unsuccessful for a total of 65 identifiable stray animals. A three year comparison chart of stray animal calls was shown; it's keeping similar to 2020 and 2021. A three year comparison chart of field RTO was shown; February was a good month of RTO's. The Community

Cat Program was dispatched to 55 cats in trap calls; three were identified as Community Cats and the Officer released them in the area.

Reasons for owner release included nine the owner was unable to care for, six for aggression either to people or other pets where two killed another dog and one bit the owner's sister, four the sister moved and left them behind, three dogs were sick or injured with one being a euthanasia request, two the owner passed away and the son couldn't take the dogs, one the dog is always escaping, one the owner moved to a new apartment and the landlord did not allow the dog, one where the dog barks too much, and one the owner was moving and could not take the dog with. The reasons of being unable to field RTO include six where the chips were not registered, eight had no contact with the owner by phone or at the residence, and one chip was registered in Colorado.

There was clarification of the numbers of animals apprehended; these numbers include cats and dogs only. Almost half of the animals reported are gone on arrival; apprehending the animals are out of the Officers control. This is consistent throughout the months. Many factors are in this such as time, Officers on duty, when the call came in such as night or morning, and etcetera. Board Member Macias understands why there was difficulty understanding the numbers. The total number of stray animal calls include the amount apprehended, the amount returned, and the amount unsuccessful returned. Officer Jimenez stated that he will be happy to flip how the information is given to help understand the numbers. Board Member Macias suggested reorganizing the information in a way that it flows; start with the total number. Lieutenant Joy Wiitala explained that the two numbers of gone on arrival and apprehended don't add up in the total because they take the 444 from the actual record management system as far as reported strays. The other numbers come from the actual ACO Officers. It was suggested to have the information correlated prior to the meeting to avoid additional questions.

Board Member Gandara asked what the process for the different quarantines from the City's perspective. Their procedure and policy is very similar to the county's due to state statute. The only difference is the county has their own court hold facility and the City uses the ASCMV. An example was given of what would happen if a dog bit someone but is updated on vaccines and can be quarantined on the property. The owner would sign an agreement stating that they are responsible for the dog while it is on the property and under quarantine. It is a three step process: first day, fifth day, tenth day where the Officer goes out to inspect. At the initial inspection the Officer looks to make sure the dog can't escape from the property. If for any reason they think it can the home quarantine will not be approved. It would then be up to the owner to decide what facility they wish the dog to be quarantined at. The Officer escorts the animal to whatever facility they

go to. If the dog is lost, stolen, escapes, or dies the owners will call the Control Officers immediately so that they can patrol the area if it escaped, assist in filling out a police report if it was stolen, and follow the proper channels to perform an autopsy if the animal died within the 10 days.

They do not seek a warrant until they're actually trying to deem a dog dangerous or potentially dangerous. The only other reasons would include an owner that is interfering with them taking the dog into quarantine or refusing to allow them to take the dog; a warrant will be given from a Municipal judge. Lieutenant Wiitala clarified that a warrant is for a person. For any reference to a dangerous dog it would need to be motion for an order to seize the animal; it's called a warrant but technically isn't one. If there is a potential dog that has harmed or killed someone a motion is requested to seize the animal; different for each court as there is a City ordinance. They would ask through the municipal courts and ask if there is enough factual evidence to support this being a dangerous or potentially dangerous dog. When that process begins they take ownership of the dog, not the Shelter even if it's staying with them.

City ACO also have a 10 day period as the county does; this is to see if the dog has rabies or something. They prioritize a person's health. Gathering information and facts may take more than 10 days depending on what needs to be gathered. An Officer will not release information to the public; it would be done through the Public Information Officer. The pet owner and victims, if any, are involved. However, it must be treated as a criminal investigation and so the general public only receives information as needed. The timeline for these cases is different each time due to what is going on. Currently the response time for strays is an average of 17 minutes; it can be higher on the weekends due to one Officer on duty.

The vacancies for the open positions closed on Monday and they will begin the process today; there are currently only six Officers with two vacancies for a total of eight. There was discussion on added time for each animal with checking for the chip and taking them to a known owner; could take up to 35 additional minutes per animal. Board Member Gandara asked how many calls they receive after hours. Officer Jimenez doesn't know off the top of his head. Most citizens understand that after 10:00 pm it is emergency services only. He can research the number and let the Board know. Board Member Gandara stated that she has been receiving a lot of e-mails about potential vicious dog court cases. She is concerned that there are more issues with bites and suggested doing a GIS study to see if it's true; investigate and have a discussion with the advocates to come up with strategies to address this.

When COVID first hit there was a tremendous amount of bites which were attributed to people staying home more; pets used to a different schedule

so they would act out. Owner victim bites stayed roughly the same throughout 2019 and 2020; 2021 plateaued and now it's trending downward. During the same amount of time all of the bites have all skyrocketed. COVID can no longer be used as the explanation and it is unknown why bites are increasing now. Board Member Gandara suggested that many people are still working from home and we're still in the pandemic. However, she believes strategies need to be created to address the issue; animal protection group to come and help examine this. She would also like a media campaign to inform the public and educate pet owners.

Board Member Macias added that in any given month looking at the statistics there were 444 animals reported and out of that 217 were not apprehended; look at the positive in which over 50% of animals were picked up. If the average time response is 17 minutes than there was an animal to actually seize. The longer the response time the less likely to catch the animal which has a ripple effect towards possible dangerous animals being on the street. He recommended picking up more animals as the numbers increase how aggressive are they with adoption rates or shipping animals to other areas of the country. Historically Animal Control has always been lesser priority compared to Law Enforcement or Fire in terms of commitment of resources and personnel. He does believe the average is a good response time but it could be better. He also clarified the differences between arrest warrant and search warrant; one is to seize a person and one is to seize property. He will look at what their process, how they refer to warrants, and what their purposes are prior to the next meeting.

Officer Jimenez stated that roughly three years ago he had the opportunity to give a presentation in Albuquerque for Blood Sports and sit down with their director to discuss trends in Albuquerque and Las Cruces. One of the things they were doing is Animal Control no longer responds to stray animal calls in Albuquerque but only to animals in custody; 50% of their calls were coming back without animals. Officer Jimenez doesn't agree with that idea due to the possibility the dog could potentially bite another animal or person later on. Board Member Macias stated that it takes the Control Officers even more time in the field searching for the animal because they're not readily identifiable; driving blocks around the neighborhood to make sure they don't get called back a second time. If there isn't an end product then it's a lot of wasted time. He suggested it would be a policy issue the Board should address in the future.

Board Member Ward commented that when they hold people accountable for vicious dogs a lot of times depending on the severity of the dog attack then at that point the Sheriff's Department becomes involved due to possible felony charges. That has occurred with a lot of cases lately in the county. She clarified that the 10 day petition is filed at the same time they pick up the dog. The dog must be held for 10 days but the petition must be

filed within 14 days. Board Member Ward and Officer Jimenez have been discussing what to do with the dog attacks; possible developing a task force in regards to the Dangerous Dog Act and putting resources together. Potentially also meeting together to look at the numbers and see what can be done. Chair Corran stated that they need to think about all the different aspects that need to work together for this, human resource, budgetary, community resource commitments, best practices, and etcetera. There are too many dogs with too many irresponsible owners.

B. Shelter Statistics/Activities - ASCMV 2021 Annual Report: Clint Thacker asked the people who came to the meeting to come up to the front and show their signs. Board Member Macias stated that he hopes Mr. Thacker will address the concerns of the people as part of his report; issues regarding the hours the Shelter is open. Mr. Thacker thanked the people for coming and sacrificing their time as they want the best for the animals. There may be some disagreements but everyone is here for the same reason.

Mr. Thacker gave the ASCMV Executive Director's report. The current animal count is 415; 359 dogs, 56 cats. Total intake is 597 in February. Mr. Thacker stated that he's for the ACO only to report to in custody animals. A slide was shown of the animals transferred to rescues or places animals are sent to; ACTion Program for Animals are a big rescue taking animals that are sick or injured, Colorado Puppy Rescue are a big partner, Enchanted Pasts took 17 cats, and the Mile High Meows, Mutts, and Rescue group took 14. Staff is always looking for new rescues to work with and appreciate each current one immensely. Board Member Ellins asked if HALO was a new rescue; it's not. They've been around for two years now and are based in Phoenix, Arizona. They take animals from shelters and sell them at their facility.

There were seven returns of dogs; two cats were returned. Assistance and education are given to the owners prior to returning; Ruff to Ready program with Doña Ana Humane Society and etcetera. February adoptions are at 24%, reclaims are at 10%, rescued are at 38.5%, Community Cats are at 19%, and euthanasia was 18.6% for a total live release rate of 83.2%. Euthanasia reasons for dogs were mainly due to behavior and aggressiveness; all around aggressiveness, not just one. Euthanasia reasons for cats were mainly due to medical and respiratory issues where they didn't respond to treatment. Parvo was also a high reason for euthanasia; puppies came in that tested positive and there are no resources available at the time.

The volunteer program is thriving; 674 hours in February. There are 59 people who volunteer on the dog team and 16 on the cat team with a current active of 228 volunteers. Total number of visitors evened out to the 830

mark; fairly average. Adoption appointments kept were 95%; 167 total appointments made with 67% finalized adoptions. The new walk-ins open house on Friday and Saturday from 1:00 pm to 3:00 pm had 101 groups; group can be a family of three, one person, and etcetera. There were 27 adoptions resulting from those groups: 27%. The website page views were 35,800; not original views but every time you look at a page. Facebook views were shown; it has leveled out since November at 2,573. They hope to see a spike in March for the drive through clinic which was a huge success. The pets of the week are Simba the dog and Ava the cat.

Board Member Ward asked if there's any way to get the 2020 and 2021 numbers for dogs being euthanized for aggression: for statistical reasons for the task force. Mr. Thacker stated he will get that for her. Chair Corran asked for clarification on the euthanasia on aggressive animals and whether it's the decision of the Shelter and separate of an assessment from an Animal Control Officer. Mr. Thacker stated that everything is taken into consideration; animals act differently in different circumstances and therefore staff tries to mimic the behavior to see what they do. Board Member Ellins asked how the 228 active volunteers compare to other periods of time. Mr. Thacker doesn't know the exact numbers but this is a lot more. The Volunteer Coordinator position has gone through a couple of people before getting this many.

Board Member Gandara asked Mr. Thacker to explain his stance on the Center hours. Mr. Thacker stated that last month he went into detail in regards to the subject and felt that it was explained well. There was still a desire to have the visiting hours expanded whereas last month there was no physical connection for the public to walk through any areas of the Center that wasn't employee only places to get to the other animals. The Center is laid out completely different from 2019 due to the construction. At the end of the month there will be a presentation about the new facility; the parking lot area is supposed to be given back to the Center by the end of the month. If the parking lot will be paved and completed then they will have a pedestrian gate to access around the building.

There will be a possibility that the public can then through right by his office, enter through the pedestrian gate, stay on the sidewalk, and come around as the area will no longer be a construction area. The construction company is very strict about OSHA rules and people wearing protective equipment when they come through a construction area regardless if the construction is nowhere near where they are. That access point will allow people to access two kennels. Mr. Thacker reminded them that even before the pandemic the ASCMV was never opened to the entire Center for individuals to walk through; it was the S kennels and the one behind it. The kennel behind the S kennels are now stray animals that just came in so the public can't be in that area. In order to access the other kennels the public would

have to walk through employee only areas, vet techs with dogs, manager's office, and etcetera. At the end of the month they should be able to extend the hours and have access to other animals.

Board Member Gandara suggested adding data on the source of adoptions year to year; percentage of animals leaving to rescues and adoptions. She added that in reports year to year there seems to be many people coming to view the animals but not adopting them; the percentage is very important to know. Mr. Thacker stated that it is a big frustration his employees have; they need to stop what they're doing to walk people through and then the people just leave. His employees would like to stick with the appointments in order to not waste their own time. Mr. Thacker is trying to come halfway between what the public wants and what his staff wants; it is no longer a COVID issue. The medical director prefers the appointments due to the amount of noise in a kennel that comes from people walking through. There is also the factor of people putting fingers in kennels when they're not supposed to; it could spread disease. The issues tend to be dogs only; haven't heard any issues with the cats.

Board Member Flores added that she would like to see how many viewings result in adoptions to see how much of the viewing contributes to adoptions. This data would prove to be beneficial as it takes time for the personnel to stop their duties in order to give a tour to the public and control them due to animal safety; it's a place where animals are taken care of. The data may allow them to ask to hire more personnel; there are some vacancies already. Chair Corran stated that the statistics are important but as much as they can it should be thought of a place where everyone can come to the middle and work together to move forward. Some issues she has heard is that some animals that are being seen are a subset which limits the number of animals that can be adopted, certain size dogs. There needs to be a way to broaden people's access to dogs of all sizes; possibly lend some City resources to help.

Ex-Officio Member Enriquez stated that he'd like to sit down with Mr. Thacker in regards to access issues due to construction; see what the scheduling is for his staff. He'd also like to get with the project managers to see what can be done with alternative parking or access, possible shuttling. Board Member Ellins stated that hiring more people are always more problematic. He asked if volunteers could be used to escort the tour groups. Mr. Thacker responded that they're asking volunteers to be on a schedule for the walk through's; they are notoriously unreliable due to something else coming up. They will ask volunteers to come and help but will no longer try to do the scheduling.

C. Committee Reports

1. Finance - Eric Enriquez, Committee Chair: Josh Saffell gave the financial report. The unaudited financial statements as of February 2022 included General Fund cash of \$1,390,256 and receivables of \$308,455 for a total assets of \$1,698,711. Liabilities total \$56,471 with revenues collected in advance of \$197,008; this left an unassigned fund balance of \$1,445,232. Change in net position for all funds included General Fund total revenues at \$2,552,546 and total expenditures at \$1,934,434; a total net change of \$618,112.

The budget to actual change in net position is 66.4% of budgeted revenue and 50.3% of budgeted expenditures. The budget parameter is 66.7%; revenue is in line but expenditures are under. A line graph comparison of expenditures versus revenues was shown; trend shows expenditures have gone slightly above revenue. Percentage of total expenditures included 49% salary, 16% benefits, 18% services, 8% supplies, 5% temporary services, 2% insurance, 1% capital, and 1% in other.

Board Member Flores asked if liabilities are expenditures. Mr. Saffell stated that the best way to think about it is comparing a balance sheet to an income statement; the income statement is basically operations. Revenue is what's coming in and expenses is what's going out. The balance sheet is basically the overall performance; it's the assets which is what they have on hand to work with. The total liabilities is expenses that are upcoming; not paid yet but it will leave. The net change refers to the net change in fund balance.

The income statement looks at the year whereas the balance sheet looks at the life of the entity. Change in fund balance is the difference between revenue and expenditures, if there's more revenue than expense the fund balance would increase. Whether or not the \$618,000 is still there at the end of the fiscal year depends on what is needed. Board Member Flores believes that this is good information for the public to know; \$618,000 isn't a lot of money from now until the end of the fiscal year. Chair Corran explained that the City does a number of administrative functions as outlined by the JPA agreement and one is the accounting.

- 2. Executive Becky Corran, Committee Chair: Chair Corran stated that they primarily worked on the agenda, updates on the construction, and what's been happening in the context of the Shelter. The full Executive Committee wasn't present, only Chair Corran and Mr. Thacker.
- **3.** Facilities Kasandra Gandara, Committee Chair: Board Member Gandara stated they met via Zoom. There are still some issues

related to the building that Mr. Thacker and the Facilities staff at the City are working through. She asked Mr. Thacker to have a more consistent conversation with Assistant City Manager Enriquez related to that. The completion date has gone back and forth a bit.

Mr. Thacker did not specifically meet with the Assistant City Manager but they were in meetings with discussions on the GO Bond initiatives. The existing Center has rust on the bottoms of the door frames and doors due to all the water being sprayed and used; original doors from late 1980s and early 1990s. A quote for replacing them has been received; reusing the stainless steel plate over the door, replacing two of the door frames which will be tricky as they are filled with cement. The reclaim kennel will have concrete poured in order to purchase more kennels or better utilize the space. Staff is looking at pouring a cement slab or footings for one of the transport containers for the facilities specialist; place for all of his tools and materials.

Tom Gallagher who is the project manager with Public Works gave a presentation on the new Center update. Grading was a huge portion of the project; a lot of ground and dirt to move. Next there was electrical and plumbing to be done followed by pouring the foundations of the buildings and kennels. The exterior framing with structural steel has been put into place. The concrete brick looking materials is roughly 99% complete at the kennels. Within the past two weeks the roofing began as well as sheathing and fire proofing. El Paso Electric had power lines running directly over where the new building is and that had to be moved; coordinated with them as well as Comcast, Verizon, and Century Link. The lines were relocated to the south of the property.

One challenge was the 40 mph winds in December which damaged the newly installed exterior framing studs. All loose studs were identified after an investigation and were replaced; 30% to 40% needed to be replaced. There are some procurement issues; contractors are able to buy the needed materials but it's taking longer to come in. There have been drastic changes in pricing, especially with roofing which has affected the ability to value engineer upcoming changes. For the most part there hasn't been too much negative effects from pricing. This is industry wide impacts from COVID-19. There are some issues with the door hardware; most likely will be delayed which is similar to the issues at Fire Station #3. The contract end date hasn't changed but may be more October or November.

Board Member Flores is concerned about the GO Bond obligation for the end date. She asked if Mr. Gallagher foresees any delays to go beyond December. He does not believe there will be issues. The contracts are written at the beginning of the project; any issues with getting material or changes happen then there is a 10% leeway in the procurement code. Receiving materials is an issue. However the contractor is still aiming for an end date of September 30th. There is a possibility it may be pushed back a month or two later. Procurement issues would be in the sense of what the contractor is trying to acquire and bring to the job for installation, raw materials, steel, roofing material, and etcetera.

VI. ACTION ITEM

2 3

A. Resolution 2022-04: Accept the \$30,000 PetSmart Charities Major Initiatives Shelter Impact Relief Grant: Elaine Stachera-Simon gave the presentation; grant writer for the ASCMV. The purpose behind the grant is to help get animals out of the ASCMV with a positive income. The invitation they received from the PetSmart Charities was outside of their normal grant cycle; one of only 24 organizations that were invited to apply due to the high intake. Staff looked at two specific areas: a way to augment the commitment to the community and community members who are animal owners and to augment the commitment to rescue and transfer partners whether local or out of state.

Roughly 50% of the funding is going toward RTO's. After looking at a period of eight months in 2021 there were 695 animals RTO. If the animal is unaltered when returned the owner is asked if they would like them to be sterilized and given a price; most often the answer is no. Out of those 695 only 151 were sterilized. The main reason people decline is due to not having funds available. This funds will allow staff to work with the people who want their animals sterilized but can't afford it. The other 50% of funds will be used to pursue new rescue partners and incentivize the current ones. For example, some rescues could take 10 animals but if the animals are sterilized they could take 20 and this funding will allow that to happen. Staff has until the end of November to meet the goal of 353 total animals impacted. Currently with the RTO program 39 animals have been sterilized; goal is 174. The rescue transfer partners are at 27 with a goal of 179. The grant has already been received. ASCMV may or may not have been the sole recipient.

Board Member Flores motioned to accept Resolution 2022-04; seconded by Board Member Gandara. Motion passed.

VII. PUBLIC INPUT: Harriet Dannhaus is a volunteer with the Shelter as well as a foster. She thanked the Board for allowing them to come up and speak. She commented that some people don't have internet access to be able to pick a dog to visit and create an appointment. Another concern is that the only area currently open is the small dog area which is 18 kennels and two per kennel: limited number

housed.

She added that Mr. Thacker didn't identify that there is an area called the R area on the Shelter map which is an outside kennel that houses 50 dogs; used to be called the reclaimed area but is mostly the long term large dogs. That is accessible outside and a path could be created going there. Currently there are tarps protecting the animals from the elements which can be rolled up and isn't in the facility so noise wouldn't be an issue. Ms. Dannhaus spoke with the medical director who suggested that there aren't walk throughs other times of the week due to the parking lot issue but if walk throughs are expanded daily for a certain amount of time it could potentially limit the amount of traffic in one given time. Currently the walk throughs account for 12 hours out of 61 hours that the Shelter is open to the public. Hiring more staff would be wonderful. She encourages staff to specify to volunteers what is needed at the walk throughs and potentially have volunteers help. She would like to try and come up for solutions. There are people who use the walk through as a fun excursion but there are others who just don't find the

animal they want or wish to think about it.

of dogs seen. As a volunteer she has access to various areas and doesn't

understand why the public can't have access. She understands the construction

issues without easily navigated paths for the back areas where the large dogs are

Frank Bryce thanked the people for coming and enjoys seeing more public input. He stated that the spay and neutering for cats needs to be back online; it's difficult for cats to be TNR'd. He added that there's a lot of people coming to them because when they take a stray to the Shelter the staff there tells the public to contact other rescues. Mr. Bryce does not like that policy. He would prefer the Shelter to develop a process of taking the animal in and evaluate the animal first; get the animal adoptable before sending the animal to rescues. Mr. Bryce would like the Shelter to form some kind of public panel to discuss these issues; a task force was for the ordinance but there isn't one for Shelter issues to work better together. He would also like a comment on the five dogs whose owner is in the hospital; he was told the dogs would be spayed and neutered and returned to the owner but that the dogs have to be out of the Shelter by 5:30 pm tomorrow evening. He's fostering 16 dogs and five cats at his place but doesn't have room for five more large dogs.

Mr. Thacker stated that he's been on vacation and isn't aware of any of those circumstances but he will find them out for Mr. Bryce. He added that the R kennel under the green tent is currently being prepped to have cement poured on the outside areas; not a place for volunteers or staff to be. Once the parking lot area is finished it will be easily accessible; just a matter of the construction areas and getting people to and from the areas safely. Board Member Flores commented that there is a lot of liability with some suggestions of allowing public in more areas. Once things are safer to access then they can address some of the requests being made. Mr. Thacker invited the Board to visit and get a visual of the area. The specific area outside will have new kennels after the concrete is poured. Mr.

Thacker stated that better results are coming; it just isn't as quick as some people would like to see.

Once the new Shelter is built people will be able to visit from 8:00 am until 6:00 pm due to indoor and outdoor kennels. Cleaning won't be an issue as it is now. For as long as Mr. Thacker has been with ASCMV staff has had to clean before people can come see the animals, dangerous area with wetness and hoses. Therefore public viewing isn't open until noon to give the staff time to do so. The Shelter has never been completely open to the public; it's always been specific areas. The areas are coming along with new kennels and concrete is being poured; the new kennels are almost \$300,000.00. Chair Corran stated that she remembers when the euthanasia rate was 30% to 40% and she recognizes the importance of thinking about the safety of animals as well; keep them well and get them adopted.

VIII. CHAIR AND BOARD COMMENTS: Board Member Gandara stated that she believes this is a very informative meeting and she's happy to see so many young people in the public talking about their concerns. She would love to see that more often even with the difficulty of meetings happening during the day. She wishes to send positive thoughts and prayers to Penny; the artist who had a dog bite. There is a GoFundMe account if anyone is interested in helping with her medical care funding. There are many people concerned about this issue and others as they relate to the Center, codes, stray dogs, and vicious dogs. Board Member Gandara is very committed to make sure they address this in a very strategic way. There has been conversation at Council related to this.

She believes there needs to be a very robust conversation in regards to the ordinances and what resources are necessary to add a huge media campaign to educate the public on responsible pet ownership. LCPD and codes have lobbied for more FT's specifically to the Animal Codes Officers and having tools and resources necessary to do the work. It has been a deep concern of Council and they will have a work session specifically to the budget; the first one from the retreat in January. She invites the public to come and speak to what they believe the budget money should go. She would like to hear it specifically to the Center. Board Member Gandara has received a number of letters related to the Center opening up and she'd like to see those letters; read into public comment or into record at a meeting. She feels that many had very good points in relation to the large breed dogs; related to how the dogs are being advertising, kind of pictures, and etcetera.

There is a volunteer coordinator and someone who takes professional pictures of the animals; regularity of photos is unknown. She encouraged any professional photographers who wish to volunteer their services to come; perhaps revisit how the photographs are being done to represent the animal better. She invited people who do not have internet to go to the library as they have computers for the general public; that may not be the answer due to transportation but she is willing to entertain ideas that were put forth in the letters. She advocates that the Board looks at those specifically. She also believes events need to be done specifically

for large breeds; bringing them to the Farmer's Market, PetSmart, and other specific events to highlight the large breed dogs. The Center does do small adoption events and focus on large breeds sometimes; perhaps more should be done. She recommended utilizing the task force to have more regular and robust conversations.

Board Member Ellins commented that the pictures of the dogs don't necessarily reveal the personality of the dog; how it reacts when you walk by the kennel and etcetera. He views the pictures every few weeks to see what animals are old and new. A focused event on large breeds should be something to be looked into. Board Member Flores stated that they are all truly saddened by the incident last week. Every Monday the pets of the week are announced and she believes the pictures are very cute. She had a discussion with Mr. Thacker on how they're promoting the pets, specifically dogs. Most of them are a mix and they've stayed away from saying things such as pit bull mix. The City is very conscientious about that and has deliberating stayed away from putting a breed name. She added that the tips for safety really falls under a moral issue that falls on the entire community. An ordinance isn't likely to take care of that. She believes community education is what's needed; falls on everyone's shoulders rather than one entity.

Chair Corran thanked everyone for coming out to the meeting. She added that they are working on the TNR ordinance and will continue to do so to address community cats in the way they were intended when the ordinance passed last year. She greatly appreciates the work that Mr. Thacker and staff have done with that and the budgetary work. They are also working to address systemic issues and she appreciates everyone for being present; wonderful to see people who love animals.

IX. Adjournment (11:51 a.m.)

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Becky Corran, Chairperson

Lynch Ellins, Vice-Chair

Yvonne Flores, Board Member

Kasandra Gandara, Board Member

1		
2		
3		
4		Fernando Macias, Board Member
5		
6		
7		
8		Manuel Sanchez, Board Member
9		
10		
11		
12		Eric Enriquez, Ex Officio Member
13		
14		
15		
16		Mary Lou Ward, Ex Officio Member
17		
18		
19		
20	ATTEST:	
21		
22 23		
23		
24		_
25	Amanda Lopez Askin, County Clerk	



MEMORANDUM

To: Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Board of Supervisors

From: Josie Trevino, Comptroller Initials: JT

Date: April 18, 2022

Subject: Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Unaudited Financial Report

for March 31, 2022.

Attached are the unaudited financial results of the Animal Service Center operations for the period ended March 31, 2022. Some observations for your consideration:

- The Statement of Net Position (page 1) reported cash of \$1,165,878 in the General Fund. Total assets were \$1,577,151. Accrued payroll liabilities ended at \$57,038. Total liabilities were \$312,791. The unassigned fund balance was \$1,264,360.
- The Capital Projects Fund reported restricted fund balance of \$28,000 which is due to a transfer from the General Fund in fiscal year 2020.
- The Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance Budget and Actual (page 2) showed YTD operating revenues in the General Fund at \$2,812,641 which is 73.2% of budget. YTD operating expenditures were \$2,375,401 which is 61.8% of budget. The operating income for the period is \$437,240.
- All expenditures were below the budget parameter of 75.0% except Insurance which is at 91.7% due to prepayment of premiums, Capital Outlay which is at 94.2% due to purchase of capital items.
- The Grants and Donations Schedule, page 8, provides a summary of grants and donations received.

CC: Leeann DeMouche, Director of Financial Services

CC: Josh Saffell, Accountant Supervisor

Initials: US

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Net Position March 31, 2022 (Unaudited)

		= (Oriadantou)		
	Fund 7440 General Fund	Fund 7441 Capital Projects	Fund 9440 Capital Assets	Statement of Net Position
Assets Current Assets Cash & investments	\$ 1,165,878	\$ 28,000	\$	\$ 1,193,878
Accounts receivable	411,273		<u> </u>	411,273
Total Current Assets	1,577,151	28,000	-	1,605,151
Capital Assets Equipment, net of accum depr		_ _	177,109	177,109
Total Capital Assets	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	177,109	177,109
Total Assets	<u>1,577,151</u>	28,000	<u>177,109</u>	1,782,260
Liabilities Current Liabilities				
Accounts payable	58,745	=	=	58,745
Accrued wages payable Accrued payroll liabilities	57,038	- -	- -	- 57,038
Revenue collected in advance	197,008	-	-	197,008
Total Current Liabilities	312,791			312,791
Fund Balance Restricted		28,000		28.000
Unassigned	1,264,360	28,000	177,109	1,441,469
Total Fund Balance	1,264,360	28,000	177,109	1,469,469
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$ 1,577,151	\$ 28,000	\$ 177,109	\$ 1,782,260

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual For the Period Ended March 31, 2022 (Unaudited)

	Budget	Actual	Actual/Bgt %
Revenues			
Pet licenses-CLC	\$ 40,669	\$ 3,548	8.7%
Pet licenses-DAC	14,389	7,734	53.7%
Vaccinations	53,010	38,300	72.3%
Pet micro-chip	30,292	14,452	47.7%
Onsite adoptions	90,000	78,664	87.4%
Return to owner-DAC	15,806	11,828	74.8%
Return to owner-CLC	25,792	15,783	61.2%
Spay/neuter	79,349	48,200	60.7%
Dona Ana County	1,450,000	1,141,545	78.7%
City of Las Cruces	1,750,000	1,377,727	78.7%
City of Anthony	6,000	6,800	113.3%
Donations & memorials	25,052	36,736	146.6%
Grant Revenue	237,519	32,861	13.8%
City of Sunland Park	2,858	-	0.0%
Village of Hatch	335	-	0.0%
Other revenue	20,973	15,132	72.1%
Investment income		(16,669)	-100.0%
Total revenues	3,842,044	2,812,641	73.2%
Expenditures			
Salary	1,815,834	1,164,674	64.1%
Benefits	576,986	379,795	65.8%
Temp agency services	130,000	95,402	73.4%
Repairs and maintenance	48,488	22,792	47.0%
Services	803,432	406,513	50.6%
Supplies	297,239	162,691	54.7%
Insurance	47,008	43,106	91.7%
Other	39,326	21,547	54.8%
Capital outlay	83,731	78,881	94.2%
Total expenditures	3,842,044	2,375,401	61.8%
			5.1.6,0
Net change in Fund Balance	<u>\$</u>	437,240	
Beginning Fund Balance		827,120	
Ending Fund Balance		<u>\$ 1,264,360</u>	

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Executive Director For the Period Ended March 31, 2022 (Unaudited)

	<u>Budget</u>	Actual	Actual/Bgt %
Expenditures			
Salary	123,593	85,561	69.2%
Benefits	53,612	38,778	72.3%
Temp agency services	-	-	0.0%
Repairs and maintenance	-	-	0.0%
Services	500	74	14.7%
Supplies	3,125	1,802	57.7%
Insurance	· -	-	0.0%
Other	3,938	90	2.3%
Capital outlay	 _	<u> </u>	0.0%
Total expenditures	184,768	126,305	68.4%

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Revenues, Expenditures,

and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Medical Director For the Period Ended March 31, 2022 (Unaudited)

	Budget	<u>Actual</u>	Actual/Bgt %
Expenditures			
Salary	570,262	286,503	50.2%
Benefits	153,663	74,261	48.3%
Temp agency services	-	-	0.0%
Repairs and maintenance	-	-	0.0%
Services	311,869	125,140	40.1%
Supplies	38,642	6,466	16.7%
Insurance	-	-	0.0%
Other	16,500	4,617	28.0%
Capital outlay	_	<u> </u>	0.0%
Total expenditures	1,090,936	496,987	45.6%

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Revenues, Expenditures,

and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Kennel Director For the Period Ended March 31, 2022 (Unaudited)

	<u>Budget</u>	Actual	Actual/Bgt %
Expenditures			
Salary	645,471	498,643	77.3%
Benefits	215,152	151,016	70.2%
Temp agency services	130,000	95,402	73.4%
Repairs and maintenance	40,488	22,392	55.3%
Services	9,515	3,404	35.8%
Supplies	152,825	125,402	82.1%
Insurance	-	-	0.0%
Other	17,888	16,600	92.8%
Capital outlay		<u> </u>	0.0%
Total expenditures	1,211,339	912,859	75.4%

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Revenues, Expenditures,

and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Administrative Operations For the Period Ended March 31, 2022 (Unaudited)

	Budget	Actual	Actual/Bgt %
Expenditures			
•	476 F09	202 955	61.7%
Salary	476,508	293,855	01.7%
Beneftis	154,559	115,711	74.9%
Repairs and maintenance	-	400	100.0%
Services	397,989	263,506	66.2%
Supplies	26,654	12,774	47.9%
Insurance	47,008	43,106	91.7%
Other	1,000	240	24.0%
Total expenditures	1,103,718	729,591	66.1%

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance as of March 31, 2022 (Unaudited)

General Fund

	7	7440											
	Revise	Revised Budget	July 2021	August 2021	September 2021	October 2021	November 2021	December 2021	January 2022	February 2022 March 2022	March 2022	Total	Actual/Bgt %
Revenues													
Pet licenses-CLC	↔	40,669	\$ 2,012	\$ 1,536	· •	· &	\$	•	· &	· &	· \$	\$ 3,548	8.7%
Pet licenses-DAC		14,389	892	1,069	593	758	711	839	1,278	969	\$ 899	7,734	53.7%
Vaccinations		53,010	1,775	11,490	1,525	7,840	1,390	974	1,670	723	\$ 10,913	38,300	72.3%
Pet micro-chip		30,292	1,105	3,365	720	3,270	1,325	2,645	810	542	\$ 670	14,452	47.7%
Onsite adoptions		90,000	6,920	8,785	2,295	11,504	12,150	7,812	11,930	8,467	\$ 8,801	78,664	87.4%
Return to owner-DAC		15,806	1,140	1,485	840	1,078	1,295	1,393	1,860	1,268	\$ 1,469	11,828	74.8%
Return to owner-CLC		25,792	2,443	1,508	1,210	1,650	1,955	1,190	1,455	1,543	\$ 2,829	15,783	61.2%
Spay/neuter		79,349	7,387	4,222	6,765	5,865	6,820	3,614	8,992	1,905	\$ 2,630	48,200	%2'09
Dona Ana County	_	1,450,000	319,000	102,818	102,818	102,819	102,818	102,818	102,818	102,818	\$ 102,818	1,141,545	78.7%
City of Las Cruces	_	1,750,000	385,000	124,091	124,091	124,091	124,091	124,091	124,090	124,091	\$ 124,091	1,377,727	78.7%
City of Anthony		6,000	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	\$ 6,800	6,800	113.3%
Donations & memorials		25,052	1,274	2,416	2,611	2,160	1,340	15,866	3,810	4,300	\$ 2,959	36,736	146.6%
Grant revenue		237,519	'	•	•	•	•	32,861	•	•	· &	32,861	13.8%
City of Sunland Park		2,858	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	· ↔	•	0.0%
Village of Hatch		335	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	· ↔	•	0.0%
Other revenue		20,973	1,798	2,429	1,418	2,355	1,596	1,479	1,417	1,053	\$ 1,590	15,132	72.1%
Investment income			•	971	(280)	(1,331)	•	(1,805)	(4,931)	(2,618)	\$ (6,374)	(16,669)	-100.0%
Total revenues		3,842,044	730,746	266,185	244,306	262,059	255,491	1 293,777	255,199	244,787	260,095	2,812,641	73.2%
Expenditures													
Salary	-	1,815,834	70,883	114,530	182,127	117,381	116,426	120,188	118,840	115,864	208,435	1,164,674	64.1%
Benefits		576,986	21,296	35,581	55,539	36,754	37,016	37,905	42,549	41,966	71,189	379,795	65.8%
Temp agency services		130,000	•	•	13,877	11,467	12,839	18,961	12,220	18,508	7,530	95,402	73.4%
Repairs and maintenance		48,488	653	1,664	1,135	149	2,850	213	833	1,074	14,221	22,792	47.0%
Services		803,432	26,259	27,926	45,156	990'89	27,372	47,971	55,026	43,534	65,203	406,513	20.6%
Supplies		297,239	3,438	12,645	23,232	21,789	26,953	19,308	15,924	22,380	17,022	162,691	54.7%
Insurance		47,008	2,815	29,934	266	2,513	•	3,118	609	3,120	•	43,106	91.7%
Other		39,326	1,278	3,369	1,919	5,368	3,228	1,365	66	2,704	2,217	21,547	54.8%
Depreciation			•	•	•	•	•	•		•	1		%0.0
Capital outlay		83,731	-	•		23,731	•		•	•	55,150	78,881	94.2%
Total expenditures	()	3,842,044	126,622	225,649	323,982	263,487	226,684	249,029	246,100	249,150	440,967	2,375,401	61.8%
Net Operating Income (Loss)			604,124	40,536	(79,676)	(1,428)	28,807	44,748	660'6	(4,363)	(180,872)	437,240	

Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley Grants and Donations Schedule as of March 31, 2022

			Award	Life-to-Date	Remaining			
Project Name Am	Am	Αm	Amount	Expenditures	Balance	Type	End Date	Purpose
Best Friends Executive Leadership \$	\$		5,000	1,249	∞	3,751 Local Grant	Until Spent	To cover any expenses associated with the Best Friends Executive Leadership Program
Carroll Petrie Foundation \$ 2	\$ 2	2	25,000	19,788	\$ 5,2	5,212 Local Grant	Until Spent	No stipulations
Maddie's Pet Foster Care COVID Stimulus \$ 10	\$		10,000	3,888	\$	6,112 Local Grant	Until Spent	Supports ASCMV Foster Care Efforts
Stern Donation \$ 50,000	\$ 50,0	50,0	00	48,202	\$ 1,79	1,798 Donation	Upon completion	The Kern donation provides funding strictly for costs associated with the renovation of the cat room.
Carroll Petrie Foundation \$ 150,000	\$ 150,00	150,00	00	27,450	\$ 122,53	122,550 Local Grant	Until Spent	For general operating Support
Best Friends Animal Society \$ 20.	\$ 20	20	20,000	8,722	\$ 11,2	\$ 11,278 Local Grant	Until Spent	
Pet Smart Charities \$	∞		1,000	-	\$ 1,00	1,000 Local Grant	Until Spent	

Signature: Joshua Saffell (Apr.19, 2022 12:48 MDT)

Email: jsaffell@las-cruces.org

Email: jotrevino@las-cruces.org

Signature: Josie Trevino

Signature: Leeann Demouche (Apr 21, 2022 12:32 MDT)

Email: Idemouche@las-cruces.org

ASCMV FS 03312022

Final Audit Report 2022-04-21

Created: 2022-04-19

By: Joshua Saffell (jsaffell@las-cruces.org)

Status: Signed

Transaction ID: CBJCHBCAABAAZHBM69oY0BLied4hzsTrQqUtnwt7GTtq

"ASCMV FS 03312022" History

- Document created by Joshua Saffell (jsaffell@las-cruces.org) 2022-04-19 6:46:48 PM GMT
- Document e-signed by Joshua Saffell (jsaffell@las-cruces.org)
 Signature Date: 2022-04-19 6:48:01 PM GMT Time Source: server
- Document emailed to Josie Trevino (jotrevino@las-cruces.org) for signature 2022-04-19 6:48:03 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Josie Trevino (jotrevino@las-cruces.org)
 2022-04-19 6:57:45 PM GMT
- Document e-signed by Josie Trevino (jotrevino@las-cruces.org)
 Signature Date: 2022-04-19 7:36:03 PM GMT Time Source: server
- Document emailed to Leeann Demouche (Idemouche@las-cruces.org) for signature 2022-04-19 7:36:05 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Leeann Demouche (Idemouche@las-cruces.org) 2022-04-21 6:32:13 PM GMT
- Document e-signed by Leeann Demouche (Idemouche@las-cruces.org)
 Signature Date: 2022-04-21 6:32:41 PM GMT Time Source: server
- Agreement completed.
 2022-04-21 6:32:41 PM GMT

Animal Services Center of the Mesilla ValleyMonthly Statistics Report-March 2022

		99	10	_	29	17	0	7		49	4	8	37	96	0	4	23	_	~	7	_	0	0	0	7	5
Total		9		_	9	14.		301		4			(F)	19		294	2									645
								0								0										0
Wildlife (skunk, bat, coyote, fox, raccoon)																										
Livestock (horse, goat, sheep, pig, donkey)								0								0										0
Live: (horse shee, don																										
Avian L, W								0								0										0
Avian E								0								0										0
Pocket Pets								0								0										0
Reptiles								0								0										0
Rabbits								0								0										0
Cats		20	0	3	32	54		109		0	1	0	17	53		71	0	0	0	1	0	-			7	189
Bogs		46	10	8	35	93		192		49	3	8	20	143		223	23	1	1	9	1	6			0	456
	City of Las Cruces	Owner Surrender	Returned Adoptions	Seized/Welfare Hold	Strays (brought in by the public)	Strays (brought in by Animal Control)	Wildlife	Subtotal City of Las Cruces	Doňa Ana County	Owner Surrender	Returned Adoptions	Seized/Welfare Hold	Strays (brought in by the public)	Strays (brought in by Animal Control)	Wildlife	Subtotal Doňa Ana County	Anthony, NM	Hatch	Mesilla	Sunland Park	WSMR	Born In Care	Community Cats	Jurisdiction Unknown/Other	Transfers In	Total Intake
	INTAKE																									

al		0	8	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	စ	149	2	0	0	110	200	82	0	48	0	22	0	2	2	2	0	7	0	2	0	2	0	_	0	0	_	0	0	16	7
Total															,				Ì	,																								
Wildlife (skunk, bat, coyote, fox, raccoon)														0																														
Livestock (horse, goat, sheep, pig, donkey)														0																														
Avian L, W														0																														
Avian E														0																														
Pocket Pets														0									1																					
Reptiles														0																														
Rabbits														0																														
Cats			8			1								6	37	0	0		10	22	82		6		0				0		0		0		0		0			1			7	C
Dogs														0	112	9	0		100	145	0		38		22		2	2	2		7		9		2		1			0			6	6
	Admin Missing	Foster-Escaped	Foster-Lost Contact	Foster-Stolen From	FTA-Escaped	FTA-Lost Contact	FTA-Stolen From	FTA-Gave Away	Offsite-Missina	Offsite-Stolen From	Shelter-Escaped	Shelter-Missing	Shelter-Stolen From	Subtotal Admin Missing	Adoptions	Expired in Shelter Care	Expired in Foster Care	DOA	Return to Owner	Transferred Out (Rescues)	Community Cats (TNR)	Wildlife Release	Owner Request Euthanasia	Euthanized	Behavior - Aggressive - Dog	Behavior - Aggressive - Other Animals	Behavior - Aggressive - People	Behavior - Feral	Behavior - High Arousal Level	Behavior - Problem Eliminator	Behavior - Resources Guarding	Behavior - Timid	Behavior - Timid - Fear Biter	Court Order	Medical - Distemper Suspect - Resp/Gl	Medical - Distemper - Exposed	Medical - Distemper - TX	Medical - Distemper - Non TX	Medical - Failure of Vital Function	Medical - Failure To Thrive	Medical - Felv	Medical - FIV	Medical - General	Medical - Geriatric
	OUTCOME	(city and county)																																										

		Dogs	Cats	Rabbits	Reptiles	Pocket Pets	Avian E	Avian L, W	Livestock (horse, goat, sheep, pig, donkey)	Wildlife (skunk, bat, coyote, fox, raccoon)	Total
	Medical - HW Positive - TX							,			0
	Medical - HW Positive - Non TX										0
	Medical - Mange - TX										0
	Medical - Mange - Non TX										0
	Medical - Mass	1	0								_
	Medical - Neurological										0
	Medical - Organ Failure										0
	Medical - Parvo - Exposed	2	0								2
	Medical - Parvo - TX	1	0								1
	Medical - Parvo - Non TX	9	0								5
	Medical - Pregnant										0
	Medical - Prolapse										0
	Medical - Respiratory Signs - TX	18	0								18
	Medical - Respiratory Signs - Non TX	7	0								2
	Medical - Ringworm										0
	Offsite Euthanasia										0
	Rabies Suspect - General	7	1								3
	Rabies Suspect - Injured										0
	Rabies Suspect - Sick/Symptomatic										0
	Rabies Suspect - Wildlife										0
	Severe Injuries - Animal Attack	1	0								1
	Severe Injuries - General										0
	Severe Injuries - Hit By Car	1	2								3
	Space - Behavior										0
	Space - General										0
	Space - Medical	3	0								3
	Wildlife - Nuisance										0
	Subtotal Euthanized	91	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	102
	Euthanasia Rate	20.0%	2	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	15.8%
	Total Outcome	491	213	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	705
Grand Total	Intake*	456	189	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	645
	Outcome*	491	213	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	705
Statistics	Live Release Rate	%2'62	94.4%	na	na	#DIV/0i	na	na	na	na	84.1%
	Euthanized, Expired in Care, DOA	96	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	107
	Average Intake/day	14.7	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.8
	Average Outcome/day	15.8		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.7
	Average Euthanizations/day	2.9	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3
	Average RTO/day	3.2		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5
	Average Adoptions/day	3.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8

**ASCMV Instagram -- 25,920 people reached; 282,536 impressions; 142 ad clicks **ASCMV Snapchat -- impressions; ad clicks



ANIMAL SERVICES CENTER OF THE MESILLA VALLEY RESOLUTION NO. <u>2022-05</u>

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT THE \$50,000 PETCO LOVE ANIMAL WELFARE ORGANIZATION GRANT AND ADJUST THE ADOPTED FY2022 BUDGET ALLOWING THE GRANT.

The Animal Services Center of the Mesilla Valley (ASCMV) Board of Directors is hereby informed that:

WHEREAS the ASCMV applied for and received a Petco Love Animal Welfare Organization grant; and

WHEREAS the funds were requested in support of Operation Catapult at the ASCMV to facilitate and foster ASCMV-community partnerships in the City of Las Cruces that will model positive animal welfare practices in general and the humane reduction of community cat numbers in particular. The basis of this program is working with select "hotspot" communities according to data gathered by City of Las Cruces Animal Control.

NOW THEREFORE be it resolved by the Board of Directors for the Animal Services Center of the Mesilla Valley:

(I)

THAT the FY2022 adopted budget will be hereby amended as shown in Exhibit A attached hereto and made part of this resolution and is hereby adopted.

(II)

THAT once approved, a copy of the signed, recorded resolution and any supporting documentation will be submitted to the City of Las Cruces, as fiscal agent for submission to the Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) for approval and incorporation into its (City of Las Cruces) respective budget for inclusion in the FY2022 budget for the ASCMV.

THAT ASCMV staff are hereby authorized to take any action necessary to implement and comply with the budget submitted and approved as part of this resolution.

PASSED AND APPROVED this 28th day of April 2022.

Board Chair	
MOVED BY:	
SECONDED BY:	
VOTE	
VOTE: Becky Corran, Chair	vea nav
Lynn Ellins, Vice-chair	
Yvonne Flores, Member	
Kasandra Gandara, Member	
Fernando Macias, Member	yeanay
Manuel Sanchez, Member	yeanay
Eric Enriquez, Ex-officio Member	yeanay
Mary Lou Ward, Ex-officio Member	yeanay
ATTEST:	
Amanda López Askin, Ph. D., County Clerk	

CITY OF LAS CRUCES BUDGET ADJUSTMENT REQUEST BUDGET FISCAL YEAR 2021-22

		GET FISCAL YEAR	7440 ANIMAL SERVICES CENTER				
			2021-	-22			
	C	Priginal Budget	Amended Budget	Req. Adjustment	Adjusted Budget		
RESOURCES Beginning Balance	¢	887,579	887,579	0	887.579		
Revenues	Ф	881,319	881,319	U	881,319		
Municipal Gross Receipts Tax		0	0	0	0		
Public Safety Gross Receipts Tax		0	0	0	0		
Hold Harmless Replacement GRT		0	0	0	0		
State-Shared Gross Receipts Tax		0	0	0	0		
Environmental Gross Receipts Tax		0	0	0	0		
County Environmental Gross Receipts Tax		0	0	0	0		
Internet Sales Gross Receipts Tax Gasoline Tax		0	0	0	0		
Cigarette Tax		0	0	0	0		
Lodgers Tax		0	0	0	0		
Property Taxes		0	0	0	0		
Payment In Lieu of Property Tax		0	0	0	0		
Franchise Fees		0	0	0	0		
Payment In Lieu of Franchise Fees		0	0	0	0		
Licenses, Fees & Permits Convention Center Fee		55,058 0	55,058	0	55,058 0		
Auto License - State Shared		0	0	0	0		
Fines & Forfeitures		0	0	0	0		
Charges For Services		3,524,415	3,524,415	0	3,524,415		
Natural Gas Sales - Commodity		0	0	0	0		
Motor Pool Maintenances Charges		0	0	0	0		
Fuel Charges		0	0	0	0		
Intergovernmental		0	0	0	0		
Investment Income Miscellaneous Revenues		0 25,052	0 25,052	0	0 25,052		
Federal Grants		23,032	25,052	0	23,032		
State Grants		0	0	0	0		
Local Grants		57,417	237,519	50,000	287,519		
Debt Service		0	0	0	0		
Total Revenues		3,661,942	3,842,044	50,000	3,892,044		
TOTAL RESOURCES	\$	4,549,521	4,729,623	50,000	4,779,623		
Expenditures							
General Government					0		
Legislative		0	0	0	0		
20810111111		0	0	0	0		
Municipal Court		0	0	0	0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department		0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department		0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology Fleet		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology Fleet Assistant City Manger-500		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology Fleet Assistant City Manger-500 Parks & Recreation Community Development Quality of Life		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology Fleet Assistant City Manger-500 Parks & Recreation Community Development Quality of Life Public Works		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology Fleet Assistant City Manger-500 Parks & Recreation Community Development Quality of Life Public Works Animal Service Center		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology Fleet Assistant City Manger-500 Parks & Recreation Community Development Quality of Life Public Works	\$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology Fleet Assistant City Manger-500 Parks & Recreation Community Development Quality of Life Public Works Animal Service Center	\$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology Fleet Assistant City Manger-500 Parks & Recreation Community Development Quality of Life Public Works Animal Service Center Total Expenditures Other Resources Operating Transfers In	\$ <u></u>	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology Fleet Assistant City Manger-500 Parks & Recreation Community Development Quality of Life Public Works Animal Service Center Total Expenditures Other Resources Operating Transfers In Operating Transfers Out	\$ <u> </u>	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology Fleet Assistant City Manger-500 Parks & Recreation Community Development Quality of Life Public Works Animal Service Center Total Expenditures Other Resources Operating Transfers In	\$ <u></u>	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology Fleet Assistant City Manger-500 Parks & Recreation Community Development Quality of Life Public Works Animal Service Center Total Expenditures Other Resources Operating Transfers In Operating Transfers Out	\$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Municipal Court Chief Administrative Officer City Manager Legal Las Cruces Police Department Las Cruces Fire Department Utilities Economic Development Internal Audit Assistant City Manager-400 Human Resources Financial Services Information Technology Fleet Assistant City Manger-500 Parks & Recreation Community Development Quality of Life Public Works Animal Service Center Total Expenditures Other Resources Operating Transfers In Operating Transfers Out Total Other Resources	\$ \$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		

CITY OF LAS CRUCES BUDGET ADJUSTMENT REQUEST BUDGET FISCAL YEAR 2021-22

		7441 ASCMV CAPITAL				
		Original Budget	2021- Amended Budget	22 Req. Adjustment	Adjusted Budge	
RESOURCES	_	Original Budget	Amended Budget	Keq. Aujustment	Adjusted Budge	
Beginning Balance	\$	29,798	29,798	0	29,79	
Revenues		,	,		,	
Municipal Gross Receipts Tax		0	0	0		
Public Safety Gross Receipts Tax		0	0	0		
Hold Harmless Replacement GRT		0	0	0		
State-Shared Gross Receipts Tax		0	0	0		
Environmental Gross Receipts Tax		0	0	0		
County Environmental Gross Receipts Tax		0	0	0		
Internet Sales Gross Receipts Tax		0	0	0		
Gasoline Tax		0	0	0		
Cigarette Tax		0	0	0		
Lodgers Tax		0	0	0		
Property Taxes		0	0	0		
Payment In Lieu of Property Tax		0	0	0		
Franchise Fees		0	0	0		
Payment In Lieu of Franchise Fees		0	0	0		
Licenses, Fees & Permits		0	0	0		
Convention Center Fee		0	0	0		
Auto License - State Shared		0	0	0		
Fines & Forfeitures		0	0	0		
Charges For Services		0	0	0		
Natural Gas Sales - Commodity		0	0	0		
Motor Pool Maintenances Charges		0	0	0		
Fuel Charges		0	0	0		
Intergovernmental		0	0	0		
Investment Income		0	0	0		
Miscellaneous Revenues		0	0	0		
Federal Grants		0	0	0		
State Grants		0	0	0		
Local Grants		0	0	0		
Debt Service		0	0	0		
Total Revenues	I _	0	0	0		
OTAL RESOURCES	\$	29,798	29,798	0	29,7	
expenditures						
General Government		0	0	0		
Legislative		0	0	0		
Municipal Court		0	0	0		
Chief Administrative Officer		0	0	0		
City Manager		0	0	0		
Legal		0	0	0		
Las Cruces Police Department		0	0	0		
Las Cruces Fine Department Las Cruces Fine Department		0	0	0		
Utilities Utilities		0	0	0		
Economic Development		0	0	0		
Internal Audit		0	0	0		
Assistant City Manager-400		0				
Human Resources			0	0		
		0	0 0	0		
Financial Services			0	0		
Information Technology		0		0		
Fleet			0	0		
Assistant City Manger-500 Parks & Recreation		0	0 0	0 0		
Community Development		0	0	0		
Quality of Life			0			
		0		0		
Public Works		0	705 000	0	=0-	
Animal Service Center		0	705,000	0	705,	
otal Expenditures	\$ _	0	705,000	0	705,	
ther Resources	1					
		0	705,000	0	705,	
Operating Transfers In		0	0	0	,	
		0				
Operating Transfers Out	<u> </u>		705 000	0	705	
Operating Transfers Out otal Other Resources	\$ <u></u>	0	705,000	0	705,	
Operating Transfers Out	\$ <u></u>		705,000	0	705,	



ANIMAL SERVICE CENTER OF THE MESILLA VALLEY RESOLUTION NO. 2022-06

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING FISCAL YEAR 2023 ANNUAL BUDGET PER "EXHIBIT A".

The Animal Service Center of the Mesilla Valley (ASCMV) Board of Directors is hereby informed that:

WHEREAS, the ASCMV requires a Fiscal Year 2023 Annual Budget, and
NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Board of Directors for the Animal Service Center
of the Mesilla Valley:

(l)

THAT the ASCMV's Fiscal Year 2023 Annual Budget as reflected in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made part of this resolution is hereby approved.

(II)

THAT, ASCMV staff is hereby authorized to take any action necessary to implement and comply with the budget submitted and approved as part of this resolution.

PASSED AND APPROVED this 28th day of April, 2022

Board Chair	
MOVED BY:	
SECONDED BY:	

VOTE:		
Becky Corran, Chair	yea _	nay
Lynn Ellins, Vice-chair	yes	nay
Yvonne Flores, Member	yea _	nay
Kasandra Gandara, Member	yea _	nay
Fernando Macias, Member	yea _	nay
Manuel Sanchez, Member	yea _	nay
Eric Enriquez, Ex-officio Member	yea _	nay
Mary Lou Ward, Ex-officio Member	yea _	nay
ATTEST:		

Amanda López Askin, Ph. D., County Clerk

	FY21	FY22	FY23 Proposed
ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET	BUDGET	BUDGET
ADMINISTRATIVE SALARIES	\$475,751	\$537,961	\$734,818
CLASSIFIED	1,327,972	1,221,459	1,498,954
PART-TIME - REGULAR	0	0	0
CONTRACT EMPLOYEES	175,091	132,000	132,000
STANDBY PAY	4,200	3,501	3,540
SCHEDULED OVERTIME	90,037	48,914	59,450
FICA MEDICARE	21,357	29,172	38,310
FICA SOCIAL SECURITY	92,822	121,239	163,806
PERA	265,704	248,296	376,716
PENSION EXPENSE	50,390	50,390	0
OPEB PENSION EXPENSE	8,511	8,511	0
DENTAL INS	14,143	9,618	19,118
HEALTH INS	188,753	123,409	326,924
WORKERS COMPENSATION	756	612	852
CELL PHONE STIPEND	3,603	3,180	3,720
VEHICLE ALLOWANCE	3,600	3,600	3,600
ACCRUED ANNUAL & SICK LEAVE	0	0	0
LIFE AND DISABILITY INS	2,986	2,832	4,092
CONTRIB TO DEFERRED COMPENS	0	0	0
RETIREMENT HEALTH CARE INS	36,008	35,027	55,844
TEMP AGENCY SERVICES	70,000	0	0
6			4
Subtotal Personnel Services	\$2,831,684	\$2,520,821	\$3,421,745
SUPPLIES GENERAL	\$2,831,684 \$188,303	\$2,520,821 \$164,155	
			\$3,421,745 \$151,500 1,500
SUPPLIES GENERAL	\$188,303	\$164,155	\$151,500
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE	\$188,303 4,414	\$164,155 2,000	\$151,500 1,500
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING	\$188,303 4,414 32,722	\$164,155 2,000 46,899	\$151,500 1,500 50,000
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 500
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 500 2,500
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 500 2,500 400
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 500 2,500 400 150,000
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES SAFETY SUPPLIES	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538 4,225	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000 4,225	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 500 2,500 400 150,000 2,000
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES SAFETY SUPPLIES MINOR EQUIPMENT	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538 4,225 20,154	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000 4,225 65,239	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 500 2,500 400 150,000 2,000 63,828
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES SAFETY SUPPLIES MINOR EQUIPMENT SHOP SUPPLIES & MATERIALS	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538 4,225 20,154 2,500	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000 4,225 65,239 2,500	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 2,500 400 150,000 2,000 63,828 2,500
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES SAFETY SUPPLIES MINOR EQUIPMENT SHOP SUPPLIES & MATERIALS UNIFORMS & SAFETY WEAR APPAREL	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538 4,225 20,154 2,500 2,926	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000 4,225 65,239 2,500 3,600	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 500 2,500 400 150,000 2,000 63,828 2,500 12,400
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES SAFETY SUPPLIES MINOR EQUIPMENT SHOP SUPPLIES & MATERIALS UNIFORMS & SAFETY WEAR APPAREL ELECTRICITY	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538 4,225 20,154 2,500 2,926 32,000	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000 4,225 65,239 2,500 3,600 32,000	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 2,500 400 150,000 2,000 63,828 2,500 12,400 59,000
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES SAFETY SUPPLIES MINOR EQUIPMENT SHOP SUPPLIES & MATERIALS UNIFORMS & SAFETY WEAR APPAREL ELECTRICITY GENERAL UTILITY SERVICES	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538 4,225 20,154 2,500 2,926 32,000 18,000	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000 4,225 65,239 2,500 3,600 32,000 18,000	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 2,500 400 150,000 2,000 63,828 2,500 12,400 59,000 22,000
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES SAFETY SUPPLIES MINOR EQUIPMENT SHOP SUPPLIES & MATERIALS UNIFORMS & SAFETY WEAR APPAREL ELECTRICITY GENERAL UTILITY SERVICES TELEPHONE & CELL PHONES	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538 4,225 20,154 2,500 2,926 32,000 18,000 12,667 15,000	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000 4,225 65,239 2,500 3,600 32,000 18,000 14,500	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 2,500 400 150,000 2,000 63,828 2,500 12,400 59,000 22,000
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES SAFETY SUPPLIES MINOR EQUIPMENT SHOP SUPPLIES & MATERIALS UNIFORMS & SAFETY WEAR APPAREL ELECTRICITY GENERAL UTILITY SERVICES TELEPHONE & CELL PHONES AUDITING & FINANCIAL SERVICES	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538 4,225 20,154 2,500 2,926 32,000 18,000 12,667 15,000	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000 4,225 65,239 2,500 3,600 32,000 18,000 14,500	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 2,500 400 150,000 2,000 63,828 2,500 12,400 59,000 22,000 22,000 0 2,000
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES SAFETY SUPPLIES MINOR EQUIPMENT SHOP SUPPLIES & MATERIALS UNIFORMS & SAFETY WEAR APPAREL ELECTRICITY GENERAL UTILITY SERVICES TELEPHONE & CELL PHONES AUDITING & FINANCIAL SERVICES MEDICAL/DRUG TESTING SERVICES MEMBERSHIP & DUES PROFESSIONAL/TECHNICAL SERVICE	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538 4,225 20,154 2,500 2,926 32,000 18,000 12,667 15,000	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000 4,225 65,239 2,500 3,600 32,000 18,000 14,500 15,000 0	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 2,500 400 150,000 2,000 63,828 2,500 12,400 59,000 22,000 22,000 15,000 0 2,000 58,000
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES SAFETY SUPPLIES MINOR EQUIPMENT SHOP SUPPLIES & MATERIALS UNIFORMS & SAFETY WEAR APPAREL ELECTRICITY GENERAL UTILITY SERVICES TELEPHONE & CELL PHONES AUDITING & FINANCIAL SERVICES MEDICAL/DRUG TESTING SERVICES MEMBERSHIP & DUES PROFESSIONAL/TECHNICAL SERVICE	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538 4,225 20,154 2,500 2,926 32,000 18,000 12,667 15,000 0 2,900 191,000 0	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000 4,225 65,239 2,500 3,600 32,000 18,000 14,500 15,000 0 2,500 75,900	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 2,500 400 150,000 2,000 63,828 2,500 12,400 59,000 22,000 22,000 15,000 0 2,000 58,000 50,000
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES SAFETY SUPPLIES MINOR EQUIPMENT SHOP SUPPLIES & MATERIALS UNIFORMS & SAFETY WEAR APPAREL ELECTRICITY GENERAL UTILITY SERVICES TELEPHONE & CELL PHONES AUDITING & FINANCIAL SERVICES MEDICAL/DRUG TESTING SERVICES MEMBERSHIP & DUES PROFESSIONAL/TECHNICAL SERVICE TEMP AGENCY SERVICES GENERAL	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538 4,225 20,154 2,500 2,926 32,000 18,000 12,667 15,000 0 2,900 191,000 0 79,361	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000 4,225 65,239 2,500 3,600 32,000 18,000 14,500 15,000 0 2,500	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 2,500 400 150,000 2,000 63,828 2,500 12,400 59,000 22,000 22,000 15,000 0 2,000 58,000
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES SAFETY SUPPLIES MINOR EQUIPMENT SHOP SUPPLIES & MATERIALS UNIFORMS & SAFETY WEAR APPAREL ELECTRICITY GENERAL UTILITY SERVICES TELEPHONE & CELL PHONES AUDITING & FINANCIAL SERVICES MEDICAL/DRUG TESTING SERVICES MEMBERSHIP & DUES PROFESSIONAL/TECHNICAL SERVICE TEMP AGENCY SERVICES PURCHASED SERVICES-OUTSOURCING	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538 4,225 20,154 2,500 2,926 32,000 18,000 12,667 15,000 0 2,900 191,000 0 79,361 0	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000 4,225 65,239 2,500 3,600 32,000 18,000 14,500 15,000 0 2,500 75,900 0 131,930 0	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 2,500 400 150,000 2,000 63,828 2,500 12,400 59,000 22,000 22,000 15,000 0 2,000 58,000 50,000 107,000
SUPPLIES GENERAL SOFTWARE ADVERTISING PRINT & COPY FEES POSTAGE AND FREIGHT AWARDS MEDICAL SUPPLIES SAFETY SUPPLIES MINOR EQUIPMENT SHOP SUPPLIES & MATERIALS UNIFORMS & SAFETY WEAR APPAREL ELECTRICITY GENERAL UTILITY SERVICES TELEPHONE & CELL PHONES AUDITING & FINANCIAL SERVICES MEDICAL/DRUG TESTING SERVICES MEMBERSHIP & DUES PROFESSIONAL/TECHNICAL SERVICE TEMP AGENCY SERVICES PURCHASED SERVICES GENERAL	\$188,303 4,414 32,722 2,000 2,500 2,700 272,538 4,225 20,154 2,500 2,926 32,000 18,000 12,667 15,000 0 2,900 191,000 0 79,361	\$164,155 2,000 46,899 2,000 2,500 400 216,000 4,225 65,239 2,500 3,600 32,000 18,000 14,500 15,000 0 2,500 75,900 0 131,930	\$151,500 1,500 50,000 2,500 400 150,000 2,000 63,828 2,500 12,400 59,000 22,000 22,000 15,000 0 2,000 58,000 50,000

EXHIBIT "A"

	FY21	FY22	FY23 Proposed	
ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET	BUDGET	BUDGET	
VEHICLE TIRES & TUBES	500	0	0	
MAINTENANCE & REPAIRS	26,952	20,214	20,000	
BUILDING RENTALS	1,800	2,000	31,200	
TRAVEL	12,700	7,688	4,000	
TRANSPORTATION	23,376	11,688	25,000	
PER DIEM	0	0	0	
REGISTRATION/CONFERENCE FEES	23,089	14,000	7,000	
ADMINISTRATIVE CHARGES	111,000	215,000	215,000	
LIABILITY INSURANCE PREMIUMS	19,413	19,413	55,000	
UNEMPLOYMENT COMPEN PREMIUMS	3,846	2,000	7,500	
WORKERS COMP INS PREMIUMS	29,095	29,095	25,000	
CHEMICAL SUPPLIES	0	0	0	
FOOD & BEVERAGE	1,425	1,425	1,000	
VOLUNTEER MEALS	500	500	500	
LATE FEES	0	0	0	
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CHARGES	0	0	0	
LICENSES/PERMITS/CERTIFICATION	5,200	5,200	4,500	
AUDIO/MAGAZINE/PERIODICAL/BOOK	250	250	0	
INFORMATION TECH SUPPORT	0	0	0	
TRAINING MATERIALS	1,000	500	500	
TUITION REIMBURSEMENT	0	0	10,000	
DEPRECIATION EXPENSE	0	0	0	
PCARD TRANSACTIONS	0	0	0	
ROLLING EQUIPT	0	0	0	
MAJOR EQUIPMENT	40,000	0	75,000	
SUPPLIES GENERAL	0	18,990	15,000	GRANT FUNDED
POSTAGE & FREIGHT	0	0	500	GRANT FUNDED
MINOR EQUIPMENT	0	45,003	85,000	GRANT FUNDED
PROFESSIONAL/TECHNICAL SERVICE	0	10,000	10,000	GRANT FUNDED
PROFESSIONAL/TECHNICAL SERVICE	0	9,000	9,000	GRANT FUNDED
MEDICAL SUPPLIES	0	896	2,000	GRANT FUNDED
PURCHASED SERVICES GENERAL	0	6,750	5,000	GRANT FUNDED
MAJOR EQUIPMENT	0	83,731	55,000	GRANT FUNDED
Subtotal Non-Personnel Services	\$1,205,120	\$1,315,482	\$1,467,328	GRANT FUNDED
Subtotal Personnel Services	\$2,831,684	\$2,520,821	\$3,421,745	
Subtotal Non-Personnel Services	\$1,205,120	\$1,315,482	\$1,467,328	
DEPARTMENT TOTAL	\$4,036,804	\$3,836,313	\$4,889,083	